

**Tabernacle Shadows**

**of**

**The Better Sacrifices**


---


**A Helping Hand**

**for**

**The Royal Priesthood**







THE TABERNACLE AND COURT

**“TABERNACLE SHADOWS  
OF  
THE BETTER SACRIFICES”**

---

**A Helping Hand  
FOR  
THE ROYAL PRIESTHOOD**

---

Text received from the  
Harvest Truth Data Base  
but formatted with the 1915 edition's  
page breaks.

This edition printed 2015  
Bible Student's Archives  
Canton, MI

**To the King of Kings and Lord of Lords**

IN THE INTEREST OF  
**HIS CONSECRATED SAINTS,**  
WAITING FOR THE ADOPTION,

—AND OF—

“ALL THAT IN EVERY PLACE CALL UPON THE LORD,”

—AND OF—

THE GROANING CREATION, TRAVAILING AND WAITING FOR THE  
MANIFESTATION OF THE SONS OF GOD,

**THIS WORK IS DEDICATED.**

---

“To make all see what is the fellowship of the mystery which from the beginning of the world hath been hid in God.” “Wherein He hath abounded toward us in all wisdom and prudence, having been made known unto us the mystery of His will, according to His good pleasure which He hath purposed in Himself; that in the dispensation of the fulness of times He might gather together in one all things, under Christ.”  
Eph. 3:4, 5, 9; 1:8-10

---

Written in 1881 by Pastor Russell

---

## Preface

THE first edition of this little book was published in 1881, and under the Lord's blessing seems to have been very helpful to the class for which it was specially intended---the "royal priesthood." Many of this class have confessed that as the finger of the Lord it pointed out to them meanings in the Old Testament types never before appreciated; and that it has guided them in the way of self-sacrifice, by leading them to see the true significance of the Scriptural declarations---"Present your bodies living sacrifices," "Fill up that which is left behind of the afflictions of Christ," "If we suffer with him we shall also reign with him" "Let us go to him outside the camp, bearing the reproach with him"; besides many other Scripture statements which associate the Lord's people with himself both "in the sufferings of this present time and the glory to follow."

The author rejoices that this is true, and prays the divine blessing also upon the new edition, which was made necessary by reason of the electro-plates of the former edition being worn, and by the desire to have its general style conform to that of the Scripture Studies series---for it may properly be considered a supplement and sequel to the fifth volume of that work, kept separate for convenience. Aside from these typographic changes and the addition of one chapter, and a few alterations in the phraseology to make some points possibly more perspicuous, there are no changes. Indeed, no particular changes seemed possible or desirable.

The understanding of the subjects herein set forth would seem to have been heaven directed, "taught of God," at a time when the light was absolutely necessary to the full and clear presentation of the Plan of the Ages. And those who have been blessed by the helps furnished in this little book, and others who shall yet be similarly blessed, we trust, may all esteem that they are also "taught of God"; for be it noted that the author has sought to prove every point and every application by the Word of the Lord, and has taught nothing of himself: as he has received of the Lord through his Word and spirit he has presented the same---with the evidences---to whosoever has an ear to hear.

The careful student will discern that, the applications of the types herein presented being correct, the entire Plan of the Ages is thereby corroborated---justification, sanctification and glorification first for the Church, and subsequently restitution for whoever will, of all the families of the earth. To what a glorious gospel, then, is this the key!

Dear Reader, if the matters herein presented appeal to you as truth at all, they will surely awaken you to energy and zeal to sacrifice earthly interests, to gain the prize of the high calling---that you may become one of the royal priests soon to be associated with the great "High Priest of our profession" in the grand work of blessing the groaning creation. And if you get a blessing from these truths, and partake of their spirit, you will want to pass the cup of refreshment on to others who need just such a stimulus to revive their fainting hearts. And if you desire to colabor in the ministry you will find that all arrangements have been perfected by which you can obtain these booklets at a very nominal price---by the dozen or hundred. Everyone who receives food at the Lord's table is honored with the privilege of joining in the service---as "colaborers together with God."

With Christian love,

Your brother and servant in Christ,

CHARLES T. RUSSELL

MARCH, 1899



# TABERNACLE SHADOWS

## OF

### THE BETTER SACRIFICES

#### CHAPTER I.

#### THE TYPICAL TABERNACLE

The Camp—The Court—The Tabernacle—The Brazen Altar—The Laver— The Table—The Lampstand—The Golden Altar—The Mercy Seat and Ark—The Gate—The First Veil—The Second Veil—The Significance of These and Their Antitypes.

THE Tabernacle which God commanded the people of Israel to construct in the Wilderness of Sin, and in connection with which all their religious services and ceremonies were instituted, was, the Apostle Paul assures us, a shadow of good things to come. (Heb. 8:5; 10:1; Col. 2:17) In fact, the whole nation of Israel, as well as its laws and its religious services and ceremonies, was typical. This being true, our understanding of the plan and work of salvation now in progress, as well as their future development, cannot fail to be greatly enlightened by a careful study of those “shadows” which the Israelites, for our edification, were caused to repeat year by year continually until the Gospel age introduced their antitypes—the realities. 1 Pet. 1:11; Heb. 10:1-3

It is not simply to gain a historical knowledge of the Jewish forms, ceremonies and worship that we come to the

Q1. What was the divine purpose in establishing the Tabernacle in the wilderness with its services and ceremonies? Heb. 10:1; Heb. 8:5, Col. 2: 16, 17; T. 11, Par 1; Z. '02-235 (1st col. Par. 1; 2nd col. Par. 1).

Q2. What is a “type”? and how should types be used? Z. '92-100; see also Watch Tower Bible under “*Types*.”

Q3. What should be our object in studying the Tabernacle “shadows”? T. 11, Par. 2 to 12, Par. 2

investigation of this subject, but that we may be edified by understanding the *substance* from an examination of the shadow—as God designed in arranging it.

We shall fail to attach sufficient weight and importance to the shadow unless we realize how carefully God guided and directed all of its details. First, he took Moses up into the mount and gave him an illustration of the manner in which things were to be made; Secondly, he charged him to be careful of every particular—"See, saith he, that thou make all things according to the pattern shewed to thee in the mount." (Heb. 8:5; Exod. 25:40) So, too, with all the minutiae of the service: every jot and tittle had to be exactly performed in the type, because it illustrated something greater and more important to come afterward. And in order that these shadows might all be exactly performed, and that the people might not become careless, the usual penalty for any violation was death. For instances see Exod. 28:43; Num. 4:15,20; 17:13; 2 Sam. 6:6,7; Lev. 10:1,2

Realizing God's care in making the "shadow" should not only give us confidence in its correctness, that not one jot or tittle of it shall fail until all be fulfilled (Matt. 5:18), but should also awaken in us so great an interest in God's plan as would lead us to examine closely and search carefully for the meaning of those shadows. And this, with God's promised blessing, we now purpose to do, assured that among those who are truly God's consecrated ones—his children begotten of his Spirit—"he that seeketh findeth; and to him that knocketh, it shall be opened."

### The Tabernacle's Construction

The directions given to Moses for the construction of the Tabernacle may be found in Exod. 25 to 27, and the account of the performance of the work, in Exod. 35 to 40.

Q4. Briefly stated, what was the Tabernacle, and where do we find the directions for its construction? T. 12, Par. 3; Ex. 25 to 27; 35 to 40.

Briefly stated, the Tabernacle was a house constructed of a series of boards of shittim (*acacia*) wood, "overlaid" or plated with gold, set on end into sockets of silver, and firmly fastened together by bars of the same wood, also covered with gold.

This structure was 15 feet wide, 15 feet high and 45 feet long, and open at the front or east end. It was covered by a large white linen cloth, interwoven with figures of cherubim, in blue, purple and scarlet. The open end, or front of the structure, was closed by a curtain of similar material to the covering cloth, called the "Door," or *first veil*. Another cloth of the same material, similarly woven with figures of cherubim, called the "Veil" (or second veil), was hung so that it divided the Tabernacle into two apartments. The first or larger apartment, 15 feet wide and 30 feet long, was called the "Holy."\* The second or rear apartment, 15 feet wide and 15 feet long, was called the "Most Holy." These two apartments constituted the Tabernacle proper; and a tent was erected over them for shelter. It was made of a covering of cashmere cloth or goat hair, another of ram skins dyed red, and another of seal skins (mistranslated badger skins).

Q5. What were the dimensions of the Tabernacle, the names and sizes of its two apartments? T. 13, Par. 1.

Q6. How may we avoid the confusion arising from improper translations of the "Holy" and "Most Holy"? T. 13, footnote.

---

\*In the English translation this is frequently, though improperly, called the "holy place," and in such instances the word place will be found in italics, indicating that it has been supplied by the translators, as, for instance, in Exod. 26:33. This error is quite confusing, as the "Court" was properly called the "holy place." When place is not in italics, the "Court" is always meant. See Lev. 14:13 and 6:27. In some instances the "Holy" is termed the "Tabernacle of the congregation." The "Most Holy," or "Sanctuary," is also sometimes called the "Holy place"—place in italics. Instances, Lev. 16:17,20,23. In referring to these apartments, we will call them, severally, the "Court," "The Holy" and "The Most Holy."

A lack of appreciation of the interest of Christians in these typical pictures and of the necessity for uniform exactness, on the part of the translators of Leviticus, must have been the cause of the varied translations which have so aided in confusing the student.

## The Holy Court or Holy Place


The Tabernacle was surrounded by a yard, or “Court,” toward the rear of which it stood. This court, 75 feet wide and 150 feet long, was formed by a fence of linen curtains, suspended from silver hooks, set in the tops of wooden posts 7 1/2 feet high, which were set in heavy sockets of copper (mistranslated brass), and braced, like the tent which covered the Tabernacle, with cords and pins. This enclosure was all holy ground, and was therefore called the “Holy Place”—also the “Court of the Tabernacle.” Its opening, like the door of the Tabernacle, was towards the east, and was called the “Gate.” This “Gate” was of white linen, interwoven with blue, purple and scarlet.

It will be noticed that the three entrance passages, viz., the “Gate” into the “Court,” the “Door” into the “Holy” and the “Veil” into the “Most Holy,” were of the same material and colors. Outside the Tabernacle and its “Court” was the “Camp” of Israel surrounding it on all sides at a respectful distance.

Q7. Describe the Court, with its dimensions. T. 14, Par. 1.

Q8. What were the names of the three entrance passages into the “Court,” the “Holy” and the “Most Holy”? T. 14, Par. 2.

Q9. What and where was the “Camp”? T. 14, Par. 2.



THE BRAZEN ALTAR


## The Furnishments

The furniture of the “Court” consisted of but two main pieces: the “Brazen Altar” and the “Laver”—with their respective implements.

Just inside the gate, and immediately in front of it, stood the “Brazen Altar.” This altar was made of wood and covered with copper, and was 7 1/2 feet square and 4 1/2 feet high. Various utensils belonged to its service—fire pans (called censers), for carrying the fire to the “Incense Altar,” basins to receive the blood, flesh hooks, shovels, etc.

Q10. What were the furnishings of the “Court” and how situated? T. 15, Par. 1 to 3.


Q11. What were the furnishings of the “Holy” and where were they placed? T. 15, Par. 4 to 17, Par. 1.



THE LAVER

Next, between the “Brazen Altar” and the door of the Tabernacle, was the “Laver.” It was made of polished copper, and was a receptacle for water; at it the priests washed before entering the Tabernacle.

The furniture of the Tabernacle consisted of a “Table,” a “Candlestick” and an “Incense Altar” in the “Holy,” and the “Ark of the Testimony” in the “Most Holy.”



THE TABLE OF SHEW-BREAD

Within the Tabernacle, in the first apartment, the “Holy,” on the right (north), stood the Table of “Shewbread”— a wooden table overlaid with gold; and upon it were placed twelve cakes of unleavened bread in two piles, with frankincense on top of each pile. (Lev. 24:6,7.)




THE GOLDEN CANDLESTICK

This bread was proper for the priests only to eat: it was holy, and was renewed every seventh or Sabbath day.

Opposite the “Table of Shew-bread” stood the “Candlestick,” made of pure gold, beaten work (hammered

THE  
GOLDEN  
ALTAR




THE  
INCENSE  
ALTAR

out), having seven branches, and in each branch a lamp. It was the only light in the “Holy”; for, as we have seen, the natural light was obscured by the walls and curtains,

and there were no windows. Its seven lamps were cared for, trimmed, supplied with oil, etc., by the High Priest himself, who at such times was to offer incense at the Golden Altar.

Farther on, close up to the "Veil," stood a small altar, of wood covered with gold, called the "Golden Altar" or "Incense Altar." It had no fire upon it except what the priests brought in the censers which they set in the top of this "Golden Altar," and then crumbled the incense upon it, causing it to give forth a fragrant smoke or perfume, which, filling the "Holy," penetrated also beyond the "second veil" into the Most Holy or Holy of Holies.

Q12. What furniture did the "Most Holy" contain? T. 17, Par. 2.



THE ARK OF THE TESTIMONY

Beyond the "Veil," in the "Most Holy," there was but one piece of furniture—the "Ark." It was a rectangular box made of wood overlaid with gold, having a lid or cover of pure gold called the Propitiatory or "Mercy Seat." Upon it (and of the same piece), were two cherubs of gold—beaten work. Within this "Ark" (under the Propitiatory) were placed the golden bowl of manna, Aaron's rod that budded, and the two tables of the Law. (Heb. 9:4) Upon the Propitiatory a supernatural light appeared, shining out between the cherubim, representing the Divine presence. This was the only light in the "Most Holy."

It is noticeable that all the furniture inside the Tabernacle was of gold, or covered with gold, while in the

“Court” everything was of copper. Wood, which was the base covered with these metals, was used, we believe, to make the articles of lighter weight, more easily portable, than if of solid metal. This was an important consideration when they traveled. The vessels of the Temple, representative of the same things, were of solid metals. (1 Kings 7:47-50) These two metals, gold and copper, were used, we think, to represent two different natures—copper representing the *human* nature in its perfection, a little lower than the angelic nature; and gold representing the *divine* nature, far above angels, principalities and powers. As gold and copper are much alike in their appearance, yet different in quality, so the human nature is an image and likeness of the divine, adapted to earthly conditions. It will be noticed that the arrangement of

### The Camp, Court and Tabernacle

thus distinctly separated and differentiated into three general divisions, represent three distinct classes blessed by the atonement; and the two parts of the Tabernacle represent two conditions of one of these classes.

“*The Camp*” represented the *condition* of the world of mankind in sin, needing atonement and desiring it and its blessings, however indistinctly it analyzes its cravings and groanings. In the type the “Camp” was the nation of Israel at large, which was separated from all holy things by the curtain of white linen, representing to those within a wall of faith, but to those without a wall of unbelief which hindered their view of and access to the holy things within. There was only one gateway to enter the “Holy Place” or “Court”; the type thus testifying that there is but one way of access to God—one “gate”—Jesus. “I am the way,...no man cometh unto the Father but by me.” “I am the door.” John 14:6; 10:9.

Q14. What did the “Camp” typify? T. 18, Par. 1.



"The Court," represented the *condition* of Justification, entered through faith in Christ, the "gate." Into this "Court" only Levites (typical of justified *believers*) were allowed to come, during the Atonement Day. These had access to the "Brazen Altar" and to the "Laver," and did service in the "Court," but had no right as merely Levites (believers) to go into the Tabernacle; no, nor even to look into it. (Num. 4:19,20) In the "Court" all things were of copper, to indicate that the class admitted there were justified *men*. The "Court" did not represent the condition of the spiritual class during the Gospel age, though the priests, in sacrificing and washing, used it also.

"The Tabernacle" building, with its two parts, represented the two *conditions* of all who undergo a change of nature from human to spiritual. The first apartment, the "Holy," represented the *condition* of all those who (as Levites—justified believers) have consecrated their human nature to death, that they might become partakers of the divine nature (2 Pet. 1:4), having been begotten of the Spirit. Its second apartment, the "Holy of Holies," beyond the "Veil"—death—represented the *condition* of the faithful "overcomers" who will attain to the divine nature. These, after having completed their consecration in death, will be fully changed, *born* from the dead in the First Resurrection, to the divine nature and organism. No human being, be he ever so full of faith, be he washed from every sin, and in God's sight justified freely from all things and reckoned perfect, can have any place or privilege in the spiritual things represented in the interiors of the Tabernacle and Temple. He cannot even look into spiritual things, in the sense of appreciating them. But, during the Gospel age, such are "called" to consecrate and sacrifice their human nature in God's service, and to inherit instead the spiritual nature—as members of the Body of Christ. "The natural man receiveth not the things of

Q15. What did the "Court" represent, and who alone might enter into it? T. 19, Par. 1; Z. '02-235 (2nd col. Par. 2).

Q16. Briefly, what did the two apartments of "the Tabernacle" proper represent? T. 19, Par. 2; Z. '02-236 (1st col., top of page).

the Spirit...neither can he know them, because they are spiritually discerned." 1 Cor. 2:14

The fact that all things in the Tabernacle were made of *gold*, representative of the divine nature, implies that it represented the condition of such only as are called to the divine nature. Only those of the Levites who were consecrated to the work of sacrificing (the Priests) had access to the Tabernacle; so only those of the household of faith who are consecrated to sacrifice, even unto death, enter the divine conditions represented in the Tabernacle.

The "Court," the justified human condition, is entered by faith only; but while we must retain the faith that justifies, we must do more, if we would experience a change of nature and become "new creatures," "partakers of the heavenly calling," to be "partakers of the divine nature." Entering the "Holy," therefore, implies our full consecration to the Lord's service, our begetting of the spirit and our start in the race for the prize of the divine nature—the terms of which are, faithfulness to our vow, crucifying the justified flesh, presenting our human wills and bodies living sacrifices to God; no longer to seek human pleasure, honor, praise, etc., but to be dead to these and alive to the heavenly impulses. Yet, into this condition, also, we still come through Christ Jesus our Lord, who not only opened for us the "Gate" of justification through faith in his blood, but who also opened the "Door" (the first veil) into the Tabernacle, "a new way of life," as spirit beings, through and beyond the second veil, by the sacrifice of our justified flesh.

Hence the two apartments of the Tabernacle, the "Holy" and the "Most Holy," represented two phases or stages of the new life to which we are begotten by the holy Spirit.

The "*Holy*" represented the present condition of those begotten of God through the Word of Truth. (Jas. 1:18)

Q17. Who only of the Israelites might enter the Tabernacle, and what is the antitype? T. 20, Par. 1.

Q18. In the antitype, do all who enter the "Court" experience a change of nature? T. 20, Par. 2.

Q19. What does entering the antitypical "Holy" imply, and how is Christ the "Gate" and the "Door"? T. 20, Par. 2

Q20. What parts of the Tabernacle represented the two stages of our new life? T. 20, Par. 3.

Q21. Who are those begotten of God through the Word of Truth (Jas. 1:18), and how represented in the "Holy"? T. 20, Par. 4; Z. '00-227 (1st col., Par. 2).

These, as heavenly minded “new creatures,” though still “in the flesh,” have their real (inner) life and walk with God within the first veil of consecration, and beyond the intellectual sight of the world and the unconsecrated believers. These enjoy the inner light of the “golden candlestick,” while others are in “outer darkness”; these eat of special spiritual food, represented in the unleavened “bread of presence,” and offer incense at the golden altar, acceptable through Christ Jesus.

The “*Most Holy*” represented the perfected condition of those new creatures who, faithful unto death, gain the great prize of our high calling through a share in the first resurrection. (Rev. 20:6) Then, beyond both veils—the fleshly mind and the fleshly body—they will possess glorious spiritual bodies as well as spiritual minds. They will be like their Leader and Forerunner beyond the veil, who, having entered as our Redeemer, hath consecrated *for us* this new and living way—or new way of life. Heb. 10:20; 1 John 3:2

The spiritual-minded creature in the “Holy” by *faith* looks forward through the rent “Veil” into the “Most Holy,” catching glimpses of the glory, honor and immortality beyond the flesh; which hope is as an anchor to the soul, sure and steadfast, entering into that which is beyond the veil. Heb. 6:19; 10:20

We see, then, that justification by faith, our first step toward holiness, brings us into a condition of “peace with God through our Lord Jesus Christ.” (Rom. 5:1) When our sins are forgiven, or reckonedly covered with Christ’s righteousness, we are a step nearer to God, but still *human*—in the “Court.” If we would attain the prize of the high calling which is of God *in Christ Jesus*, and enter through the “Holy” into the “Most Holy,” we must follow

Q22. Did the “Most Holy” represent the present or future condition of the “overcomers”? T. 21, Par. 1.

Q23. What is the hope, which “as an anchor entereth into that within the [second] vail”? Heb. 6:9; 10:20; T. 21, Par. 2.

Q24. How do the consecrated believers follow in the footsteps of their Leader and High Priest, Jesus? T. 21, Par. 3, to 22, Par. 1; Z. ‘02-236 (1st col., Par. 1).

### In the Footsteps of Jesus,

our Leader and Head—"the High Priest of *our profession*" [i.e., the High Priest of our order of priesthood] the "royal priesthood." Heb. 3:1; 1 Peter 2:9—

By faith in Christ's ransom-sacrifice, represented in the Brazen Altar, we enter the "Gate" to the "Court"—the veil of unbelief and sin is passed. This step is one which our Lord Jesus never took, because not being of Adamic stock, but holy, harmless, separate from sinners, he never was outside the Court condition.

Renouncing our justified human wills, and all our human aspirations and hopes, we pass the first veil, or veil of human-mindedness—counting the human will as dead; henceforth consulting not it, but the will of God only. We now find ourselves as "*new creatures*" in the "*Holy*"—in the first of the "Heavenlies" or Holies (Eph. 2:6—*Diaglott*), and begin to be enlightened by the "Golden Candlestick" (God's Word) respecting spiritual things—"the deep things of God," and to be refreshed and strengthened daily with the truth, as represented in the "shew-bread," lawful for only the Priests to eat. (Matt. 12:4) And thus enlightened and strengthened, we should daily offer up sacrifices at the "Golden Altar," acceptable to God through Jesus Christ—a sweet perfume to our Father. 1 Pet. 2:5\*

Thus all the saints, all the consecrated, are in a "heavenly" or "holy" *condition now*—"seated [at rest and in communion] with Christ in [the first of these] heavenly places," but not yet entered into the "holiest of all." No, another veil must first be passed. As the passing of the preceding veil represented the *death* of the HUMAN *will*, so the passing of the second veil represented the death of the HUMAN

Q24. How do the consecrated believers follow in the footsteps of their Leader and High Priest, Jesus?" T. 21, Par. 3, to 22, Par. 1; Z. '02-236 (1st col., Par. 1).

Q25. How do we pass the "Vail of sin and unbelief," and why was it not necessary for Jesus to take this step? T. 22, Par. 1.

Q26. How do we pass the first vail, and into what does it lead us? T. 22, Par. 2, 3.

Q27. What does the passing of the second vail typify? T. 22, Par. 3.

---

\*The word spiritual in this text is omitted by the oldest Greek MS, the Sinaitic, with evident propriety. Not spiritual but human rights, privileges, life, etc., are sacrificed.

*body*; and both are requisite to complete our “*sacrifice*.” Both fleshly mind and fleshly body must be left behind before we can enter into the “holiest of all”—perfected as partakers of the divine nature and its spirit conditions: for flesh and blood cannot inherit the Kingdom of God. (1 Cor. 15:50) Compare John 3:5,8,13.

With these thoughts before our minds, respecting the three conditions represented by these three places, “Camp,” “Court” and “Tabernacle,” in our next study we will note particularly the three classes which come under these conditions; viz., the Unbelieving World, Justified Believers and the Saints or Consecrated Believers, typified respectively by Israelites, Levites and the Priesthood.

Q28. Why must we leave our human bodies behind when we pass the second veil? I Cor. 15:50; John 3:5, 8, 13; T. 23 (top of page.).

Q29. By way of recapitulation, what did the “Camp,” “Court” and “Tabernacle” typify? T. 23, Par. 1.

## The Tabernacle

---

“What lone mysterious abode is this,  
    Surrounded by a wall of spotless white;  
By day an altar in the wilderness,  
    A silent watcher on the plain by night?

“Who dwells within its consecrated veil,  
    To secular and alien feet denied?  
Who answers when the priest, white-robed and pale,  
    Sprinkles the blood by ‘bulls and goats’ supplied?

“Think you that He of name omnipotent  
    Required for naught these oft-repeated rites,  
Or gratified mere vanity by scent  
    Of incense, brodered robes and altar-lights?

“Nay, verily! The curious tapestries,  
    The vessels wrought of silver, copper, gold,  
The ceremonious modes of sacrifice,  
    All ‘better things’ of Gospel times foretold.

“And happy he whose reverent gaze discerns  
    What ‘types and shadows’ could but dimly trace:  
His offering on the golden altar burns,  
    He solves the mysteries of the ‘holy place.’

“Upon the blood-stained mercy-seat he reads  
    Atonement sealed by him who went before,  
And from the open heavens the Father speeds  
    The riches of his love and grace to outpour.”

## CHAPTER II

### ISRAELITES, LEVITES AND THE PRIESTHOOD

THE CLASSES OF MANKIND TYPIFIED BY ISRAELITES, LEVITES AND  
PRIESTS—ANOINTING OF THE PRIESTS—THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE HIGH  
PRIEST'S "GARMENTS OF GLORY AND BEAUTY," TYPICALLY CONSIDERED—THE  
ABRAHAMIC COVENANT, LAW COVENANT AND NEW COVENANT FORESHADOWED.

IT IS important that we get a clear idea, not only of the structure of the Tabernacle, and of its furniture and the typical significance of these, but also that we should know something of the actors therein, and their significance as types.

*Israel* is used in many instances to typify the Christian Church. For instance, when they left Egyptian bondage, they were a type of God's children who hear his call to come out from the world and engage in his worship.

The wilderness journey represented the tedious pilgrimage through which many pass, seeking the promised Canaan rest—"Come unto me, and I will give you rest." As in the type, so in reality, the promised Canaan of rest is not far off, if God's children had faith enough to go up and at once enter in by faith. God has made abundant provision for them: yet they journey through the Wilderness of Sin, seeking rest and finding none, because they lack faith in God's promises. Some wander thus a long time; and some never enter the Canaan rest because of unbelief. But while Israel, according to the flesh, is thus and in other ways used to typify Spiritual Israel, yet as we are now examining it, in its relation to the Tabernacle, it is a totally different

## Chapter II.

### Israelites, Levites and the Priesthood.

1. What did the nation of Israel frequently typify? T. 25, pars. 2, 3.
2. What did Israel typify in its relation to the Tabernacle? T. 25, par. 3 to p. 26, par. 1.

type. Here Israel unquestionably typified *the whole world of mankind*. The sin-offering, sacrifice, atonement, etc., made typical for them (and them only), were typical of the “better sacrifices” and atonement, made on behalf of the whole world; for thus we read, “He is a propitiation for our sins, and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the whole world.” 1 John 2:2; Heb. 9:23

In a word, *Israel*, as well as the Tabernacle, Priests, Levites and sacrifices, was a type. And what was there done in symbol with and for Israel is, since the first advent of Christ, being carried out on a higher plane, and on a larger scale, the latter being the reality, of which that was the type or shadow.

As Israel typified the world, so the tribe of Levites typified the “household of faith,” or all believers in Jesus and his ransom. The Priesthood, one body under one chief or High Priest, was typical of the “little flock,” which, with its “Head” or High Priest, is a royal priesthood, the members of which, after the present time of sacrificing, are to be *kings and priests* unto God, and to reign on the earth. (Rev. 5:10) Thus viewed, we see Jesus the High Priest, not a priest of the Aaronic order, which was but the type of a greater and grander profession or order, the Head of the real priesthood of which others were but figures. (Heb. 3:1; 4:14) The Aaronic priesthood typified chiefly the humiliation and sufferings of Christ, less his future glory—Melchisedec being the type of the Christ as a kingly or royal priesthood.

But before the under-priests, the members of the Body of Christ, the royal priesthood, will be united to their Head, and begin their reign, they must “*suffer with him*,” sharing in the antitypical sacrifices, as we shall shortly see. 2 Tim. 2:12

The Apostle Peter shows who were typified by the Aaronic priests, when, addressing those who were *sanctified*, he says:

3. What did the Levites typify? T. 26, par. 2.

4. What did the Aaronic priesthood typify? T. 26, pars. 2 to 4.



"Ye are...an holy priesthood to offer up sacrifices acceptable to God by Jesus Christ." "Ye are...a royal priesthood." (1 Pet. 2:5,9) They are all ministers (*servants*) of the truth, though not all preachers and Doctors of Divinity: and each must do his share at self-sacrifice ere he will be accounted worthy to be a joint-heir with Christ. Only to those who suffer with him is there a promise to reign with him. Rom. 8:17

That the Head or Chief Priest of this priesthood, this "little flock," is our Lord Jesus, is repeatedly mentioned by the apostles. We give but one quotation: "*Holy* brethren [the Royal Priesthood], partakers of the heavenly calling, consider the Apostle and High Priest of *our profession* [our order of priests, to be], Christ Jesus." Heb. 3:1

As we now pass to the consideration of the inauguration of the typical priesthood, we notice that the tribe of the Levites (typical of all the *justified* believers) existed before the priesthood was instituted. So in the antitype the "*Royal Priesthood*" began with the anointing of Jesus, the High Priest (at baptism, Luke 3:22; Acts 10:38); but believers, *justified* by faith in Christ, had lived long before that. For instance, Abraham believed God, and was *justified* by his faith. (Rom. 4:2,3) Though even the type had not yet come in his day, Abraham, as a justified believer, was a member of the "household of faith," typified by the Levites. But none of the "Royal Priesthood" were selected until after the Chief or High Priest of this order was first initiated and installed in office. Since then the initiation and installment of the under-priests has been the special work of this Christian dispensation or Gospel Age. Thus the priests, now consecrating, being installed and offering themselves as sacrifices, are being prepared as God's instruments for the royalty of the Kingdom, and thus for the blessing of all the families of the earth.

5. Who is the head of the antitypical priesthood? Heb. 3:1; P. 27, par. 1.

6. What is the significance in the tribe of Levi having existed before the priesthood was instituted? T. 27, par. 2.

### The Priesthood

It will be well to notice that in every ceremony relating to the ordination and work of the priesthood the chief priest was first: and so in the antitypical priesthood, Jesus was the *first*—the Leader, Captain, Forerunner—teaching clearly that none *preceded* him. Hence we see that none of the patriarchs or prophets are of the “little flock,” the “royal priesthood,” otherwise called “the Bride,” “the Lamb’s Wife.” Though they will be greatly blessed as the Lord’s servants, their service will not be so grandly high as that of the priests, nor their honor so great; nevertheless, as represented in the Levites, their future work and honor will evidently be great.

“The narrow way to life” (immortality) was not opened up until Jesus came. He was the first to walk in it. He “brought life and immortality *to light*.” (2 Tim. 1:10) And though all faithful believers (Levites) will become possessors of everlasting life, and the world (represented in the “Camp of Israel”) also, if they will accept it during the Millennial age, yet only the priesthood, who overcome and follow their Leader in the narrow way to life—sacrificing human interests—thus seeking glory, honor and immortality (Rom. 2:7), will ever become the possessors of that *unlimited* degree of life called immortality, originally possessed only by Jehovah God, and by our Lord Jesus Christ since his resurrection. See *The Plan of the Ages*, Chapters X and XI.

### The Anointing

<%-2>Under the Law, the *anointing* was the ceremony by which the priests were installed in their service. They were anointed to their office with a peculiar ointment, called the “Holy Anointing Oil,” used upon none but the priests,

### The Priesthood.

7. What is the significance of the fact that in the ordination of the priesthood the Chief Priest was always first? T. 28, pars. 1, 2.

### The Anointing.

8. What did the ceremony of the anointing signify and typify? T. 28, par. 3.

and unlawful for any one else to have or to make. (Exod. 30:25-33,38) This oil typifies the holy Spirit of adoption whereby we, the real “royal priesthood,” are sealed as sons of God. Only the consecrated ones, the priests, are ever to be thus anointed.

Aaron, the typical High Priest, represented Jesus, the Head, and the Church as members of the Body—the great antitypical High Priest. Being but a sinful man, like others, Aaron had to be washed in order fitly to represent the purity of the antitype, Jesus, who knew no sin, and his Church, cleansed through his precious blood, and the washing of water by the Word. Eph. 5:26

After being washed, Aaron was clothed with the holy garments of “glory and beauty” (Exod. 28), and lastly the anointing oil was poured on his head. (Exod. 29:7) Each article of this glorious apparel was typical of qualities and powers of the Great Deliverer—Head and Body—as Jehovah discerned them, looking down into the future to the time for “the manifestation of the Sons of God,” and the fulfilment in them of his promises.

#### The High Priest in Garments of Typical “Glory and Beauty”

“And these are the garments—a breastplate and an ephod, and an upper robe, and a brodered coat, a mitre and a girdle.” Exod. 28:4

The white linen “coat” represented the High Priest’s purity, while its embroidery showed the outgrowth of that pure character in works of grace.

The “mitre,” a strip of fine white linen (typical of righteousness), worn around the forehead, to which the golden plate, or “crown,” was fastened with a blue lacer, showed that the crown was *righteously* his.

9. What was the significance of Aaron’s being washed before putting on the garments of “glory and beauty”? T. 29, par. 1.

#### The High Priest in Garments of Typical “Glory and Beauty.”

10. What were the High Priest’s garments of “glory and beauty”? and what did they typify in general? Ex. 28:4; P. 29, pars. 2, 3.

11. What did the white linen “coat” represent? T. 29, par. 4.

12. What was the “mitre”? and what did it typify? T. 29, par. 5.

13. Describe the golden plate or “crown.” What did it typify? and why was it fastened with a blue lacer to the “mitre”? T. 30, par. 1; p. 29; par. 5.

Upon the golden plate was inscribed "Holiness to the Lord," thus proclaiming: This High Priest is entirely devoted to the accomplishment of Jehovah's purposes. The golden crown also proclaimed his royalty: Christ is to be "a priest upon his throne"—"a priest forever after the order of Melchisedec." Zech. 6:13; Psa. 110:4; Heb. 7:17

The "Linen Girdle" indicated a righteous servant: linen—righteousness, girdle—servitude.

The "Upper Robe," of *blue*, represented his faithfulness. The fringe of it was made of golden bells and pomegranates. The pomegranate, being a choice fruit, showed that the faithful performance of the Redeemer's work of sacrifice had borne rich fruit—the redemption of the forfeited life of the human race. The golden bells signified that when our High Priest appears in glory and beauty, the fruit of sacrificial work will be made manifest to all—*proclaimed* to all the world, as in the type the bells proclaimed it to all Israel. This is indicated by the close proximity: the bells drawing attention to the fruit.

The "Ephod" was made of cloth of purple, blue, scarlet, white and gold threads, skillfully and beautifully interwoven. It was of two parts, one hanging in front and the other over the back. These two parts were fastened together by two gold clasps which rested on the shoulders. The ephod typified the *two great covenants*—the Abrahamic Covenant represented by the front part, and the New Covenant represented by the back, both of which are thus shown to be *dependent* on our High Priest. Both of these covenants are laid on him: if he fails to support them, fails to carry out their terms and conditions, they fall to the ground—fail. But, thank God, these covenants are united and firmly clasped on him by the gold clasps (divine power), as well as bound to him by the "curious girdle"—a cord made of the same material as the ephod.

14. What was the significance of the "linen girdle"? T. 30, par. 2.

15. Describe the "upper robe." What did its color (blue) and its golden bells and pomegranates signify? T. 30, par. 3.

16. How was the "ephod" made? and what was the significance of its two parts with their golden clasps? T. 30, par. 4.



THE HIGH PRIEST  
IN ROBES TYPICAL OF CHRIST'S COMING GLORY





This “Curious Girdle” seems to say, This is a *servant*, and as this is the girdle of the Ephod it tells us that this one is “The Messenger [servant] of the Covenant whom ye delight in.” Mal. 3:1

One part of the Ephod which represents the New Covenant was guaranteed at Calvary: for was not our Lord’s death “the blood of the New Covenant” in which his members share? Matt. 26:28; 1 Cor. 10:16

The other part is incomplete as yet except as the heavenly Father sees its fulfilment in the future: for the Abrahamic Covenant promised the development of the Seed of Abraham, through whom the New Covenant will bless all the people, and this Seed is not yet complete. True, our Lord Jesus is *the* Seed, yet God foresaw and has foretold the larger seed, spiritual, which will include the Body, the Church with the Head. (Gal. 3:16,29) And the Apostle points out that an earthly seed of Abraham will also share the work of blessing the world, yet spiritual Israel is the true Seed: as it is written, “The son of the bond woman shall not be heir with the son of the free woman.” Gal. 4:22-31

Concerning the natural seed of Abraham, and as proving that they will not be members of the Priest who will do the blessing, the Apostle says: “As concerning the Gospel [the spiritual part of the Covenant] they [the literal seed] are enemies for your sakes; but as touching the election they are [still] beloved for the fathers’ sakes. For the gifts and callings of God are not things he will repent of. For this is *my* Covenant TO THEM—There shall come out of Zion [the spiritual Church] the Deliverer [this great High Priest, the Servant of the Covenant—Jesus, the Head, and the “little flock,” his Body], and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob.” They are to be *first* blessed by the spiritual or true Seed and may later become associate laborers. Rom. 11:26-29.

17. What did the “curious girdle” signify? T. 33, par. 1.

18. Respecting the statements on page 33, par. 2, “Sealed with the sacrifice of himself once for all” are the members of his Body all counted in with the Head in this work of sealing the New Covenant? and has the great High Priest yet finished this work of sealing and confirming the New Covenant? and has it gone into effect? or will this all be accomplished with the passing beyond the vail of the last member of the Body, the sprinkling of the blood of the sin-offering, and the coming forth in glory robes for the blessing of the people? Z. ‘05-378 (1st col. par. 1). Z. ‘09-29.

19. Why is that part of the “ephod” representing the Abrahamic Covenant still incomplete? T. 33, par. 3.

20. What is meant by “the Seed of Abraham”? Gal. 3:16, 29; P. 33, par. 3.

21. How do we know that the natural seed of Abraham will not be members of the great antitypical High Priest? T. 33, par. 4.

So, then, after the Body of Christ completes the spiritual "Seed," that additional promise to Abraham respecting an earthly seed must have a fulfilment: the fleshly seed must become great "as the sand by the sea shore," the heavenly Seed being likened to "the stars of heaven." (Gen. 22:17) They must first be turned to righteousness and truth; they will then become an agency through which the spiritual Seed will operate in the promised blessing of all mankind with truth and grace.

The scarlet, blue, purple, etc., which composed the ephod, indicated the conditions of the two covenants. The *scarlet* shows how God provides redemption from the Adamic curse through the blood of the ransom. The *white linen* indicates the restoration of man to his original purity. The *blue* vouchsafes to him the aid, the ability, faithfully to maintain his righteous character. The *purple* proclaims the royal power of the Kingdom cooperating. All of these blessings woven together are made sure by the divine power of the anointed Priest, represented in the interwoven thread of *gold*. Thus Jehovah has laid both of these covenants, as they relate to men, upon one who is both mighty and willing to execute these glorious covenanted blessings—"in due time."

"The Breastplate of Judgment"—was placed on the front of the ephod. It was suspended by a gold chain from the clasps on the shoulder, and was fastened to the ephod by the lacer, through golden rings—this fastening being so concealed underneath that to the casual observer it might appear to be a part of the ephod. (Exod. 28:26-28) This breastplate beautifully represented *the Law*: It was not a part of the Abrahamic Covenant (ephod) but "it was added" to it. (Gal. 3:19) As the Israelite regarded them (not seeing the hidden connection), the Covenant to Abraham and "the law, which was 430 years after," were all one. But Paul shows us that there are two seeds that God

22. What part will natural Israel have in fulfilling the Abrahamic Covenant? and upon what conditions? T. 34, par. 1. Z. '09-29 (2nd col.) par. 3, 4.

23. What did the colors of the "ephod" signify? T. 34, par. 2.

24. How was the "breastplate of judgment" worn, and what did it represent? T. 34, par. 3.

25. How did natural Israel regard the Covenant (represented by the ephod), and the Law (represented by the breastplate), and why? T. 34, par. 3.



had in mind, the spiritual and the natural, and that the Covenant and the Law were distinct, “to the end that the promise might be sure to all the seed; not to that only which is of *the Law*, but to that *also* which is of *the Faith*.” Rom. 4:16

This Law emblem (the breastplate) was one of the most beautiful of the High Priest’s garments. It was made of the same materials as the ephod. It had in it, set in gold, twelve precious jewels, in which were engraved the names of the twelve tribes. It was bound on his heart, indicating that it was precious to him. As a “breastplate of righteousness” it covered his heart. That which condemned all imperfections was his pleasure—“I delight to do thy will, O my God: yea, thy *Law* is within my heart.” Psa. 40:8

This breastplate was two spans long and one span wide, folded in the middle, i.e., a span long and a span wide when *doubled*. The size, a span, indicated that the law of God is the full measure of a *perfect man’s ability*. The man Christ Jesus, being perfect, was the only one who ever kept the perfect Law of God inviolate, while those who compose the “little flock,” his Body, have his righteousness imputed to them, and hence may truly say, “The righteousness of the Law is fulfilled in us.”

The fact that it was double and that the parts were of the same size represented the *letter* and the *spirit* of the Law. The front part contained the jewels, and was hung by the gold chain to the gold clasps of the ephod. The underpart was fastened to the ephod. This under half, tied to the ephod (Covenant), seems to represent the Law in letter, as presented to fleshly Israel. The front part seems to illustrate the spirit of the Law *fulfilled in us*, “who walk not after the flesh, but after the spirit.” (Rom. 8:4) The two are really *one* when rightly seen, yet the front part, only, bears the precious jewels.

26. Describe the Law emblem (the breastplate). Why was it bound upon the High Priest’s heart? T. 35, par. 1.

27. What were the dimensions of the breastplate? and what the significance of its being a span long and a span wide when doubled? T. 35, par. 2.

28. What was the significance of its being doubled, and what did each half represent? T. 35, par. 3.

Pure gold being a symbol of *divine* things, the dependence of this part of the Law by a gold chain, from the gold clasps, seems to teach that the Law is *divine*; and we know, also, that it is by Divine aid that we are enabled to walk—not after the flesh but after the spirit. It is this phase of the Law which bears the “jewels,” set in gold, representative of the true Israel, the Lord’s “little flock.” “They shall be mine, saith the Lord of hosts, in that day when I make up my jewels.” (Mal. 3:17) Thus embedded in gold (the divine nature) and upheld by the golden chain of Divine promises, what wonder that “the righteousness of the law is fulfilled in us!” Rom. 8:1,4

As Aaron stood there clothed in those beautiful robes so typically significant, and was anointed with the holy oil, his head represented Jesus, the Head of the Priesthood, while his body represented the Church, complete in Christ. How impressive and significant a type of the world’s High Priest, undefiled, and clothed with power and authority to fulfil Jehovah’s covenants!

#### The Under-Priests—"The Body"

We see the *Body*, or members of the High Priest, again individually typified by the under-priests, who each wore a “bonnet,” covering his head, to indicate that he was not the head of the Priesthood, but merely a member of the Body. God gave Jesus “to be the *Head* over all things to the Church, which is his *Body*.” (Eph. 1:22,23) It is for this reason that Paul insists that a woman’s head should be covered as indicating that she is not the head; the husband and wife being typical of Jesus and his Bride—the Church of the First-born.

The under-priests were robed in linen garments and wore girdles. Their robes represented the *righteousness* of Jesus,

29. What is the precious significance of the breastplate being suspended by a golden chain from the gold clasps of the “ephod”? T. 36, par. 1.

30. What did Aaron represent when clothed in these beautiful robes and anointed with the holy oil? T. 36, par. 2.

#### The Under-Priests “The Body.”

31. How were the under-priests, “the body.” clothed, and what was the significance of their garments? T. 36, pars. 3, 4.

32. What beautiful and appropriate antitypical application does the Apostle Paul make to the Gospel Church in this connection? T. 36, par. 3; F. 271, pars. 1-3.

imputed to them, and their girdles represent them as *servants* of righteousness. The High Priest wore very similar garments during the time of sacrificing (the Day of Atonement) and put on the glorious garments after making atonement.

### The Anointing of the Priest

As Aaron had the holy oil poured upon his head, so our Head, the Lord Jesus, was anointed with the antitypical oil—the holy Spirit—when he was thirty years of age, on the banks of Jordan, at the time of his consecration. There he was “anointed with the oil of gladness above his fellows”—as *Head* over all his joint-heirs. A *measure* of the spirit is given to every member who thus consecrates; but Jehovah gave “not the Spirit by measure unto him.” (John 3:34) John saw and bore record that our High Priest was thus anointed, and Peter adds his testimony, “How God *anointed* Jesus of Nazareth with the holy Spirit and with power.” John 1:32; Luke 4:1; Acts 10:38

The anointing oil was poured *only* upon the *head*. The under-priests were not anointed individually.\* They were recognized as members of the High Priest’s body, and received their anointing only in him as their head. Hence also the antitypical priests are merely partakers of the spirit of Christ, and only those who are *in* Christ Jesus are partakers of the anointing which seals all those who will be recognized as the heirs of God’s promises, and joint-heirs with Jesus Christ their Lord. Eph. 1:13,14; 4:30

The oil “ran down...to the skirts of his [the High Priest’s] garments” (Psa. 133:2), thus representing how all the members of Christ’s Body are to be partakers of the same anointing *after* their Head. “The anointing which we have *received of him* abideth in you.” (1 John 2:27) This oil began to reach *the Body* on the day of Pentecost, and flowed on down

33. How was the anointing oil applied, and what did this ceremony typify primarily? T. 37, par. 1.

34. Why was the anointing oil poured only upon Aaron’s head, and not upon the under-priests? T. 37, par. 2.

35. What is the antitype of this anointing in its secondary significance? T. 37, pars. 2, 3; E. 280, 281; F. 132, par. 1.

---

\*Exodus 30:30 refers to the anointing of Aaron and his sons. The thought is that each of Aaron’s sons who succeeded to the High Priest’s office was to be anointed in his turn, as Aaron himself was anointed at the beginning.

through this Gospel age, anointing all who are truly baptized *into Christ*, constituting them, with their Head, kings and priests unto God, to reign a thousand years. Rev. 20:6

We thus see that Aaron, robed and anointed, represented the entire Christ—the complete Seed of Abraham, in which God is about to bless all the families of the earth. But let us not forget that we have been viewing the Great Deliverer from God’s standpoint, and with him looking down to the time of his manifestation—the dawn of the Millennial Day—when all the members shall have come into the Body, and when the “holy oil” shall have run down “to the skirts of his garments,” anointing every member. (Lev. 10:7) Then he will begin the work of blessing mankind. For the glorious reign of this Kingly Priest we constantly pray, “Thy Kingdom come, thy will be done on earth.”

36. From what standpoint should we view Aaron thus robed and anointed? T. 38, par. 1.

## CHAPTER III

### CONSECRATING THE PRIESTHOOD LEVITICUS 8:14-33

Set Apart to God's Service—"Be Thou Faithful unto Death"—"Sanctify Yourselves," and "I Will Sanctify You"—The Bullocks and Rams of Consecration—The Anointing Oil of Consecration.

THE consecration of the Priesthood was typical of the consecration of the human nature of the Lord Jesus and his Body, the Church, to the will of Jehovah—the obedience of Jesus even unto death, and the obedience of the members of his Body suffering for righteousness' sake "even unto death" with him. The whole Body, represented by Aaron's sons (as well as the Head, represented personally by Aaron himself), is, by the antitypical sacrifices, being made during the Gospel age, *consecrated* for their future work as kings and priests, to restore and rule and bless mankind. This consecration signifies the giving up of their ALL to the will of God in his service. But the extremity of the sacrificers becomes Jehovah's opportunity; when these priests have consecrated all they have, all they are, and all they hope for, as human beings, devoting or sacrificing these to destruction, thus becoming *joint-sacrificers* with Jesus their Redeemer, then, in accepting their sacrifices, Jehovah *begets* these to a new nature—the spiritual nature. And not only so, but as a reward for

## Chapter III

### Consecrating the Priesthood. Leviticus 8:14-33.

- (1) What did the consecration of Israel's priesthood typify? T. 39, par. 1.
- (2) What is the *object* of the antitypical consecration? What does this consecration signify? T. 39, par. 1.
- (3) What is the *present* result and the promised *future* reward to those who thus consecrate? T. 39, par. 1.

faithfulness he promises to bestow the highest order of spiritual existence—the divine nature: and at once they are reckonedly owned as spiritual sons of God. Gal. 4:4-7; 2 Pet. 1:4

### **“Be Thou Faithful Unto Death”**

That some who consecrate to sacrifice, and thus join the “royal priesthood,” will not reach the future royal service is also shown in these types, as well as expressly declared in the New Testament. One class will be “saved so as by fire,” “coming up through great tribulation,” but missing the prize for which they started out in consecration, because not sufficiently appreciative of their privilege of sacrificing as priests—not sufficiently zealous to “suffer with him,” the High Priest. These we will consider particularly later on, when examining the sacrifices of the Atonement Day.

Another class of those who consecrate as priests, which will not gain the *royal* blessings promised to these priests, will be destroyed in the Second Death. These, clearly brought to our notice by the New Testament (Heb. 6:4-6; 10:28-31; 1 John 5:16), are pictured also in these types or shadows of the Tabernacle service.

Aaron’s four sons at first represented the under-priesthood, but two of these were destroyed—corresponding to the two classes above described, both of which fail, as respects the royal priesthood; one of them suffering the Second Death, the other saved from it only “so as by fire”—tribulation, purgation. And as Aaron and the two remaining sons were forbidden to make lamentation for their brethren who were thus cut off, this signifies that all the faithful of the priests will recognize the justice of the Divine decisions, and will bow to them in humble submis-

(4) Will all who consecrate to sacrifice reach the future royal service? T. 40, par. 1.

(5) Why must one class come up “through great tribulation” in order to be “saved”? T. 40, par. 2.

(6) How do the Scriptures point out a class of priests who will be destroyed in the Second Death? T. 40, par. 2.

(7) How did the type of Aaron’s sons represent these two classes which fail of the prize? T. 40, par. 3; Z ‘02-238 (2nd col.) and 239.

(8) Why were Aaron and his two remaining sons forbidden to make lamentation for their brethren thus cut off? T. 40, par. 3.

sion, saying, “Just and true are thy ways, thou King of saints.” Indeed, it brings a blessing to the faithful, leading them to greater zeal, saying, “Let us fear lest a promise being left us of entering into his rest any of us should seem to come short of it.” Lev. 10:1-7; Rev. 15:3; Heb. 4:1

### “Sanctify Yourselves”—and—“I Will Sanctify You”

The invitation to the justified believer to consecrate, sanctify, or set apart himself to the divine service, is an invitation to *sacrifice earthly interests* and rights: and the promise on God’s part is that such sacrifices will be holy and acceptable through the merit of our Redeemer, and that in return he will accept us as new creatures, begetting us to the new nature by the holy Spirit of the truth. Thus God *sanctifies* or sets apart such as are reckoned *holy new creatures*.

The typical consecration service performed upon the typical priests shows the two parts of the consecration—our part in surrendering the human nature and its rights, and God’s part in accepting our sacrifice, and setting us apart and recognizing us as new creatures. The *new* spiritual nature was represented in Aaron and his sons; the earthly nature sacrificed was represented in the bullock and rams offered on the altar. Lev. 8:14-33

The *bullock* for the sin-offering was brought, “and Aaron and his sons laid their hands upon the head” of it, thus saying, This sacrifice represents us. From that moment, all that happened to the bullock, represented what was to be done to Jesus and to his Body, the Church, as human beings. The bullock was delivered up to the “Law” (represented by Moses), to meet its demands against Israel, typical of mankind in general. To meet the demands of the Law it had to be slain—“And Moses slew it.” He then

### “Sanctify Yourselves” - And - “I Will Sanctify You.”

(9) Who are invited to sanctify themselves, and what does this invitation signify? T. 41, par. 1; Z. ‘03-437 (2nd col.).

(10) What are *the conditions* of our acceptance and begetting on God’s part? T. 41, par. 1.

(11) What two parts of our consecration were shown by the typical consecration service? T. 41, par. 2.

(12) How were the *spiritual* and the *earthly* natures represented in the type? T. 41, par. 2.

(13) What was first done with the bullock? And what did this signify? (See Lev. 1:4.) Why was the bullock next delivered over to Moses? And what did this signify? T. 41, par. 3.

applied the blood to the horns of the altar. The “finger” of the “Law” thus pointed out that the altar of earthly sacrifices was acceptable to God by reason of the shed blood, (the life given), and that all who realize the power of the altar (horns are symbols of power) must *first* recognize the blood which sanctifies it. The blood poured at the base of the altar showed that through the blood of the sacrifice (life given) even the *earth* was purchased back from the curse. “Unto the redemption of the *purchased* possession.” See Eph. 1:14.

And Moses took the bullock, his hide, flesh, etc., and burnt them with fire without the “Camp.” (Verse 17) Thus the humanity of the Christ complete—Head and Body—is made “a sin-offering,” suffering the destruction to which the world was doomed, and from which, by this sacrifice, it will ultimately be delivered—the *merit* being in the sacrifice of our Lord Jesus, we, his “brethren,” being *privileged* to fill up a measure of HIS sufferings, as “members of *his* Body.” (Col. 1:24) But while the humanity of the royal priesthood is destroyed, as a vile thing in the eyes of the world, as represented by the burning of the bullock without the “Camp,” God accepts the heart devotion which prompts the sacrifice, which says, “Lo, I come to do thy will, O God.” “I delight to do thy will, O my God.” This was represented by the offering on the altar of the fat and parts of the inward life-producing organism, as a “sweet savor” unto the Lord.

Other features of the same consecration were shown by the two rams mentioned in verses 18 and 22. The first mentioned was the ram for the burnt-offering. Aaron and his sons laid their hands upon its head, thus indicating that it represented them. It was killed; its blood was sprinkled upon the altar; and Moses “cut the ram into pieces, and washed the inwards and legs in water,” and “burnt the

(14) Why did Moses apply the blood to the horns of the altar? And what did the blood poured at the base of the altar signify? T. 42, (top of page).

(15) What was done with the hide, flesh, etc., of the bullock? And what did it typify? What represented the heart devotion which prompts our sacrifice? T. 42, par. 1.

(16) What was done with “the ram of burnt-offering” and what did this typify? T. 42, par. 2.





A PRIEST—IN LINEN GARMENTS



head and the pieces and the fat.” Thus during the entire Gospel age Jesus and his Body, the Church, are being presented, member by member, before God on the altar, yet all are counted *together* as one sacrifice. The Head was laid on the altar first, and since then all who are “dead with him,” and cleansed, as in the type, by the washing of water—through the Word—are reckoned as laid with the Head upon the same altar. The burning of the offering on the altar shows how God accepts the sacrifice, as “a sweet smelling savor.”

The second ram, “the ram of consecration,” showed what effect the sacrifice will have upon us, as the first showed how God receives our sacrifice. Aaron and his sons laid their hands upon the head of the ram of consecration, showing thus that it represented them. And Moses slew it and took its blood (consecrated *life*) and put it upon each separately, thus showing that our consecration is an individual work. And he put it upon the tip of the right ear, and upon the thumb of the right hand, and upon the great toe of the right foot. Thus by our consecration we are enabled to have the “*hearing of faith*,” and to appreciate God’s promises as none but the consecrated can. Our hands are consecrated, so that whatsoever our hands find to *do* we do it with our might as unto the Lord. Our feet are consecrated, so that henceforth we “*walk* not as other Gentiles” but “*walk* in newness of life,” “*walk* by faith,” “*walk* in the spirit,” “*walk* in the light” and even “as we received Christ, so *walk* in him.” Verses 23,24

The choice portions of the ram, its “inwards” and “fat,” represented our heart sentiments, our *best powers*. These were taken in the hands of the priests and “*waved*”—passed to and fro before the Lord—representing the fact that a consecrated offering is not given to the Lord for a moment, a day or a year, but that we consecrate to continually keep

(17) Briefly, what did “the ram of burnt offering: and ”the ram of consecration” signify? T. 45, par. 1.

(18) What was done with “the ram of consecration”? and what did it show? T. 45, par. 1. Z. ‘03-437 (2nd col.).

(19) What significance in the priests’ *waving* the choice parts of the ram before the Lord? T. 45, par. 2.

our affections and powers uplifted, never ceasing until accepted of him as having finished our course. And Moses took the wave-offering off their hands (the priests did not lay it down), God's acceptance being shown by fire. So we, the "royal priests," may not lay down or cease to offer all our powers in God's service while we have them, nor until all are consumed in his service, until God shall say, It is enough—come up higher. When the love ("fat") of our inmost being is laid upon the altar, it helps to increase the fire of God's acceptance. The more love there is connected with our consecration to God, the more quickly will it consume our offering.

Upon this "wave-offering," while in their hands, were laid three cakes from a basketful. This offering was laid by Moses upon the hands of both the High Priest and the under-priests.

The first, an unleavened cake, represented the actual purity of Jesus as a man, and the imputed purity of the Church as men, as attested by the Law (Moses)—*justification*— for "the righteousness of the Law is fulfilled in us" so long as we are accepted members of his Body. (Rom. 8:4) The second unleavened cake, mingled with *oil*, represented the indwelling spirit of God—*sanctification*. The third, a wafer, represented our hope and faith in the exceeding precious promises of glory, honor and immortality.

Without these elements it is impossible for our consecration to be complete, and hence acceptable; viz., *Justification* (purity), *Sanctification* by the Spirit, through the belief of the truth, and faith in the promised *Glorification*.

The anointing oil mingled with the blood of consecration was sprinkled over them (verse 30), teaching that our consecration is accepted only because we are justified by the precious blood of our Redeemer; thus we are told that

(20) Why did Moses *take* "the wave-offering" off the hands of the priests? T. 46 (top of page).

(21) What was laid upon "the wave offering" while in the hands of the priests? T. 46, par. 1.

(22) What did the "three cakes" represent? T. 46, par. 2.

(23) Why were these a necessary part of the typical service? T. 46, par. 3.

(24) What is the significance in the sprinkling of oil mingled with blood over Aaron and his sons? T. 46, par. 4. F. 131, par. 1.

we are “accepted in the Beloved”—only. Ephesians 1:6.

The boiling of the flesh of consecration (verse 31) was no part of the sacrifice: it was merely the preparing of the portion which was to be eaten. It was all to be disposed of (verse 32), showing that we are to be completely and entirely consecrated, and none of our time and power should be wasted.

The *seven days* of consecration (verses 33,35) showed again that we are consecrated to God’s service, not for a part of our time only, but for all of it. Seven, in Scripture, is a complete number, and signifies *all* or the *whole* of whatever it applies to. (“Seven seals,” “seven trumpets,” “seven plagues,” etc.) Verse 36 shows the completion of the work of consecration.

There never was a time when it was more necessary than it is now that all who are consecrated as priests should see to it that we “be dead with him,” and our every ability waved before God, that he may accept and make use of our talents to his glory. Especially is this a matter of interest to those who understand the Scriptures to teach that very soon all the members of the *Body* will be accepted with the *Head*, a sweet savor to God; and that the work of self-sacrifice being then finished, the glorious work of blessing mankind and fulfilling the Covenant of God will begin.

The antitypical consecrating of the antitypical priests is confined to the present [Gospel] age. It has progressed steadily since our Lord and Forerunner “offered up himself”— and will be complete before this age has fully ended. And if we fail to be among the priests now, during the time of consecration, we cannot be of them when they begin their service for the people in the Kingdom, when these same priests (now despised of men, but a “sweet savor to God”) will have the title of King added, and will, with

(25) Why was the flesh boiled and eaten? T. 47, par. 1.

(26) What did the seven days of consecration typify? T. 47, par. 2. (See Ex. 29:30, 35, 37.)

(27) Why is it especially necessary *now* that all who consecrate should see to it that they be *dead with him*? T. 47, par. 3, 4.

(28) When will all opportunity to enter the Royal Priesthood cease? T. 47, par. 4.

their Head, Jesus, rule and bless all nations. (Rev. 20:6) Do we earnestly desire to be among those who will sing to the praise of our great High Priest, “Thou hast made us unto our God Kings and Priests, and we shall reign on the earth”? If so we will be fully consecrated now, for it is only “If we suffer with him” that “we shall also reign with him.” 2 Tim. 2:12

### **Prayer of the Under-Priests**

---

“Victorious High Priest! No more in garments stained  
Shalt thou for sacrifice draw near;  
No more with sin’s dread penalty shalt thou be pained.  
The great redemption-price is paid, the glory-heights attained,  
And soon to bless shalt thou appear!

“All-glorious High Priest! All power in heaven and earth,  
All grace and love dost thou possess!  
As rightful King of kings and Lord of lords, stand forth!  
While joyful trumps proclaim thy righteous name and worth,  
And prostrate hosts thy praise confess.

\* \* \*

“O merciful High Priest! O tender Advocate,  
The penitent’s unfailing Friend,  
Still touched by feeling for our griefs and low estate!  
The future work of grace for all anticipate,  
And now, on us, thy blessing send!”



## CHAPTER IV

### THE GREAT “DAY OF ATONEMENT”

#### LEVITICUS 16:3-33

The Order of the Type and Its Antitypical Significations—The Bull-ock—The Priest—The Entrance of the Holies with the Blood—The Incense, the Sweet Odor and the Stench—Entering the Most Holy—The Lord’s Goat—The Scapegoat—The Blessing of the People.

**T**HE Day of Atonement as a type should be considered as separate from and yet a part of and related to other Tabernacle types. Indeed, these types are each separate *pictures*, so to speak; each has its own subject and teaches its own lessons, and yet all are in agreement—parts of one gallery, and harmonious as the work of one great Artist. In all of them we are to look first for the Head and then for his Body, the under-priests, the Church.

To understand the significance of the Day of Atonement and its work, we must realize that while our Lord Jesus personally is the Chief Priest to the under-priesthood, the Gospel Church, “his Body,” yet in the more full and complete sense he is the Head and we are the members of the Body of the world’s High Priest. Just so Aaron was chief over his under-priesthood, while really in its general and proper sense and representing the under-priests, he was ordained to minister as High Priest “*for all the people*” of Israel—the typical representatives of all humanity desirous of having atonement made for their sins and to return to Divine favor and obedience.

## Chapter IV.

### The Great “Day of Atonement.”

**Leviticus 16:3-33.**

1. What did the Day of Atonement signify? T. 49, pars. 1, 2.
2. In what way was it related to all subsequent types?
3. Who was the antitype of the Chief or High Priest in his relation to the under-priests? T. 49, par. 2.
4. Who was the antitype of the High Priest in his relation to all Israel? T. 49, par. 2.
5. In this latter sense whom did Israel typify? T. 49, par. 2.

As the consecrating of the antitypical priesthood includes all the members of the Body, and requires all of the Gospel age to complete it, so also with the sin-offering, or the sacrifice of atonement: it commenced with the Head, and we, the members of his Body, fill up the measure of the sufferings of Christ which are behind. And these sufferings require all of the Gospel age to complete them. 1 Pet. 4:13; Rom. 8:17; 2 Cor. 1:7; 4:10; Phil. 3:10; Col. 1:24; 2 Tim. 2:12; 1 Pet. 5:1,10.

The "Day of Atonement," which in the type was but a twenty-four hour day, we see then in antitype to be the entire Gospel age. And with its close the sacrificing ceases, the glory and blessing begin, and the great High Priest of the world (Jesus and his Bride, made *one*, Head and members complete) will stand forth crowned a King and Priest after the Melchisedec order, a King of Peace—a Priest upon his throne. Heb. 5:10.

There he will stand before the world (manifest, recognized, but *unseen* by natural sight), not only as King and Priest, but also as the great Prophet—"A Prophet shall the Lord your God raise up unto you of your brethren, like unto me [Moses];...and it shall come to pass that every soul which will not hear that Prophet shall be destroyed from among the people." When, during the Millennium, under the government and teaching of this great Prophet, Priest and King, mankind is brought to perfect knowledge and ability, perfect obedience will be required and all who will not render it will be cut off from life without further hope—the second death. Acts 3:22,23.

In the end of the Jewish age Jesus offered himself individually to Israel as prophet, priest and king, typical or illustrative of the offering of the whole Body, the complete and glorified Christ, to the whole world. As Prophet he taught them; as Priest "he offered up himself" (Heb. 7:27);

6. What period of time in the antitype is indicated in the consecrating of the priesthood? T. 50, par. 1.

7. What period of time is typified in the sacrifice of the "sin-offerings"? and when do they cease? T. 50, par. 2.

8. When are the blessing and glory for the world under this glorious High Priest due to begin? T. 50, pars. 2, 3.

9. Of what order of priesthood will the glorified Christ be? T. 50, par. 2.

10. What will be the three-fold work of the completed Christ? T. 50, pars. 2, 3, 4.

11. Did Jesus thus offer himself to the Jewish people at his First Advent? And why? T. 50, par. 4 and top of p. 51.

12. What did the rejection of Jesus by the Jews and its consequences foreshadow in this age?

13. What will be required of the world after the triple work of the Christ has been fulfilled? T. 50, par. 3.

14. What will be the consequences upon any who fail to measure up perfectly to all requirements? T. 50, par. 3; Acts 3:23.



and as King he rode into their city at the close of his ministry. But they did not receive him in any of these offices. During the Gospel age his Church or Body has acknowledged him as “a teacher sent from God”—the great Prophet; as the “High Priest of our profession”; and as the rightful King. The Word of God teaches, however, that it is not by the Church only that he is to be accepted, but that he (together with his Body, the Church) will be the Prophet *for all the people*, the Priest *for all the people* and the King over “*all peoples, nations and tongues*”; “Lord of *all*,” Priest of *all* and Prophet or teacher of *all*.

In the consecration of the typical priests we saw Aaron and his sons representing our Lord Jesus and his Body as “new creatures,” and a bullock representing their humanity; but in the type now to be considered we find Aaron alone representing the entire Anointed One (Head and Body), and two different sacrifices, a bullock and a goat, are here used to represent the separateness, yet similarity in suffering, of the Body and its Head, as the “sin-offering.”

15. What clear distinction between the human nature and the “new creature” is shown in these types, and how? Lev. 8:14; 16:11, 15; T. 51, pars. 1, 2; A. 179; par. 3; B. 126, pars. 1, 2, and 127, par. 1.

## **The First Atonement Day Sacrifice**

### **The Bullock**

The bullock represented Jesus at the age of thirty years— the *perfect* MAN who gave himself and died on our behalf. The High Priest, as we have already seen, represented the “new” nature of Jesus, the anointed Head and all the members of his Body foreknown of God. The distinction which is here made between the *human* and “*new* creature” should be clearly understood and remembered.\* “The *man* Christ Jesus who gave himself” at thirty years of age, was he who previously was rich (of a higher nature), but who for our sakes became poor; that is, became a *man*,

---

\*See Scripture Studies, Vol. I, Chap. X, and Vol. II, p. 126.

that he might give the only possible ransom for men—a perfect man’s life. 1 Cor. 15:21.

Since the penalty of man’s sin was death, it was necessary that our Redeemer become a man, be “*made flesh*,” otherwise he could not redeem mankind. A man had sinned, and the penalty was *death*; and if our Lord would pay the penalty it was essential that he should be of the same nature (but undefiled, separate from sin and from the race of sinners), and die as Adam’s *substitute*, else mankind could never be liberated from death. To do this the man Jesus made sacrifice “*of all that he had*”—glory as a perfect man, honor as a perfect man could claim it, and, finally, *life as a perfect man*. And this was all that he had, (except God’s promise of a *new* nature, and the *hope* which that promise generated); for he had exchanged his spiritual being or existence for the human, which he made “a sin-offering,” and which was typified by the Atonement Day bullock. John 1:14; Isa. 53:10.

But since “the *man* Christ Jesus” gave *himself* as our RANSOM-PRICE, it follows that he cannot be restored to that *manhood* which he gave. If he were to take back the ransom-price, we, the redeemed, would again relapse under the condemnation of death. But, thanks be to God, his sacrifice remains forever, that we may be forever free from Adamic guilt and its death penalty. If, then, the Father would ever confer upon Jesus *any* honor, glory or life as a *reward* for his obedience even unto death, it must be a glory, honor and life on some other plane of being than the human.

Such was the design of Jehovah for Jesus, viz., that he would highly exalt him above the human plane, and above his prehuman condition; above all angels, principalities and powers, to his own right hand (condition of *chief* favor, next to Jehovah) and make him a partaker of immortality

16. Why was it necessary for our Lord to become a man? T. 52, par. 2. 17. As Jesus *gave himself* as our “ransom” price, taking Adam’s place in *death*, how could he ever *live* again without annulling his work as Redeemer? T. 52, par. 2.

17. As Jesus *gave himself* as our “ransom price”, taking Adam’s place in *death*, how could he ever *live* again without annulling his work as Redeemer? T. 52, par. 2.

18. What hope or promise did the Father set before Jesus as a reward for becoming man’s “ransom”? T. 52, par. 3 and top of 53.

— the divine nature. For these and other joys set before him, Jesus “endured the cross, despising the shame, and is set down at the right hand of the majesty on high.” Heb. 12:2; Phil. 2:9; Heb. 1:3,4.

The *new* nature which our Lord received instead of the human nature, and as a reward for its sacrifice, is what is here typified by the Priest. While it is true that the sacrifice of the *human* was not finished until the cross, and that the reward, the *divine* nature, was not fully received until the resurrection three days later, yet, in God’s reckoning—and as shown in this type—the death of Jesus (the bullock) was reckoned as complete when Jesus *presented himself a living sacrifice*, symbolizing his death in baptism. There he reckoned himself *dead*—dead to all human aims, to hopes of human glory, honor or life—in the same sense that we, his followers, are exhorted to reckon ourselves dead indeed to the world, but alive as *new* creatures unto God. Rom. 6:11.

This acceptance of Jesus’ sacrifice by Jehovah, at the time of his consecration, as though it were finished, and he dead indeed, was indicated by the anointing with the holy Spirit—“the earnest” or guaranty of what he would receive when death had actually taken place.

Thus considered, we see that the death of the bullock typified the offering by Jesus of himself, when he consecrated himself. This is in harmony with the Apostle’s statement respecting Jesus’ consecration or offering of himself. He quotes the Prophet, saying, “Lo I come to do thy will, O God, as in the volume of the Scriptures it is written of me”— to die and redeem many. *There*, says the inspired writer, “He took away the first [i.e., set aside the typical sacrifices] that he might establish [or fulfil] the second [the antitype, the real sacrifice for sins].” Heb. 10:7,9,14.

19. When was the death of the antitypical bullock, “the man, Christ Jesus,” reckoned as having taken place? T. 53, pars. 1, 2, 3; 54, pars. 1, 2; Z. ‘09-202.

Yes; there the slaying of the sin-offering, typified by the bullock, occurred; and the three years and a half of Jesus' ministry showed that all *human will was dead*, and the human body reckoned so, from the moment of consecration.

The anointed Jesus, filled with the holy Spirit at the moment of baptism, was the *divine "new creature"* (though not *perfected* as divine until the resurrection): and that relationship he always claimed, saying, "The words that I speak unto you I speak not of myself [as a man] but the Father that dwelleth in me [by his Spirit], he doeth the works. The word which ye hear is not mine, but the Father's which sent me." (John 14:10,24) "Not my will [as a man] but thine [Father—the divine] be done" in and to this "earthen vessel" consecrated to death. Luke 22:42.

The Bullock was slain in the "Court," which we have seen typified the condition of faith in and harmony with God, the highest attainment of the flesh, the human nature. Jesus was in this condition, a perfect man, when he offered himself (the bullock in the type) to God.

Let us bear in mind these distinctions while we examine carefully the work of the typical Atonement Day, that we may more clearly understand the antitypical realities. Aaron was washed, in order fitly to represent the purity, the sinlessness, of the "new creature"—the Head and his Body-members. ("No one who has been begotten by God practices sin; because his seed abides in him, and he cannot sin because he has been begotten by God." 1 John 3:9, *Diaglott*) The new creature cannot sin, and its duty is to keep a constant watch over the old nature, reckoned dead, lest it come to life again. For the old will to divide the control with the new implies that the old is not dead, and that the new is not "overcoming." For the old to *triumph* would signify the *death* of the "new creature"—"Second Death."

20. Where was the bullock slain? And what did this typify? T. 54, par. 3.

22. Why were Aaron and his sons washed before being clothed with the holy garments or entering the "Holy"? Ex. 29:4-9; Lev. 16:4. T. 54, par. 4.

Aaron was clothed for the service of the “Day of Atonement,” not in his usual “garments of glory and beauty,” but in garments of *sacrifice*, the “linen garments,” emblems of purity—the righteousness of saints. The robe of linen was an *earnest* of the glorious robe to follow; the “linen girdle” represented him as a servant, though not so powerful as when, at the close of the “Day of Atonement,” he would be girdled with the “curious girdle” of the ephod; the mitre of linen, being the same as that belonging to the glorious apparel, proclaims the perfect righteousness of our Head during the sacrifice, as well as after it. So the antitypical High Priest, the divine-minded, spirit-begotten one, though not yet born of the Spirit, was ready and able to accomplish the sacrifice of the atonement at the first advent, and proceeded to do it, as typified in Aaron.

“Thus shall Aaron come into the Holy [and Most Holy] with a young bullock for a sin-offering, and a ram for a burnt-offering. And Aaron shall offer his bullock of the sin-offering which is for [represents] himself, and make an atonement for himself [the members of *his body*—the under-priests] and for his house [all believers, the entire “household of faith”—the Levites]. And he shall kill the bullock of the sin-offering which is for [represents] himself. And he shall take a censer full of burning coals of fire from off the altar before the Lord, and his hands full of sweet incense beaten small [powdered], and bring it within the veil [the first veil or “door”]. And he shall put the incense upon the fire before the Lord [the censer of coals of fire was set into the top of the golden altar in the “Holy,” and the incense crumbled over it gradually yielded a smoke of sweet perfume], that the cloud of the incense [penetrating beyond the second veil] may cover the mercy seat, that is upon [covers] the testimony [the Law], that he die not [by infracting these conditions, upon which alone he may

23. Did the High Priest wear the same garments during the Day of Atonement as he wore at the time of his consecration to the priesthood? And if not, why not? Lev. 16:4. T. 55, par. 1.

21. For whom was the blood of the bullock shed? And what did this signify? T. 55, par. 2; Lev. 16:12.

24. As the garments of glory and beauty represented the glorified Christ, Head and Body, why did he wear them at the time of his consecration and anointing to the priesthood? See Lev. 8:7-10.

Ans. This shows how God foreknew and had foreordained the entire office and work of the antitypical priest, before Jesus was anointed. These robes represented the high priest’s future work.

25. Why did Aaron make the “sin-offering” “for *himself*” as well as for all “the members of his house”? and what did this typify? T. 55, par. 2.

come into the divine presence acceptably]." Verses 3,6,11-13.

Looking through the type to the antitype, let us now, step by step, compare the doings of Jesus with this prophetic picture of his work. When the man Christ Jesus had consecrated himself he immediately, as the new creature, begotten by the holy Spirit, took the sacrificed human life (blood of the bullock) to present it before God as the ransom-price "for our sins, and not for ours only, but *also* for the sins of the whole world." Spirit-begotten, he was no longer in the "Court" condition, but in the first "Holy," where he must tarry and offer his incense upon the fire of trial—he must demonstrate his loyalty to God and righteousness by the things suffered as a begotten Son, before entering the "Most Holy," the perfect spiritual condition. Heb. 5:8.

The High Priest took with him (along with the blood) fire from off the altar, and his two hands full of sweet incense to cause the perfume; and so our Lord Jesus' fulfilment of his vow of consecration, during the three and a half years of his ministry, was a sweet and acceptable perfume to the Father, attesting at once the completeness of the consecration and the perfection of the sacrifice. The sweet incense beaten small represented the perfection of the man Jesus. The fire from the "Brazen Altar" represented the trials to which he was subject; and its being carried along by the Priest signifies that our Lord must, by his own course of faithfulness, bring his persecutions upon himself. And when the perfections of his being (incense) came in contact with the trials of life (fire), he yielded perfect obedience to the divine will—a sweet perfume. Thus is shown his temptation in all points, yet without sin. As the incense must be all consumed in the fire, so he yielded his *all* in obedience. It was the Priest's "two hands full" which he offered, thus representing our Lord's full

29. Why must Aaron tarry for a time in the "Holy" before proceeding with the blood of the bullock into the "Most Holy"? T. 56, pars. 1, 2.

26. What was the typical significance of the High Priest's filling his hands with "sweet incense," and taking it with the blood into the "Holy" and offering it upon the "golden altar"? T. 56, par. 2.

27. What was the meaning of the censer of burning coals upon which the incense was crumbled? T. 56, par. 2.

28. What was typified by the cloud of smoke therefrom that penetrated beyond the "vail" into the "Most Holy"? T. 56, par. 2.

34. What period of time in the antitype was indicated by the time spent by the Priest in the burning of the fat and vital organs, as well as in the burning of the sweet incense? T. 56, par. 2; 57, par. 2; 1 Peter. 1:7.

capacity and ability of righteousness—required and yielded.

But while Jesus, as a “new creature,” was thus within the “Holy,” enjoying the light of the golden candlestick, fed by the bread of truth, and offering acceptable incense to Jehovah, let us look out into the “Court,” and yet farther out, beyond the “Camp,” and see another work progressing simultaneously. We last saw the bullock dead, in the “Court,” representing the man, Jesus, consecrated at thirty years of age, at his baptism. Now the fat of it has been placed upon the “Brazen Altar,” and with it the kidneys and various life-producing organs. They are burning furiously, for a bullock has much fat. A cloud of smoke, called a “sweet savor to God,” rises in the sight of all who are in the “Court,” the Levites—the household of faith, believers.

This represents how Jesus’ sacrifice appeared to *believing men*. They saw the devotion, the self-sacrifice, the loving zeal (fat) ascending to God as a sweet and acceptable sacrifice, during the three and a half years of our Lord’s ministry. They well knew that with him the Father was ever well pleased. They knew from what they saw in the “Court” (in the flesh) that he was acceptable, though they could not see the sacrifice in its full grandeur and perfection as it appeared in Jehovah’s sight (in the “Holy”), a sweet incense on the “Golden Altar.”

And while these two fires are burning (in the “Court” the “fat,” and in the “Holy” the “incense,” and their perfumes ascending *at the same time*) there is another fire “outside the camp.” There the body of flesh is being destroyed. (Verse 27) This represents Jesus’ work as viewed by the world. To them it seems foolish that he should spend his life in sacrifice. They see not the necessity for it as man’s ransom-price, nor the spirit of obedience which prompted it, as the Father saw these. They see not our

30. What simultaneous work was progressing in the “Court”? and what did this typify? T. 57, pars. 1, 2.

31. What was represented by the burning upon the Brazen Altar of the fat and inward organs of the bullock? T. 57, pars. 1, 2.

32. What did the great volume of smoke typify? T. 57, par. 2.

33. In whose presence was this done? And what effect was produced? T. 57, pars. 1, 2.

35. While the sweet incense was burning in the “Holy” and the fat and vital organs were burning in the “Court,” what work was going on simultaneously outside the camp? T. 57, par. 3; Lev. 16:27.



Lord's loving perfections and self-denials as the believers (in the "Court" condition) see them. No, nor did they in his day or since see in him their ideal hero and leader; they saw chiefly only those elements of his character which they despise as weak, not being in condition to love and admire him. To them his sacrifice was and is offensive, despised: he was despised and rejected of men, and as it were they blushed and hid their faces from him, as, in the type the Israelites turned disgusted from the stench of the burning carcass.

We see, then, how Jesus' life for three and a half years filled all three of these pictures: His sacrifice of perfect manhood was, in the sight of the world, foolish and detestable; in the sight of believers, a sacrifice acceptable to God; in the sight of Jehovah, "a sweet incense." They all ended at once—at the cross. The bullock was entirely disposed of, the fat fully consumed, and the incense all offered, when Jesus cried, "*It is finished!*" and died. Thus *the man* Christ Jesus gave himself a ransom for all.

The incense from the "Golden Altar" having preceded him and been satisfactory, the High Priest passed under the second "Veil" into the "Most Holy." So with Jesus: having for three and a half years offered acceptable incense in the "Holy," the consecrated and spirit-begotten condition, he passed beyond the "Second Veil," death. For three days he was under the "Veil" in death; then he arose in the perfection of the divine nature beyond the flesh, beyond the Veil, "the express image of the Father's person." He was "put to death in the *flesh*, but quickened [made alive] in *spirit*," "sown a natural [human] body, raised a spiritual body." Thus our Lord reached the "Most Holy" *condition*, the perfection of spirit being, at his resurrection. 1 Pet. 3:18; 1 Cor. 15:44.

His next work was to present the blood of atonement

36. What was typified by the stench of the burning of the hoofs and hide and entrails of the bullock of the sin offering? Heb. 13:13. T. 58, top of page.

37. When did all the sacrifices and sufferings of our Lord end? T. 58, par. 1; John 19:30.

38. What three pictures did our Lord thus portray during the three and a half years of his ministry? And to what three classes did these refer? T. 58, par. 1; Z. '09-202 (2nd col.).

39. By what act did the High Priest foreshadow our Lord's resurrection and entrance into heaven, "There to appear in the presence of God for us"? T. 58, par. 2; 1 Peter 3:18; 1 Cor. 15:44.

40. How long did our Lord tarry under the "second veil"? T. 58, par. 2; John 19:31-33; 20:1.

41. What was pictured in the High Priest's carrying the blood of the bullock into the "Most Holy and there sprinkling it upon the "Mercy Seat? And also *before* it? T. 58, foot of page, and 59, top of page. See also T. 63, par. 2; Z. '09-203 (1st col., par. 1).



(verse 14)—the price of our redemption—to God, for “Ye were redeemed...with the precious blood (sacrificed life) of Christ.” (1 Pet. 1:19) The Priest, in the presence of Jehovah, represented by the Shekinah light between the Cherubim on the “Mercy Seat,” sprinkled or presented the blood to Jehovah—sprinkling it on and before the Mercy Seat. So our Lord Jesus, after forty days, ascended up on high, “there to appear in the presence of God FOR US,” and presented on our behalf, and as the price of our redemption, the value and merit of the sacrifice just finished at Calvary. Heb. 9:24.

## **The Second Atonement Day Sacrifice**

### **The Lord's Goat**

We now leave the High Priest before the “Mercy Seat” while we go out to the Court to witness another work. We quote:

“And he shall take of the congregation of the children of Israel two kids of the goats for a sin-offering. And he shall take the two goats and present them before the Lord at the door of the Tabernacle. And Aaron shall cast lots upon the two goats; one lot for the Lord and the other for the scapegoat. And Aaron shall bring the goat upon which the Lord's lot fell and offer him for a *sin-offering*. But the goat on which the lot fell to be the scape-goat shall be presented alive before the Lord to make an atonement with him, to let him go for a scape-goat into the wilderness.” Verses 5-10.

These two goats, taken from Israel and brought into the “Court,” typified or represented all who, coming from the world, and accepting Jesus' redemption, fully consecrate their lives even unto death, to God's service, during this Gospel age. First taken from the “Camp” or world condition, “sinners, even as others,” they were brought into

42. When and where was the work of atonement, accomplished by our dear Redeemer, completed? T. 59, top of page; Heb. 4:14; 9:24. Z. '09-203.

## **THE SECOND ATONEMENT DAY SACRIFICE.**

### **THE LORD'S GOAT.**

43. What was typified by the two goats for a sin offering? And why were two chosen? T. 59, pars. 2, 3; Lev. 16:5-10; Z. '09-203; Z. '07-231 (last par).

44. Why were they presented at the “door of the Tabernacle”? T. 59, pars. 2, 3. Z. '07-231 (2nd col.).

47. Why was the “scape-goat” presented *alive* before the Lord to make an atonement with him, while the “Lord's goat” was killed? T. 59, par. 2; p. 60; Heb. 2:15.

48. Why were both goats taken from the “Camp,” from among the children of Israel? T. 59, par. 3.

the “Court,” the faith or *justified* condition. There they present themselves before the Lord (represented by the goats at the door of the Tabernacle), desiring to become dead with their Redeemer, Christ Jesus, as *human* beings; and to enter the heavenly or spiritual conditions as he did: first, the spirit-begotten condition of the spiritual mind, and secondly, the spirit-born condition of the spiritual body—represented in the “Holy” and the “Most Holy,” respectively.

But our Master declares that not all who say, Lord! Lord! shall enter into the Kingdom; so, too, this type shows that some who say, “Lord, here I consecrate my all,” promise more than they are willing to perform. They know not what they promise, or what it costs of self-denial, to take up the cross daily and to follow the footsteps of the man Jesus [the *bull-ock*—to “go to him without the camp [to the utter disregard and destruction of the human hopes, etc.] bearing the reproach with him.” Heb. 13:13.

In this type of the two goats, both classes of those who *covenant* to become dead with Christ are represented: those who do really follow in his footsteps, as he hath set us an example, and those who, “through *fear of* [this] *death* are all their lifetime subject to bondage.” (Heb. 2:15) The first class is the “Lord’s goat,” the second is the “scape-goat.” Both of these classes of goats, as we shall see, will have a part in the atonement *work*—in bringing the world into complete harmony with God and his Law, when this “Day of Atonement,” the Gospel age, is ended. But only the first class, “the Lord’s goat,” who follow the Leader, are a part of the “*sin-offering*,” and ultimately members of his glorified Body.

The casting of lots to see which goat would be the “Lord’s goat” and which the “scape-goat,” indicated that God has no choice as to which of those who present themselves

45. Why was Aaron instructed to “cast lots” upon the goats? T. 60, par. 3; 61, top of page; Rom. 8:17.

46. Could we think of any other means than the casting of lots, by which the Lord could as well have indicated that he made no choice amongst those represented by these consecrated goats - as to which should be the sacrificers of the “little flock”?

49. Why were not the goats taken into the “Holy” instead of being presented before the Lord at the door?

50. Will all who make the consecration to be “dead with Christ” gain the “prize” for which they consecrated? It not, why not? T. 60, par. 1; Matt. 16:24.

57. How can we go unto him without the camp? T. 60, pars. 1, 2; Heb. 13:13.

shall win the prize. It shows that God does not *arbitrarily* determine which of the consecrated shall become partakers of the divine nature, and joint-heirs with Christ our Lord, and which shall not. Those who suffer with him shall reign with him: those who succeed in avoiding the fiery trials, by a compromising course, miss also the joint-heirship in glory. Rom. 8:17.

Every *believer*, every *justified* one (Levite) in the "Court," who presents himself during the Atonement Day, the Gospel age, is acceptable as a sacrifice—Now is the acceptable time. And he who keeps his covenant and performs the sacrifice is typically represented in the "Lord's goat." Those who do not yield themselves willing sacrifices, "loving the present world," are represented in the "scape-goat."

To return to the High Priest: After having sprinkled the "Mercy Seat" (literally, the *Propitiatory*, or place where satisfaction is made) with the blood of the bullock seven times (perfectly), "Then shall he kill the goat of the sin-offering, that is *for the people*, and bring his blood within the Veil, and do with that blood *as he did* with the blood of the bullock, and sprinkle it upon the Mercy Seat and before the Mercy Seat." (Verses 14,15) In a word, all that was done with the bullock was repeated with the "Lord's goat." It was killed by the same High Priest; its blood was sprinkled just the same; its fat, etc., were burned on the altar in the "Court" also. (It is worthy of notice that while a prime bullock is always very fat, a goat is a very lean animal. So our Lord Jesus, as represented by the bullock, had a great abundance of the fat, of zeal and love for his sacrifice, while his followers, represented by the goat, are lean in comparison.) The body of the "Lord's goat" was burned in like manner as that of the bullock—"outside the camp."

51. Was the same treatment applied to the "Lord's goat" as to the bullock? and why? T. 61, par. 2; Lev. 16:14, 15; Z. '09-203.

52. For whom was the "Lord's goat" offered in sacrifice? Lev. 16:15; T. 61; par. 2.

53. Does a goat have as much fat as a prime bullock? and what is thus typified? T. 61, par. 2.

The Apostle Paul explains that only those animals which were *sin-offerings* were burned outside the camp. And then he adds, "Let us go to *him*, without the camp bearing the reproach with him." (Heb. 13:11-13) Thus is furnished unquestionable evidence not only that the *followers* of Jesus are represented by this "Lord's goat," but also that their sacrifice, reckoned in with their Head, Jesus, constitutes part of the world's sin-offering. "The reproaches of them that reproached thee are fallen upon me." Psa. 69:9.

As with the bullock so with the goat in the sin-offerings: the *burning* "outside the camp" represents the dis-esteem in which the offering will be viewed by those outside the camp—not in covenant relationship with God—the unfaithful. (1) Those who recognize the sacrifice of the Body of Christ from the divine standpoint, as sweet incense to God, penetrating even to the mercy seat, are but few—only those who are themselves in the "Holy"—"seated with Christ in the heavenlies." (2) Those who recognize the sacrifices of the saints, represented by the fat of the "Lord's goat" of the sin-offering on the Brazen Altar, and who realize their self-denials as acceptable to God, are more numerous—all who occupy the "Court" condition of justification—"the household of faith." (3) Those, outside the camp, who see these sacrificers and their self-denials only as the consuming of "the filth and offscourings of the earth" are a class far from God—his "enemies through wicked works." Those are the ones of whom our Lord foretold, "They shall say all manner of evil against you falsely for my sake."

What lessons do these things inculcate? That so long as we ourselves are true sacrificers in the "Holy," or true members of the "household of faith" in the "Court," we will not be revilers of any that are true sacrificers of this present time. Nor will we be blinded by malice, hatred,

54. Were any offerings save "sin offerings" burned without the camp? T. 62, par. 1; Lev. 16:27; Heb. 13:11; Z. '09-203 (1st col., par. 3).

55. What did the burning outside the camp of the hide, flesh and offal of the goat typify? T. 62, par. 2; Col. 1:21; Matt. 5:11.

56. Must all who are footstep followers of Christ and who hope to share his glory expect to suffer the same experiences of disesteem and ignominy experienced by our "Head"? Matt. 10:24, 25. T. 62, par. 2. Quote corroborative Scriptures from memory.

58. What class alone can fully appreciate the value of the sacrifice of the "Lord's goat" company? T. 62, par. 2; I Cor. 2:9-14.

59. What other class can appreciate it to some extent? T. 62, par. 2.

60. What lessons should we learn from these facts? T. 62, par. 3; Heb. 4:1; James 5:20; I Peter 2:23.

61. Is it possible for those who have once entered the "Court" and also the "Holy" to leave or be cast out of either or both? T. 63, top of page. Heb. 6:4-8; 10:26-31.

62. Would it be proper for those in the "Holy" to revile or ill-treat those who have left the "Holy" or even left the "Court"? T. 62, par. 3; Jude 9.

envy or strife—so as to be unable to see the sacrifices which God accepts. What, then, shall we say of those, once “brethren,” sharers in the same sacrifices and offerers at the same “Golden Altar,” and fellows of the order of royal-priesthood, who become so changed, so possessed of an opposite spirit, that they can speak evil of their fellow-priests continually! We must surely “*fear*” for them (Heb. 4:1) that they have *left* the “Holy,” and the “Court,” and gone outside of all relationship to God—into “outer darkness.” We should do all in our power to recover them (James 5:20); but under no consideration must we leave the “Holy” to render evil for evil, reviling for reviling. No, all who would be faithful under-priests must follow in the footsteps of the great High Priest and love their enemies and do good to those who persecute them. They must copy him “Who, when he was reviled, reviled not again, when he suffered threatened not; but committed his cause to him who judgeth righteously.” 1 Peter 2:23.

The Lord’s goat represented all of the Lord’s “little flock” of faithful followers. They are all alike; they all come by the same “*narrow way*”; so what is true of the company as a whole is true of each one of it. Therefore the “Lord’s goat” typified each one and his sacrifice, except that the whole must be completed and the sacrifice of all ended before the “blood” of the goat (representative of the entire Body of Christ) will be presented on the “Mercy Seat.”

The blood sprinkled on and before the “Mercy Seat” was in the design of a cross, with the top or head of the cross on the “Mercy Seat.” This is shown by the description: “He shall sprinkle it with his finger upon the Mercy Seat eastward [toward the “Veil”] and before [across, in front of] the Mercy Seat.” Thus were completed the sin-offerings for the sins of Israel—the bullock for the *un-*

63. What was the significance of the “Mercy Seat” or “Propitiatory”? T. 63, par. 2; Lev. 16:14, 15; Rom. 3:25. *Diaglott*.

64. Why did Aaron sprinkle the “Mercy Seat” with the blood of the bullock seven times? T. 63, par. 2.

der-priests, the High Priest's "body," and for the Levites, the "household of faith" of the present age; the goat "for the people," Israel— type of all the world who, under the knowledge and opportunities of the future, will become God's people.

Thus we see clearly that this entire Gospel age is an age of suffering and death, to those who sacrifice the human, earthly, nature, in order to become partakers of the spiritual, the heavenly. Just as soon as the sacrifice of Jesus on behalf of his "Body" and "house" was complete and presented before the Father after his ascension, the evidence of the Father's acceptance of his sacrifice was sent—the Pentecostal baptism upon the representatives of his Church, his Body and his house. There his anointing, the holy Spirit (symbolized by the holy anointing oil), came upon the Church, and continues ever since on all the living members of the High Priest's Body, and needs no repetition: for each one immersed into Christ, as a member of his Body, is thereby immersed into his holy Spirit, the spirit which animates every member of that Body.

This impartation of the holy Spirit was God's token of the acceptance of those believers in Jesus already consecrated and tarrying as directed by the Master, waiting for the Father's acceptance of their sacrifices (acceptable in the Beloved), and for their begetting as sons by the spirit of adoption. This coming of the holy Spirit, the Lord's power or "hand," at Pentecost, was shown in the type (verse 15) by the High Priest coming to the door of the Tabernacle and laying his hands upon the "Lord's goat" and killing it. Just as the spirit of the Father enabled Jesus to accomplish all that was represented by the killing of the bullock, so the same spirit, the spirit, power or influence of God, the spirit or influence of the Truth, through Christ, upon the "Lord's goat" class, enables them to crucify

65. What did the impartation of the holy Spirit at Pentecost signify to the Lord's consecrated ones? T. 64, par. 1.

66. Why could not the Spirit have been imparted to the disciples *before* the day of Pentecost? T. 64, par. 1.

67. How was the baptism of the holy Spirit at Pentecost foreshadowed in the type? T. 64, par. 2; Lev. 16:15.

68. By what means was our Lord enabled to faithfully carry out his covenant of sacrifice even unto death? and by what power will each member of his Body be so enabled? T. 64, par. 2.

themselves as men—to kill the goat, the depraved *will*—in hope of the promised glory, honor and immortality of the divine nature, as “new creatures in Christ.”

It was thus, for instance, that the Apostle Paul, when possessed of the spirit of the Leader and Head, could reckon all things but loss and dross that he might win [a membership in] Christ and be found *in him*. Inspired by this hope and spirit he could say: “I [the new creature] live, yet not I [the old creature, represented in the consecrated goat].” It was being consumed with the reproach and contempt of the world—outside the camp. Paul’s *earthly* affections and powers had all been presented to God a living sacrifice. Thereafter it was Christ living in him, the hope of glory—the *Christ mind*, crucifying and keeping under his depraved and justified human nature and its will.

While actually in the world, he was not of it; and to such an extent was this true that he could say: “The life which I now live, I live by *the faith* of the Son of God.” (Gal. 2:20) Yes, by faith he had become reckonedly a “new creature,” to whom belonged the exceeding great and precious promises of the divine nature, if faithful. (2 Pet. 1:4) He was living in the “Holy” condition, feeding on the “shew-bread,” and enlightened continually by the light from the “Golden Candlestick.” Thus furnished with knowledge and strength, he was able to offer “incense” acceptable to God through Jesus Christ; that is to say, the Apostle Paul’s sacrifice, because of Jesus’ merit imputed to it, was acceptable to God. Thus he kept the goat nature always *sacrificed*; not only did he keep the fleshly *will* dead, but so far as possible he kept the fleshly body “under”—subject to the new will. So, too, the same thing has been done by the other members of this “Lord’s goat” company, though others have not been so widely known. Paul’s sacrifice sent up a very rich perfume; his was a sacrifice of very

69. In what way could the words of the Apostle Paul be true, “I live, yet not I, but Christ liveth in me”? T. 65, par. 1; Gal. 2:20; Phil. 3:8-10.

70. By what means are the Lord’s consecrated followers in the “Holy” condition enlightened and nourished? T. 65, par. 2.



sweet odor to God, yet like ours it was acceptable to God, not on account of its own value, but because of being offered upon and partaking of the merit of Christ, the Redeemer, the "Golden Altar."

As the goat filled up that which was behind of the sin-offering, completing the sacrifice begun by the bullock, so does the "little flock," following after Jesus, "fill up that which is behind of the afflictions of Christ." (Col. 1:24) Not that our sacrifices are inherently valuable, as was our Lord's, for he alone was perfect and suitable for a ransom, a sin-offering: the acceptableness of our offerings is through his merit imputed to us, first justifying us: and then, through the grace which permits us to offer our *justified* selves in *with* our Lord's perfect sacrifice, we, as members of his Body, are granted a share in the sufferings of Christ, that we may ultimately share his glory also—sharing in his future work of blessing all mankind with restitution privileges and opportunities.

The hour must some time come when the sacrifice of the *last* members of this "Lord's goat" will be consumed and the sin-offering forever ended. That we are now in the close of the "Day of Atonement," and that the last members of this "Lord's goat" class are now sacrificing, we firmly believe, upon evidences elsewhere given. Soon the last members of this class, the Body of Christ, will pass beyond the second "Veil"—beyond the flesh—into the perfection of the spiritual nature already begun in the new mind or will which now controls their mortal bodies. And not only so, but such faithful ones are promised the very highest of spirit natures—"the divine nature." 2 Pet. 1:4.

The passing of the second "Veil" means to the Body what it meant to the Head: it means, in the presenting of the blood of the goat, what it meant in the presenting of the blood of the bullock. The body of the Priest passing

71. Is there any intrinsic merit in our sacrifices as members of Christ's Body? T. 66, par. 1; Col. 1:24; Gal. 5:17; Psa. 49:7; Z. '07-231 (1st col.).

72. Will the day come when all sacrificing will end? T. 66; par. 2.

73. Is that day near at hand? T. 66, par. 2; Z. '07-12.

74. What glorious reward is promised at the end of the way? T. 66, par. 2; 2 Peter 1:4.

75. What will passing beyond the "second veil" mean to the Lord's true saints? T. 66, par. 3; Heb. 6:19, 20.



through the second "Veil," bearing the blood of the goat, represented the passing of the Body of Christ entirely beyond human conditions into the perfection of the divine nature, when we shall be like Christ Jesus, who is now "the express image of the Father's person." O blessed hope! "I shall be satisfied when I awake in thy likeness," was spoken prophetically for Jesus; and how sublime the promise that "we shall be like him!" Heb. 1:3; Rom. 8:29; Psa. 17:15; 1 John 3:2.

If we may but win the prize for which we run, then—

"Perish every fond ambition,  
All we've sought of earth or known;  
Yet how rich is our condition—  
Heavenly prospects now we own."

The "Most Holy" reached, the evidence of the sacrifice of the *Body* "for the people," will be presented, as typified by the blood of the goat sprinkled on the "Mercy Seat." "And he shall make an atonement for the holy place because of the uncleanness of the children of Israel, and because of their transgressions in all their sins; and so shall he do for the tabernacle of the congregation, that remaineth among them in the midst of their uncleanness."—Lev. 16:16.

When presented it will be accepted "*for* the people," as that of our glorious Leader was accepted "for himself [his Body], and his house [the household of faith]." Thus the reconciling work will be accomplished. Sin and condemnation will be fully covered for all, and the great work of giving to the world the grand results of that atonement will speedily follow—just as the blessing of Pentecost came upon the "Body" and its reflex influence came upon the "household," speedily after the acceptance of Jesus' sacrifice—after he passed beyond the "Veil" of flesh and presented our ransom-sacrifice before God.

The sprinkling of all things with the blood showed that

76. What stupendous event will speedily follow the presentation of the blood (the sacrificed life) of the "Lord's goat" class to the Father after the last member has "passed behind the veil"? T. 67, pars. 2, 3; Lev. 9:22, 23; Z. '07-231 (1st col. Par. 3.).

77. Why were all things in the type sprinkled with the blood? T. 67, last par.; Z. '05-54 (last par.).

the "blood" is *full* satisfaction, and also indicated that the work with the "scape-goat," which followed, was no part of the sin-offering, and was not needful to complete the "reconciling." Hence in it we must see some other object and significance.

### The Scape-Goat

"And when he had made an end of reconciling the Holy [\"Most Holy\"] and the Tabernacle of the congregation [the \"Holy\"] and the Altar [in the \"Court\"] he shall bring the live goat; and Aaron shall lay both his hands upon the head of the live goat [scape-goat] and confess over him all the iniquities of the children of Israel [typical of the *world*], and all their transgressions in all their sins, putting them upon the head of the goat, and shall send him away by the hand of a *fit* man [any one convenient] into the wilderness." Verses 20-22

As before expressed, we understand that this "scape-goat" which was presented for sacrifice with the other, but failed to sacrifice, and to follow the example of the bullock, represented a class of God's people, who have made the covenant to become dead to the world, to sacrifice their justified human nature, but fail to perform the sacrifices covenanted. This "goat" does not represent "those who draw back unto perdition," those who return as the sow to wallowing in the mire of sin (Heb. 10:39; 2 Pet. 2:22), but a class which seeks to avoid sin, to live morally, and to honor the Lord; yet seeking also the honor and favor of the world, they are held back from the performance of the sacrifice of earthly rights in the service of the Lord and his cause.

This "scape-goat" *class* has existed throughout this entire Gospel age. The one goat and the work done with it, at the close of the "Day of Atonement," was representa-

### THE SCAPE-GOAT.

78. What was done with the live goat, after lots had been cast, in the type? T. 68, par. 1; Lev. 16:20-22. What is signified by the statement (Lev. 16:21) that Aaron laid his hands upon the head of the scape-goat and confessed over it all the iniquities of the children of Israel and all their transgressions in all their sins, putting them upon the head of the goat? Z. '09-204 (1st col. pars. 2, 3.)

79. What class is represented in the live goat, or "scape-goat"? T. 68, par. 2; Rev. 7:13-15; Z. '05-234.

80. Does this goat typify wilful sinners also? T. 68, par. 2; Z. '09-204.

81. How long has the "scape-goat" class existed? T. 68, par. 3.

tive in a general sense of each individual of that company during the age, though it specially represented the members of this class living in the end of the age of sacrifice. Let us look first at God's proposed dealing with members of this company who will be living when the work of sin-offering is complete—the last members of the “scape-goat” company—and then see how the type will apply also to the preceding members of the same class.

Remember that we are now dealing with things future, *after* the “sin-offerings.” The “Lord's goat” is not yet wholly consumed, consequently the “little flock,” represented by the body of the Priest, has not yet gone beyond the second “Veil” into the condition of spirit perfection; and the special work with the living “scape-goat” will not occur until after that.

Other scriptures (Rev. 7:9,13-17 and 1 Cor. 3:15) show us that there will be “a great company” who during this age have entered the race for the grand prize of joint-heirship with Jesus, and who fail to “so run” as to obtain it. These, though “castaways,” as regards the prize (1 Cor. 9:27), are nevertheless objects of the Lord's love; for at heart they are friends of righteousness and not of sin. Hence, by his providences through the circumstances of life, the Lord will cause them to come through “great tribulation,” thus accomplishing for them “*the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus.*” (1 Cor. 5:5) They consecrated their justified human life, and God accepted that consecration and *reckoned* them, according to their covenant, dead as human beings and alive as *new*—spiritual—creatures. But, by their failure to carry out the contract of self-sacrifice, they cut themselves off from the “Royal Priesthood”—from membership in the *Body* of Christ. “Every branch *in me* that beareth not fruit, he taketh away.” John 15:2.

82. Will this class be perfected, as a class, while any member of the “Lord's goat” company is still in the flesh? T. 69, par. 1.

83. Are the members of the “scape-goat” class loved by the Lord? And how will they be dealt with for their purification? T. 69, par. 2; Z. '09-204.

These are in a pitiable condition: they have failed to win the prize, therefore cannot have the divine nature; nor can they have *restitution* to perfect humanity with the world; for, in their consecration, all human rights and privileges were exchanged for spiritual ones and the opportunity to run the race for the *divine* nature. But though not voluntary overcomers, the Lord loves them, and will *deliver* those who through fear of death (fear of contempt—fear of the reproach borne by the bullock and goat beyond the “Camp”—in the wilderness, the separated or dead condition) were all their lifetime subject to bondage—bondage of fear of *men* and men’s traditions and opinions, which always bring a snare, and keep back from full obedience to God, even unto death. Heb. 2:15.

Through the favor of the High Priest, this great company are to go into “great tribulation” and have the flesh *destroyed*. This will not make of them voluntary overcomers nor give them membership in the Body—the Bride of Christ. It will not give them a place *on the throne* of Kings and Priests, but a position “*before* the throne,” as perfect spirit beings, though not of the highest order of the spiritual—the divine. Though they will not possess the *crown* of life, Immortality, yet if rightly exercised by the tribulation they will attain to a condition “like unto the angels.” They will serve God *in* his Temple, though they will not be members of that symbolic Temple which is the Christ. Rev. 7:14,15.

This class, represented in the “scape-goat,” will be *sent* into the Wilderness condition of separation from the world, forced thither by the “man of opportunity”—unfavorable circumstances—there to be buffeted by adversity until they learn the vanity, deceitfulness and utter worthlessness of the world’s approval, and until all human hopes and ambitions die, and they are ready to say, God’s will, not mine,

84. What will be the reward and station of this class? T. 70, pars. 1, 2; Rev. 7:15.

85. What is signified by the “scape-goat” being sent into the wilderness? T. 70, par. 3; Z. ‘07-232.

86. Are *all* the “scape-goat” class or Great Company to be developed in *the* great tribulation with which this Gospel Age will end? T. 70, par. 3; 71, par. 1.

be done! The world is ever ready to scorn and to cast out the chastened and afflicted, even though its deceiving smile and its empty honors be earnestly coveted by them. The body of the "scape-goat" was not *burned* in the wilderness: only sin-offerings (the bullock and the "Lord's goat") were burned. (Heb. 13:11) The burning of the sin-offerings represented the steady, continuous submission of those classes to the fiery ordeal of suffering—"faithful [willing sacrifices] unto death." Both classes suffer even unto the death of the human will and body; but those of the first class die willingly: they are consumed by the continual crucifying of the flesh, as shown in the symbol of fire burning continuously until there is nothing more to burn. Those of the second class are simply sent to the wilderness and there left to die unwillingly. Their love of the world's approval perishes with the world's neglect and scorn and reproach; and their new spiritual nature meantime ripens into life. The "Lord's goat" class lays down the human nature by the Lord's spirit and help, *sacrificially*, willingly, voluntarily: the "scape-goat" class has its flesh *destroyed* under divine providence, that the spirit may be saved.

Not only will this be markedly accomplished shortly, with the last members of this "scape-goat" class, but the same has been fulfilled to some extent throughout the entire Gospel age; for there has always been a class, and a large one, which yielded self-will to death only by *compulsion*; and, instead of willingly sacrificing, suffered "*destruction* of the flesh." (1 Cor. 5:5) The classes represented by both goats have been developing side by side throughout the age.

When all the members of the "little flock" shall have gone beyond the "Veil," divine providence, the hand of the Lord, will set free those bound ones, "who, through fear of death [to the world], are all their lifetime subject

87. How will these "bound ones" be set free after the glorification of the "very elect"? T. 71, par. 2; 72, par. 1.

88. Do the faithful ones of the "more than conquerors" also suffer great tribulation? and in what respect does their suffering differ from that of the Great Company? T. 72, par. 2; Acts 14:22; John 16:33.

to bondage,” by overthrowing the many theories, creeds and traditions of men, and great *nominal* church organizations, in and to and by which his people of the “scape-goat” class are held—hindered from hearing and obeying the Lord’s voice.

Forced into freedom by “Babylon’s” fall while realizing that the *great prize* has been lost, these “tribulation saints” will then hear the High Priest’s voice and find themselves forced into the wilderness condition of separation and flesh destruction. At no previous time have there been so many CONSECATED ones *bound* as at present; yet there have been some throughout the entire age.

All the consecrated ones of both classes (the Lord’s goat class and the scape-goat class) pass through great trials and afflictions; yet by one class they are esteemed light afflictions, taken joyfully, which they rejoice to be accounted worthy to suffer. Theirs is a *willing sacrifice*, like that of the Head. To the other class they are burdensome, great afflictions, almost without joy—an *enforced destruction* of the flesh. And proportionately different are their positions and rewards at the end of the race.

### The Atonement Day Burnt-Offerings

“And Aaron shall come into the Tabernacle of the congregation [the “Holy”] and shall put off the linen garments which he put on when he went into the Holy *place* [the “Most Holy”] and he shall leave them there; and he shall wash his flesh with water in the holy place [the “Court”] and put on his [usual] garments [the garments of glory and beauty] and come forth and offer his burnt-offering and the burnt-offering of the people, and make an atonement for himself [the Body—the Church—the “little flock”] and for the people” (Lev. 16:23,24), the same atonement illustrated or typified from another standpoint.

88. Do the faithful ones of the “more than conquerors” also suffer great tribulation? and in what respect does their suffering differ from that of the Great Company? T. 72, par. 2; Acts 14:22; John 16:33.

90. Why did he remove his linen garments and put on “the garments of glory and beauty” before he offered the “burnt-offerings”? T. 72, par. 3; 73, par. 3.

The burnt-offering consisted of two rams (verses 3,5), one representing the bullock and the other the Lord's goat. These, being alike, show the harmony and oneness of the sacrifices made by Jesus and his footstep-followers—that in God's sight they are all one sacrifice. "For both he that sanctifieth [Jesus] and they who are sanctified [the little flock] are all of one; for which cause he is not ashamed to call them brethren." Heb. 2:11.

This is further shown in the treatment of each of these sacrifices. The rams of "burnt-offering" were cut in pieces and washed and the pieces laid unto the head upon the altar and burned—a burnt-offering of sweet savor unto Jehovah. Since both rams were thus treated, it showed that in Jehovah's estimation they were all parts of one sacrifice; the members joined to the Head, acceptable as a whole, as the *atonement* for the sins of the world—thus satisfying the claims of justice on behalf of the whole world of sinners.

As the sin-offerings illustrated the sacrificial death of the Redeemer, so the burnt-offering following illustrated God's manifested acceptance of the *same* sacrifice. Let us not forget that God thus indicates that he will not *manifest his acceptance* of the "better sacrifices" than bulls and goats, until the sacrifices for sins are complete, and the true High Priest is robed in the honor and glory of his office, represented in the change of garments. During the time of making the sin-offering he wore only the white linen garments. Afterward (and usually) he wore the glorious garments illustrative of the honor and glory conferred upon him. During the Gospel age the sin-offerings progress and no honor is bestowed upon the priests, but at its close comes the outward manifestation of God's approval and acceptance of them in the putting of glory and honor upon the priests who made the sacrifices, and in the blessing of the *people*, for whose sins they atoned.

89. Why was Aaron instructed to bring *two* rams for burnt-offerings? Lev. 16:3, 5; T. 73, par. 1.

90. Why did he remove his linen garments and put on "the garments of glory and beauty" before he offered the "burnt-offerings"? T. 72, par. 3; 73, par. 3.

91. Were both rams then treated in the same manner? Lev. 9:12-16; T. 73, par. 2.

92. What was thus typified? T. 73, par. 2.

93. What was the difference between the "burnt-offering" and the "sin-offering"? T. 73, par. 3.

94. When did God manifest his acceptance of the complete sacrifice for the sins of the world? Lev. 9:22-24; Rev. 14:1-7.

95. Why are the sacrifices of Christ and the members of his Body called the "better sacrifices"? Heb. 7:19; 9:23.

96. Must we expect God to bestow upon us, as his chosen Priesthood, honor and dignity before men, while we still "tabernacle" in the flesh? Phil. 2:5-10; 1 Cor. 4:8-14; T. 73, par. 3.



The burnt-offering was burned on the altar in the “Court,” thus teaching that God will manifest his acceptance of the sacrifice of the whole Body (Head and pieces, or members) in the sight of all in the “Court” condition, namely, to *all believers*. But before this *manifestation* to believers of God’s acceptance of the work, the “scape-goat” company is sent away, and the robes of the Priest changed.

As the white robes worn throughout the work of sacrifice covered the *Body* and represented the justification of the *Body*, their purity in God’s sight through Christ, so the “garments of glory and beauty,” put on subsequently, represent the glories of the Church’s position and work in the future, after the new creatures shall have been perfected, after they shall have gone beyond the “Veil.” The washing with water at this time signifies that, though the white garments (imputed righteousness of the “Body”) are now removed, it does not signify the reimputation of sin, but the completion of the cleansing, making the “Body” *perfect* in resurrection completeness—the garments of glory and beauty representing the glory, honor and immortality of the First Resurrection to the divine nature. The washing further shows that the sins of the people for which atonement had been made do not attach to or contaminate the purity of the priest.

Thus ended this type of the development of the priesthood and the satisfaction for the world’s sins: but we tarry to glance at a few verses of this chapter (Lev. 16) not so directly connected with our topic.

Verse 17. “There shall be no *man* in the tabernacle of the congregation when he goeth in to make an atonement in the holy *place* [the “Most Holy”] until he come out, and have made an atonement for himself and for his household, and for all the congregation of Israel.”

This limitation applies only to this special day, for the

97. Where did the High Priest offer the sacrifice of burnt offering? Lev. 16:24; T. 74, par. 1.

98. Explain the difference in the significance of the garments worn by the priests during the “Day of Atonement” and those assumed by the High Priest at its close. T. 74, par. 2.

99. What did the washing of the person in water by the High Priest, after finishing the sin offering and before sacrificing the burnt offering, signify? Lev. 16:24; T. 74, par. 2.

100. Why was there “no *man* in the tabernacle of the congregation,” the “Holy,” when Aaron went into the “Most Holy” with the blood, both of the bull-ock and the Lord’s goat? Lev. 16:17; T. 74, pars. 4, 5; 75, par. 1.



Apostle says—"The priests went always into the first tabernacle [the "Holy"] accomplishing the service, but into the second [tabernacle—the "Most Holy"] went the high priest alone, once every year" on this "Day of Atonement," which was repeated annually. Heb. 9:7.

The privileges of the true Tabernacle belong only to those who are priests—members of the Body of the High Priest—so that whether, as now, in the first of these heavenly conditions (spiritually *minded*, new creatures in Christ Jesus), or whether, as we hope to be soon, in the second or perfected spirit condition, it will in either or both cases be because we are *in* Christ Jesus, *new* creatures—no longer *men*. "For ye are not in the *flesh* [human], but in the spirit [spiritual, new creatures] if so be that the spirit of God dwell in you." Rom. 8:9.

Verse 28. "And he that burneth them [the bullock and the goat of sin-offering] shall wash his clothes, and bathe his flesh in water, and afterward he shall come into the camp."

This seems to teach that those principally instrumental in reproaching, reviling and destroying the *humanity* of Jesus (the bullock) and the humanity of his "little flock" (the goat) will have no *special* punishment for it, because they do it ignorantly—at the same time accomplishing God's plan. They may wash and be clean and come into the camp—i.e., into the same condition as the remainder of the world, all of whom are by heredity sinners, all of whom have been ransomed from Adamic depravity and death, and all of whom await the return of the great High Priest and the blessing then to be extended to all.

Verse 26. "And he that let go the goat for the scape-goat shall wash his clothes and bathe his flesh in water, and afterward come into the camp."

This teaches the same lesson relative to those who will

100. Why was there "no *man* in the tabernacle of the congregation," the "Holy," when Aaron went into the "Most Holy" with the blood, both of the bullock and the Lord's goat? Lev. 16:17; T. 74, pars. 4, 5; 75, par. 1.

101. Will and *special* punishment be visited by the Lord upon those who, by reproaches, persecutions, etc., aided in destroying the humanity of Christ (the bullock) and the little flock (the goat)? Lev. 16:28; T. 75, pars. 2, 3.

102. Will those who succeed in destroying the humanity, the flesh, of the Great Company (the scape-goat) receive any *special* retribution? Lev. 16:26; T. 75, par. 5; 76, top of page.

be instrumental in bringing the trouble and consequent *destruction of the flesh* upon the "great company" represented by the "scape-goat." They will be obliged to obtain of the Lord special forgiveness for these wrongdoings, but eventually shall stand on the same footing as other men.

### **The Blessings Following the "Day of Atonement" Sacrifices**

Thus the typical "Day of Atonement" ended; and Israel, thus typically cleansed from sin, was reckoned no longer defiled and separated from God, but now *at one* with him. Justice no longer condemned, but bade them realize God's reconciled presence in their midst, to bless and protect and direct into the Canaan of rest and peace.

The antitype of the "Day of Atonement" is this Gospel age, during which Jesus and "his Body," the Church (by virtue of the redemption and consequent justification), make sacrifice to Justice, in full satisfaction of the Adamic sin. When the work of reconciliation is complete, God will recognize the world of mankind, and place his sanctuary among men. Then will be fulfilled that which was written: "The Tabernacle of God [God's dwelling, the glorified Church] is with men, and he will dwell with them, and they shall be [become] his people, and God himself shall be with them and be their God. And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes; and there shall be no more death, neither sorrow, nor crying, neither shall there be any more pain, for the former things [the reign of Satan, sin and death] are passed away. And he that sat upon the throne said, Behold, I make all things new." Rev. 21:3-5.

But while all these blessings will result from the establishment of God's residence, or sanctuary, among men ("I will make the place of my feet glorious"—"earth is

### **THE BLESINGS FOLLOWING THE "DAY OF ATONEMENT" SACRIFICES.**

103. What was the standing of the children of Israel before God, after the Atonement-Day sacrifices were ended? Lev. 16:33, 34; T. 76, par. 1.

104. To whom or to what are the sacrifices of Jesus and his Church offered? Lev. 16:14, 15; T. 76, par. 2.

105. For what sins did they atone? Rom. 5:17-19; T. 76, par. 2.

106. When will God for the first time recognize the race of mankind? Lev. 9:8-23; Rom. 8:19-21, *Diaglott*; T. 76, par. 2. "Time in the Satisfaction of Justice" See Z. '06-27 (1st col.).

107. What will be the glorious results of that recognition? Rev. 22:1-3; Isa. 11:6-9; 25: 6-9; 35: 1-10; 29:18-20; T. 76, par. 2.

108. Will the blessings resulting from the reign of righteousness established after the close of the antitypical Day of Atonement come instantaneously or gradually? Isa. 62: 10-12; John 5:28, 29, *Diaglott*; Isa. 65; 20, etc. T. 76, par. 3; Z. '09-29 (2nd col.).

my footstool"— Isa. 60:13; 66:1), yet the subsequent work of blessing will be a gradual one, requiring the Millennial age for its accomplishment; i.e., Adamic death, pain and tears will be in process of destruction (wiping away). This will begin with the second coming of Christ, the Royal Priest, but will not be completely wiped away until the end of the Millennial age.

The gradual process by which MAN WILL BE BROUGHT into perfection of being and fulness of harmony with Jehovah is well illustrated in the typical sacrifices of Israel, made *after* the "Day of Atonement," the antitypes of which sacrifices, as we shall shortly see, will be fulfilled during the Millennium.

To divide rightly and understand these typical sacrifices, it must be recognized that the present Gospel age is the "Day of Atonement" toward God *for* the general sin of mankind; and that in the type all sacrifices coming after the "Day of Atonement" represented fulfilments or antitypes due after the Gospel age is ended—during the Millennial age—when the world of sinners may become reconciled to, or at-one with, God.

Thus we may see that *at-one-ment* has two parts—first, Justice *at-one* with, and not any longer condemning and destroying, Adam and his children on account of his sin; and secondly, the return of the sinner to *at-one-ment* with God's righteous laws, recognizing and obeying them. The first of these phases of *at-one-ment*, or reconciliation, is brought about entirely by the Priest's service in the "*Day of Atonement*" sacrifices. The other—the reconciling of the world *to God*, or the bringing of as many of mankind as are willing into full *at-one-ment* and harmony with God, will be accomplished during the next age, by the "Royal Priesthood," the glorified kings and priests, who, typified by Moses, will be the Great Prophet whom the Lord will

109. Was this gradual work shown in the typical sacrifices of the children of Israel? and how? T. 77, par. 2.

110. How can we "rightly divide" and understand these different typical sacrifices of the Jewish age? T. 77, par. 2.

111. What are the two features or parts of At-one-ment? T. 77, par. 3.

112. How and when is the first part of this At-one-ment to be effected? T. 77, par. 3.

113. How and when is the second part effected? T. 77, par. 3.

raise up to teach and to govern the people; and if they will not give heed to him they shall be cut off from life—die the second death. Acts 3:23

Let it be clearly seen, however, that although the saints, the followers of Jesus, are permitted, as represented in the “Lord’s goat,” to share in and to be members of the sin-offering on behalf of the world, this is not because of their being by nature purer or better than the world; for the entire race of Adam was condemned in him; and of them “there is none righteous, no, not one” (Rom. 3:10), and none could give a *ransom* for his brother. Psalms 49:7.

They share in the sacrifice for sins as a favor, in order that by so doing they may share with Jesus the promised divine nature, and be his companions and joint-heirs. To permit and to enable them to offer themselves acceptable sacrifices, the benefits of Jesus’ death were first applied to them, justifying or cleansing them. Thus it is *his death* that blesses the world, *through* his Body, the Church.

114. Does the selection of the Bride of Christ, during this age, to be associated with him in the future uplifting and regenerating of the world, indicate that, naturally, they are any better or purer than the remainder of mankind? 1 Cor. 1:26-29; Rom. 3:10; Psalms 49:7; T. 78, pars. 1, 2.

115. In whom, then, does all the *virtue* of the great Atonement sacrifice lie? T. 78, par. 2; Z. ‘07-231; Z. ‘09-216 (2nd col. par. 4).

## CHAPTER V ANOTHER TYPE OF THE ATONEMENT SACRIFICES —

### LEVITICUS IX

Atonement Sacrifices Restated with Varying Details—Moses and Aaron Entered the Tabernacle, and Came Out Again and Blessed the People—"Unto Them that Look for Him Shall He Appear"—"And After Death the Judgment"—Divine Acceptance of the Atonement Sacrifice Manifested.

**I**N THIS chapter we have a more condensed picture of the work and sacrifices of Atonement than the one already examined (Lev. 16), and, in addition, it furnishes certain features which, in the light of the foregoing, will be of interest as well as profit to us. It is another picture of the Atonement sacrifices.

"And Moses said, This is the thing which the Lord commanded that ye should do: and the glory of the Lord shall appear unto you. And Moses said unto Aaron, Go unto the altar and offer thy sin-offering and burnt-offering and make an atonement for *thyself* [those to be called to be members of "his Body" required it] and for *the people* [the world]."

This type illustrated the fact that our Lord Jesus (the bullock sacrifice for sins) was sufficient to redeem both "his Body," the "little flock," and also the whole world of mankind. The Church's share in the sin-offering could have been dispensed with entirely: we might have been spared the special trials of our "narrow way," spared the

### Chapter V.

### Another Type of The Atonement Sacrifices.

### Leviticus IX.

1. How does the typical picture of Leviticus 9th chapter correspond with that of the 16th chapter? Heb. 2:10; Col. 1:24; T. 79, pars. 1-3.
2. If, as we have already seen, there is no intrinsic merit in the sacrifices of the Church, why are we called to be sharers with him in sacrifice? Z. '07, p. 47, "Readest Thou Carefully?"
3. In what sense was our sinless Lord made perfect through sufferings? Heb. 2:10, 17, 18; E. 107, 128.

sacrificial sufferings, and could have been restored to perfection of human nature, just as all mankind will be. But it pleased Jehovah not only to choose Jesus to this great work of sacrifice, but also to make him the Captain or Head of "the Church which is his Body," and that these, as well as their Captain, should be made *perfect as SPIRITUAL beings*, by sufferings in the flesh as sin-offerings. Heb. 2:10; Col. 1:24

The Apostle Paul, referring to our intimate relationship to our Head says: "Blessed be the God and Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, who hath blessed *us* with all spiritual blessings in heavenly places [the "Holy" and the "Most Holy"] in Christ; according as he hath CHOSEN US *in him* before the foundation of the world—to the praise of the glory of his grace, wherein he hath [justified or] made us accepted in the beloved." (Eph. 1:4,6) God "called you by our gospel *to the obtaining of THE GLORY* of our Lord Jesus Christ" (2 Thess. 2:14), so that "if we suffer with him we shall also reign with him." 2 Tim. 2:12

The High Priest, after offering his own sacrifice, was to "offer the offering of the people [the goat], and make an atonement for them [all Israel] as Jehovah commanded." This arrangement for our having part in the sacrifice of atonement was a part of our Father's command or original plan, as St. Paul attests. Col. 1:24-26

"Aaron therefore went unto the altar, and slew the calf [Heb., young bullock] of the sin-offering, which was for [instead of or a substitute for] himself. And the sons of Aaron brought the blood unto him, and he dipped his finger in the blood and put it on the altar; but the fat [etc.]...he burnt upon the altar,...and the flesh and the hide he burned with fire without the camp. And he slew the burnt-offering [a ram] and Aaron's sons presented unto him the blood, which he sprinkled round about upon the

4. How does the Apostle Paul show our intimate relationship to our Head? Eph. 1:4, 6; II Thess. 2:14; II Tim. 2:12; T. 80, par. 1.

5. Was it part of our Father's original "plan" that the Church should have a part in the work of Atonement? Lev. 9:7; Col. 1:24-28; Eph. 1:4, 5, R. V.; T. 80; par. 2.

altar. And they presented the burnt-offering unto him; and he did wash the inwards and the legs, and burnt them upon the burnt-offering upon the altar, with the pieces thereof at the head." (Much the same account as in chapter 16, and having the same significance.)

Thus the burnt-offering of Jesus has been burning all through the Gospel age, giving evidence to all in the "Court" *condition* (the justified), of God's acceptance of him, and the acceptance of all the members of "his Body"—laid to the Head on the altar.

"And he brought the people's offering, and took the goat which was the sin-offering *for the people* [not for the priests and Levites, like the former] and slew it and offered it for sin as the first"; i.e., treated it exactly as he treated the bullock. This goat is the same as the "Lord's goat" in the other picture, the "scape-goat" and the other features being omitted in this more general view. It is a further confirmation of the teaching that those who follow in the Lord's footsteps are participants in the sin-offering.

"And he brought the burnt-offering and offered it according to the [usual] manner. And he brought the meat-offering, and took a handful of it and offered it upon the altar beside the burnt-sacrifice of the morning. He slew also the bullock and the ram for a sacrifice of peace-offerings which was for the people."

The peace-offering, as already described, represented a vow or covenant. Made in connection with the sin-offering of the High Priest, it signified the vows, obligations and covenants assumed by the Priest, based on the sin-offering. In the type the *peace* was established between Jehovah and Israel as follows: The sin-offering having been made, also the burnt-offering showing the acceptableness of it to God, there was peace between Jehovah and Israel, because their former Adamic sin was typically removed; and they were

6. How long has the "burnt offering" of Jesus been burning and what class have been witnesses to this? Lev. 9:12-14; T. 81, par. 1.

7. In this picture of the Atonement Day, given in Lev. 9, why is there no mention of the "scape-goat"? Is it because here the consecration of the Priest is represented, and because the "Great Company," represented by the "scape-goat," is excluded from membership in the "body" of the self-sacrificing Priest?

8. What important teaching does this picture further confirm? Rom. 8:17; Luke 9:23, 24; II Tim. 2:11, 12; T. 81, par. 2.

9. What is represented in the "peace offering"? Lev. 9:18; T. 81, par. 4.



obligated then to live obedient to a covenant based on their forgiveness—i.e., they were to keep the Law—that he that doeth those things should *live* by (or as a reward for keeping) them. But as our sin-sacrifices are better than the typical ones, so with the peace-offering or covenant established by those sacrifices; it is a better covenant. Thus in this sacrifice of peace, or covenant-offering, the Priest is seen to serve unto the example and shadow of *spiritual* things—the mediator of a better covenant (Heb. 8:6-13), under which all people shall be blessed with RESTITUTION, and thus be enabled to obey the perfect law and live forever.

“And Aaron lifted up his hand toward the people, *and blessed them*; and came down from offering the sin-offering and peace-offerings.” Here we see illustrated in the type the fact that though the *blessing* is not fully due to come upon the people until all sacrifices are finished, yet a measure of blessing comes upon mankind from the members of the Priest, even *now*, during the age of sacrifice, before we all go into the “Most Holy” or spiritual condition. And how true is this to the facts: wherever the royal Priests are, a blessing more or less pronounced flows from these to their neighbors.

**“And Moses and Aaron Went into the Tabernacle of the Congregation, and Came Out and Blessed the People”**

When this day (age) of sacrifice is over, the complete Priest (Head and Body) will appear before God, and give evidence of having met all the claims of Justice against the people (the world). It will be noticed that while the type of Leviticus 16 divided the work of the Atonement Day, and showed all the particulars of how the Lord’s sacrifice first makes ours worthy of acceptance, etc., this type

10. What is this “*better* covenant” referred to, and when will it come into existence? Heb. 8:6-13; Jer. 31:31-34; T. 82, top; C. 296, 297; Z. ‘09-30, 31.

11. Who is the Mediator of this Covenant, and who are to be blessed under it? Heb. 8:6, 10, 11; T. 82, top; Z. ‘97, p. 82; Z. ‘09, p. 62 (2nd col.).

12. What particular blessing seems to be typified in Lev. 9:22? Matt. 5:13, 14, 16; II Cor. 3:2; T. 82.

**“And Moses and Aaron Went Into the Tabernacle of the Congregation, and Came Out and Blessed the People.”**

13. State in a general way the difference in the two pictures of the Atonement Day given in Lev. 16 and Lev. 9. T. 82, par. 2.



showed the entire work of the Gospel age as successive offerings, yet joined really in one—all the sufferings of the entire Christ, followed at once by restitution blessings. The going of Moses into the Tabernacle with Aaron seems to say, *The law* is fully satisfied and its righteousness vindicated in the sacrifice of Christ. The Law (represented in the type by Moses) will testify on behalf of those who were under the Law—Israel after the flesh—that all condemned under it were also justified to life through the sacrifices of the Priest who “offered up himself” once for all.

When presented, the entire sacrifice was “holy, acceptable to God,” this being evidenced by the fact that Moses and Aaron did not die at the threshold of the Most Holy. And Moses and Aaron came out and *together* blessed the people. So in the incoming age, the Christ will bless all the families of the earth (Gal. 3:8,16,29; Gen. 12:3); yet not by setting aside or ignoring the Law of God, and excusing sin, but by gradually restoring man to human perfection, in which condition he will be able to keep the perfect law of God, and be blessed by it. Blessed by the Priest, made perfect and able to keep it, the Law—obey and live—“He that doeth righteousness is righteous,” will be a great blessing; for whosoever will may then obey and live forever in happiness and communion with Jehovah.

### **“And the Glory of the Lord Appeared Unto All the People”**

As the blessing progresses (restoring and elevating the race, mentally and physically), the results will become manifest. The people—the world in general—will recognize God’s gracious love more and more each day. Thus it will be that “the *glory* of the Lord will be revealed and all flesh shall see it together.” (Isa. 40:5) They will come to see, gradually, of the length and breadth and height

14. What did Moses typify, and why did both Moses and Aaron go into the “tabernacle of the congregation” after the different sacrifices of the Day of Atonement were finished? And why did they then come out and together bless the people? Lev. 9:23; Gal. 3: 8, 16, 29; Gen. 12:3; T. 83, top, par. 1.

15. Will God’s law be to any extent ignored, or sin excused during the next age? Isa. 28:17, 18 Acts 3:22, 23;

16. Will the law of “obey and live,” “he that doeth righteousness is righteous,” be then a disadvantage or a blessing? Hag. 2:7; Psa. 96:10-13, R.V.; Isa. 25:8,9; T. 83, par. 1

17. Will the blessings of the Millennial reign become manifest at once to the entire world as soon as the reign begins? Lev. 9 :23; Isa. 40:5; T. 83, par. 2.

and depth of the love of God, which surpasseth all understanding.

It is worthy of note that the blessing here mentioned was not a blessing to the under-priests. No: they were represented in the blesser—in Aaron. The blessing came on all *the people* of Israel, who, in type, represented the world. It is this blessing of the world by the “Seed”—the entire Christ, after all the afflictions are filled up by the Body (Col. 1:24)—that Paul refers to, saying, “The whole creation [humanity] groaneth and travaileth in pain together...waiting for the manifestation of the sons of God.” Before they can experience deliverance from the bondage of corruption (sin and death) and restoration to the liberty of sons of God (freedom from condemnation, sin, death, etc.) as enjoyed by God’s first human son, Adam (Luke 3:38), the Atonement Day sacrifices must be finished, and the priests who sacrificed must be clothed with the glorious garments, the royal, divine authority and power thus to set them free. Rom. 8:19-22

It is doubtless this same blessing of all the people—salvation from death and its sting, sin—that Paul refers to, saying: “UNTO THEM THAT LOOK FOR HIM SHALL HE APPEAR THE SECOND TIME WITHOUT SIN [not again as a sin-offering, and without contamination from those sins borne for sinners] *unto salvation*.” (Heb. 9:28) The world has seen the Priest—Head and Body—suffer as a sin-offering during this age; Jesus was manifested to the Jews in the flesh (as a sin-offering), and as Paul could say, so can all followers in his footsteps say, “Christ is manifest in our mortal flesh.” (2 Cor. 4:11) As the whole Christ has thus been manifest and has suffered in the flesh, so they shall also be “glorified together” before the world; “for the glory [the blessing and salvation] of the Lord shall be revealed, and *all flesh* shall see it together.” When he shall

18. Are the “priests” included in those who are to be blessed under this reign? and if not, why not? Lev. 9:22-24; Matt. 25:31, 32; Rom. 8:18-22; Rev. 21:2, 3; 2 Thess. 2:14; T. 84, par. 1.

19. Is reference made to this, blessing of the whole world in Heb. 9:28? and does this imply that those who “look for him” shall all recognize him as soon as he comes at his second advent? T. 84, par. 2.

20. Was Christ manifested to the Jews at his first advent, as the sin offering for them? and has the Church been so manifested to the world? and if so, did the Jews, or does the world as yet, realize the value of this sin offering? 2 Cor. 4:11; Isa. 53:1-3; Jno. 15:18, 19; John 1:5 Heb. 13:13; T. 84, par. 2.

21. What is the difference in the manifesting of Jesus and his Church to the world during the Gospel Age and during the Millennial Age? Col. 3:4, R. V.; I Cor. 15 :42-45; Mal. 4:2, 3; D. 616; A. 322, near bottom; T. 84, par. 2.

*appear*, we also shall appear with him in glory. Col. 3:4

But this great High Priest of the world will be recognized only by "them that look for him." If he were to appear a flesh-being, in the sky or elsewhere, it would be an appearance to *all*, whether looking for him or not; but we have already seen that the Scriptures teach that the Head has been perfected as a spirit being, and that his "little flock" will be made "like him," spirit beings, of the divine nature, which no man hath seen nor can see. (1 Tim. 6:16) We have seen that the way in which the world will see the glorified Church will be by mental perception, in the same sense that a blind person may properly be said to see. In the same sense we now see the prize, the "crown of life," "while we look not at the things which are seen, but at the things which are not seen [by physical sight]; for the things which are seen are temporal, but the things which are not seen are eternal." (2 Cor. 4:18) It is in this way that the entire Church of this age has been "looking unto Jesus"; thus "we see Jesus." (Heb. 2:9; 12:2) Thus, with the eyes of their understanding, the "Watchers" discern the second presence of the Lord in its due time, by the light of the divine Word. And later on the world, every eye, shall see him in similar manner, but by the light of the "flaming fire" of his judgments. 2 Thess. 1:8

This is the only way in which human beings can see or recognize things on the spiritual plane. Jesus expressed this same idea to the disciples, that they who recognized his spirit or mind, and thus knew him, would also be acquainted with the Father in the same way. "If ye had known me, ye should have known my Father also; and from henceforth ye know him and *have seen* him." (John 8:19; 14:7) This is the only sense in which the world will ever see God, for "no *man* hath seen God at any time"

24. Do the Lord's faithful ones "see Jesus" now? And in what way? And how does' this way differ from the way in which we will see him beyond 'the vail'? Heb. 2:9; T. 85, par. 1.

22. Will the glorified Christ appear to those who "look for him" in a manner that can be appreciated by their natural vision? If not, how will he *appear* to them, and how will others be aware of his presence? 1 Tim. 6:16; 2 Cor. 4:18; Heb. 2:9; 12:2; 2 Thess. 1:8; Isa. 40:5; Luke 17:26-30; T. 85 par. 1, 2.

25. Will human beings ever be able to see things on the spiritual plane? And why? John 8:19; 14:9; 1 Tim. 6:16; John 1:18; T. 85, par. 2; 86 top, and par. 3.

("whom no *man* hath seen, nor can see")—"the only begotten Son, he hath declared [revealed—shown] him." (1 Tim. 6:16; John 1:18) Jesus revealed or caused his disciples to *see* the Father by making known his character—revealing him by words and deeds as the God of Love.

In the same way the Papal system was shown by Luther and others, and seen by many, to be the Antichrist; or as Paul had foretold, that wicked system, the man of sin, was then *revealed*, though many do not even yet *see* it thus.

Thus it is that our Lord Jesus, the Head (now present to gather the jewels), is at this time being revealed to the living members of the "little flock," though others *know not* of his presence. Luke 17:26-30; Mal. 3:17

Thus it will also be in the Millennial day, when the complete Christ—the Priest—is revealed. He will be revealed only to those that look for him, and only those will *see* him. They will see him, not by physical sight, but as we now see all spiritual things—our Lord Jesus, the Father, the prize, etc.—by the eye of faith. Men will not see the *Christ* by physical sight, because on a different plane of being—the one *spirit*, the other *flesh*; for the same reason that they will never see Jehovah. But *we* [the little flock, when glorified] shall see him *as he is*, for we shall be like him. 1 John 3:2

But, though only "those who look for him" will be able to recognize the Christ as the deliverer who will save them from the dominion of death, yet this will embrace all the world; for the manner of revelation will be such that ultimately all must see. "Every eye shall see him"; and all in their graves, being then awakened, even they that pierced him, will realize that they crucified the Lord of glory. "He shall be revealed [in the sky? No!] in flaming fire [judgments], taking vengeance on those that know not [acknowledge not] God, and [also on those] that obey

26. What other examples have we of unseen spiritual power? Eph. 2:2; 6:12, *Diaglott*; Isa. 8:19 T. 86, par. 1, 2

25. Will human beings ever be able to see things on the spiritual plane? And why? John 8:19; 14:9; 1 Tim. 6:16; John 1:18; T. 85, par. 2; 86 top, and par. 3.

23. Will the fact that Christ appears only to those who "look for him," imply that there will be some who will not "look for him," and who will not recognize or realize that the great Messiah is present for the blessing of the world? Rev. 1:7; Psa. 22 :27, 28; Psa. 67:2-7; Isa. 52:10, 15; 2 Thess. 1:7, 8; T. 86, par. 2, 3, 4.

not the gospel of Christ." It will not take long for all mankind to recognize him under such circumstances. Now the good suffer, but then shall ye discern "between him that serveth God and him that serveth him not"; for in that day the distinction will be manifested. (Mal. 3:15-18) Then all, seeing clearly, may, by accepting Christ and his offer of life under the New Covenant, have everlasting life; for "We trust in the living God, who is the Savior of all men, specially of those that believe." 1 Tim. 4:10

### **"And After Death the Judgment"**

A text directly connected with our subject, as is evident from its context, yet one more frequently misapplied, misunderstood, than perhaps any other in the Bible, reads, "And as it is appointed unto men [Aaron and his successors, who were merely types of the High Priest of the new creation] once to die [typically, as represented in the animal slain], and after this [following as a result of those sacrifices] the judgment [of God, approving or disapproving of the sacrifice], so Christ was *once* offered [never will it be repeated] to bear the sins of many ["every man"]; and unto *them that look* for him he shall appear the second time, without sin [neither blemished by the sins borne, nor to repeat the sin-offering, but] unto salvation"—to give the everlasting life to all who desire it upon God's conditions of faith and obedience. Heb. 9:27,28

Each time a Priest went into the "Most Holy" on the Atonement Day he risked his life; for if his sacrifice had been imperfect he would have died as he passed the "Second Veil." He would not have been accepted into the "Most Holy" himself, nor would his imperfect sacrifice have been acceptable as an atonement for the sins of the

27. What is the meaning of the Apostle's expression that God "is the Savior of all men, specially of those who believe"? 1 Tim. 4:10; Isa. 26:19; Hos. 13:14; Jno. 5:28, 29, Diaglott; T. 87, top of page; E. 33.

### **"AND AFTER DEATH THE JUDGMENT."**

28. What "judgment" is here referred to as following death? Heb. 9:27, 28; T. 87, par. 1.

29. How is this passage generally understood by Christians? and is their idea Scriptural? 2 Pet. 2:9; Rev. 11:15, 18; Rev. 20:11-13; Psalms. 96:10-13.

30. What was risked by the High Priest in the type whenever he entered the "Most Holy" on the Day of Atonement? Lev. 16:13, 14; T. 87, par. 2.

people. Hence any failure meant his death, and the condemnation of all for whose sins he attempted to make reconciliation. This was the “*judgment*” mentioned in this text, which was passed every year by the typical priests; upon the passing of that judgment favorably the priest’s life and the yearly typical atonement for the sins of the people depended.

Our great High Priest, Christ Jesus, passed under the antitypical Second Veil, when he died at Calvary; and had his sacrifice been in any manner or degree *imperfect* he would never have been raised out of death—the “judgment” of justice would have gone against him. But his resurrection, on the third day, proved that his work was perfectly performed, that it stood the test of the divine “judgment.” See Acts 17:31.

A further evidence that our Lord passed this “judgment” successfully, once for all, and that his sacrifice was accepted, was evidenced in the blessing at Pentecost; and that was a foretaste of the still greater future blessing and outpouring upon all flesh (Joel 2:28), a guarantee or assurance that ultimately he (and we in him) shall come forth to bless the people—the world, for whose sins he fully and acceptably atoned.

Any interpretation of this text, which applies it to the common death of humanity in general, is thoroughly contradicted and disposed of by the context.

Many have been looking in an indefinite way for a good time to come—for the removal in some manner of the curse of sin and death and evil in general, but they have not understood the long delay. They do not realize that the *sacrifice* of the “Day of Atonement” is necessary and must be finished before the glory and blessing can come: nor do they see that the Church, the “elect,” the “little flock,” are associates in the sacrifice of the Christ, and his

31. Was the eternal existence of our Lord endangered during his earthly career? See Acts 17 :31; Heb. 5: 7, 8; T. 88, par. 1.

32. What positive evidence have we that the life and sacrifice of our Lord was perfect and acceptable to the Father? Jno. 20:1-17; Acts 2:1-4; T. 88, par. 1, 2.

33. How will the Father’s acceptance of the sacrifice of the Body of Christ be manifested? Joel 2:28; T. 88, par. 2.

34. For what is the “groaning creation” waiting? Rom. 8:19-22 (see Diaglott); T. 88, par. 4.

35. Are the worthy saints of the Patriarchal and Jewish ages also waiting for this manifestation? Heb. 11 :39, 40; A. 288, par. 2; 293, par. 2.



sufferings, as they shall also be in the glory that shall follow. "The whole creation groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now, waiting [though in ignorance] for the manifestation of [the Church] the sons of God." Rom. 8:19,22

Moreover, since the typical Priest represented the "body" as well as the "head" of the antitypical Priest, the Christ, it follows that each member of the Church must pass this "judgment"—that although many have been called none will be *chosen* as finally acceptable "members" of the Christ Body, branches of the true Vine, except as they shall be "overcomers"—faithful unto death. (Rev. 3:21) Not, however, that such must attain perfection of the flesh, but perfection of heart, of will, of intent: they must be "pure in heart"—the treasure must be of pure gold tried in the furnace, though its present casket be but an imperfect earthen vessel.

### **Divine Acceptance to Be Manifested**

"And there came fire out from before the Lord, and consumed upon the altar the burnt-offering and the fat, which, when all the people saw, they shouted and fell on their faces"—worshiped. This is the same thought expressed in another form. The fire symbolized God's acceptance; its recognition by the people showed that the world will realize the sacrifice and its value in God's estimation as the price of their liberty from death and the grave, and when they realize it they will worship Jehovah and his representative, the Priest.

That this is not yet fulfilled is evident. God has not yet *manifested* his acceptance of the great Atonement Day sacrifice, by fire; the people have not yet shouted and fallen on their faces in worship of the Great King and his representative. No, the world still lieth in wickedness (1

36. Must each member of the Body of Christ pass the same "judgment" as our Head? and, if so, how can we be acceptable unless we, like him, live perfect lives? Rev. 3:21; Col. 2:9, 10; Isa. 61:10; T. 89, par. 1.

### **DIVINE ACCEPTANCE TO BE MANIFESTED.**

37. How is the acceptance by God of the sacrifice of the Church shown in the type? Lev. 9:22-24; T. 89, par. 2.

38. Will the world then appreciate the great sacrifice of The Christ? and how is this shown in the type? Isa. 40:5; 25 9; Rev. 15 :3, 4, Diaglott; T. 89 par. 2.

39. What must intervene between now and the time when "the people will shout and fall upon their faces" before the great High Priest in glory? Acts 15:13-17; Dan. 12:1; T.89, par.3.

John 5:19); the god of this world still blinds more or less nearly all mankind (2 Cor. 4:4); darkness still covers the earth—gross darkness the people. (Isa. 60:2) Nor need we look for the great restitution blessings prefigured in this type until all the members of the Church, the “Body” of the great High Priest, shall have first gone beyond the Second Veil (actual death), *into* the Most Holy, by resurrection change. Nor will this “blessing” of the type be fulfilled until after the great time of trouble. Then, chastened, sobered, humbled, the world of mankind will very generally be “waiting for” and “looking for” the great Christ, the Seed of Abraham, to bless them and lift them up.

How beautifully these types teach a full ransom for all the people, and a restitution and blessing made possible to all!

Nothing in the types seems to make a distinction between the living and the dead, and some may be inclined to infer that when the sacrifices of the High Priest are over, and the blessing commences, only those who are then living will be greatly benefited. But we answer, Nay: in God’s estimation the living and the dead are alike; he speaks of them all as dead. All came under *sentence* of death in Adam; and the little spark of life which any man now possesses is really but one stage of dying. It is a dead race now because of the sin of Adam; but at the close of this antitypical “Day of Atonement” the blessings of justification and life will be extended to all, upon conditions which all will be able to obey, and whosoever will may have again, from the life-giver, Redeemer, all that he lost in Adam—life, liberty, favor of God, etc.—those who have gone all the way down into death, as well as those who still linger on the brink—“in the valley of the shadow of death.”

This is the object of the antitypical sin-offerings: to

40. Will those now dead, as well as the living nations, be participants in this great blessing? Heb. 2 :9; I Tim. 2:5, 6; Rom. 14:9; Hos. 13:14; Ezek. 16:44-63, etc.; T. 90, par. 1, 2, 3.



release "all the people," all mankind, from the dominion of sin, death: to restore them to the perfection of being which is essential to perfect happiness and *at-one-ment* with the Creator.

This is the blessing which is to come to all the families of the earth through the Seed of Abraham. This is the good news which was preached to Abraham, as we read: "God foreseeing that he would justify the heathen [all mankind— Gentiles] through faith, preached before the Gospel [good tidings] to Abraham, saying, In thee and in thy Seed shall all nations be blessed [justified]...Which Seed is Christ [primarily the Head, and secondarily the Body]; and if ye be Christ's [members] then are ye Abraham's Seed, and heirs according to the promise" referred to; viz., one of the blessing class, the Seed of Abraham, who shall bless all the families of the earth. (Gal. 3:8,16,29) But this "Seed" must be completed before the blessing comes, as shown in the type just considered: the sin-offering must be ended before all the blessings resulting there from can flow out.

The restriction that the High Priest *alone* went into the "Most Holy" once a year to make an atonement should not be misunderstood to mean that he and the under-priests never went in thither during succeeding days—after the Atonement Day had made full reconciliation for sins. On the contrary, the High Priest went in there often in after days. It was into the "Most Holy" that the High Priest went whenever he inquired of Jehovah for Israel's welfare, etc., using the breast-plate of judgment, the Urim and Thummim. Again, whenever they broke camp, which was often, the priests went in and took down the "veils" and wrapped up the Ark and all the holy vessels, before the Levites were permitted to carry them. Num. 4:5-16

Again, whenever an Israelite offered a sin-offering unto

41. How was the "good news"-the Gospel-"preached before to Abraham"? Gal. 3:8, 16, 29; T. 91, par. 1.

42. What is the date of the beginning of the blessing to the world? And why can it not come before? Lev. 9:15,23, 24; Rom. 8:19, 21, *Diaglott*; T. 91, par. 1, last half.

43. What was the typical significance of the High Priest's going alone into the "Most Holy" once a year-on the Day of Atonement?

44. Did the High Priest and under-priests enter the "Most Holy" after the Day of Atonement? T. 91, par. 2. And what was thus typified? Num. 18:10; T. 91, par. 3.

the priests (after the “Day of Atonement” sacrifices were over) they all ate it in the “Most Holy.” (Num. 18:10) So with the antitype, after the present “Day of Atonement” is over: the “Royal Priesthood” will be in the “Most Holy” *or perfect spiritual condition*, and there will accept (eat) the sacrifices for sin, brought by the world for their own transgressions (not for original or Adamic sin, which was canceled on the “Day of Atonement”). In that perfect spiritual condition, the priesthood will instruct in every matter, as represented in the decisions and answers given to Israel by the Urim and Thummim.

## CHAPTER VI

### SACRIFICES SUBSEQUENT TO THE “DAY OF ATONEMENT”

These Typify Repentances, Vows, Covenants, etc., During the Millennium—The People’s Burnt-Offerings—Their Peace-Offerings—Their Meat-Offerings—Their Trespass-Offerings—Male and Female Distinctions to Cease, Shown in the Types.

THE sacrifices offered by the people (Israel—the world) on their own individual account, after the Day of Atonement sacrifices, typified by the general offerings of Israel, belong to the next age, and will then be presented to the glorified royal priesthood. Nevertheless, this has a very slight beginning now; thus the worldly man possessed of wealth is in that sense a steward of God’s things, and may now use that “mammon” and with it make for himself friends, that when this age of Satan’s domination is ended, and the reign of Christ commences (in which he shall no longer be a steward), then those whom he thus favored will bless him. If the worldly stewards of wealth (the mammon or god of this age) were wise, they would use more of their means thus. For whosoever shall give even a cup of cold water unto one of the least of these priests, because he is such, shall by no means lose his reward when the Kingdom of Christ is organized and its rule begins. Luke 16:1-8; Matt. 10:42

Those sacrifices which do not belong to the class we de-

1. To what *time* do the sacrifices of the children of Israel, offered *after* the Day of Atonement, apply? T.93, par. 1 (first five lines).
2. Is there any sense in which they apply to any other time? Luke 16:1-8; Matt. 10:42. T.93, par. 1 (commence 5th line).

nominate the "Day of Atonement sacrifices," illustrated offerings and sacrifices which belong to the Millennial age.

As, in the type, the "Day of Atonement" sacrifices preceded all others, and were a *basis* for the general forgiveness and acceptance with God of all Israel, but were followed by other sacrifices by individuals after that day, termed "sin-offerings," "trespass-offerings," "peace-offerings," etc., so will be the antitype. After the sacrifices of this Gospel age have brought "the people," the world, into a justified condition, there will still be sins and trespasses committed which will require confession and reconciliation, making these after-sacrifices necessary.

The Atonement Day sacrifices represented the cancellation of Adamic sin by the sacrifice of the Christ; but during the Millennium, while the benefits of the atonement are being applied to the world, while they are being gradually restored to actual perfection and life and harmony with God, errors will be committed for which they will be in some measure responsible. For such they must make some amends, accompanied by repentance, before they can be again in harmony with God through Christ, their Mediator.

Consecration will also be in order in the next age, though, owing to the changed government of the world, consecration will no longer, as now, mean unto *death*, but on the contrary, it will be unto life; for with the close of the reign of evil comes the end of pain, sorrow and death, except upon evildoers. Consecration must always be a voluntary presentation of one's powers, and hence this is represented in some of the sacrifices after the Atonement Day.

As the *basis* for all forgiveness of sins in the next age will be the "Day of Atonement" sacrifices, it would be appropriate in the type for the sinner to bring some sacrifice which would indicate a recognition of the "Day of

3. Why do the Day of Atonement sacrifices precede all others? T.94, par. 1 (down to sixth line).

4. After the sacrifices of the Gospel Age have brought the world into a justified condition (justified to *life-rights*) will there still be need for further reconciliation? and why? T.94, par. 1 (6th line down).

5. Explain the difference between the Atonement Day sacrifices, offered during the Gospel Age, and the "trespass-offerings," or "sin-offerings," of the world during the Millennial Age. T.94, par. 2.

6. Will "consecration" be in order during the next age? and if so how will it differ from consecration now? T.94, par. 3.

7. How will the fact be shown in the next age that the people recognize the sacrifices of this age as the basis for the forgiveness of their sins? and how was this indicated in the type? Lev. 17:1-9; see also Lev. 1-5. T.94, par. 4.

Atonement” sacrifices, as the ground of forgiveness anew. And so we find that all offerings of the people after the “Day of Atonement” were of a kind which pointed back to or recognized the sacrifices of that day. These offerings might be of cattle or sheep or fowl (turtle doves or young pigeons) or of fine flour—the article offered depending upon the *ability* of the offerer.

During the Millennial age *all men* will “come to a knowledge of the truth,” and thus to the fullest opportunity of salvation from the curse (condemnation or sentence) of Adamic death. (1 Tim. 2:4) When we remember that this *death* includes all the sickness, pain and imperfection to which humanity is now subject, we see that God’s plan includes a full restoration to human perfection; only those who deliberately refuse or neglect the opportunities then put within the reach of all will die the Second Death. But perfection will come gradually, and it will require the cooperation of the sinner’s WILL ever to reach it. He must *do what he can* to climb up again to perfection, and will have *all* the assistance *necessary*. This is shown by these sacrifices in general: they were to be according to every man’s *ability*. However degraded by sin and imperfect, each must, when he comes to a knowledge of the truth, present himself to God, the offering indicating his condition. The dove or pigeon brought by the poorest in the type represented the justified *all* of the morally poor and degraded; the goat offered by others more able, represented the *all* of some less degraded; while the bullock represented the *all* of those who had attained *perfection* of human nature. Just as a bullock was used to typify the perfect humanity (much fat) of Jesus’ sacrifice, and a goat (wayward and lean) was used to represent the imperfect human nature of the saints, in the sacrifices of this Atonement Day, so those animals similarly represented the offerers

8. Will all the blinding influences of the present be done away with during the next age? 1 Tim. 2:4; Isa. 29:18; 25:6-8; 11:9; 42:6,7,16; T.95, par. 1 (1st three lines).

9. What is included in the term “Adamic death”? T.95, par. 1 (4th line to 9th).

10. Will each one of the world be required to cooperate in his own salvation? T.95, par. 1 (9th line on).

(Israel—typical of the believing world in the Millennium) in their consecrations. But it should be remembered that these burnt-offerings and peace-offerings of the future represent the people as *consecrating*—giving themselves to the Lord. They do not represent sin-offerings to secure *atonement*, as do the sacrifices of the Day of Atonement. There were indeed trespass-offerings which were in a sense sin-offerings for individuals; but these, as we shall see presently, were wholly different from the national sin-offerings of the Atonement Day.

When those of the world of mankind, willing to accept God's grace, shall have been brought to perfection, at the close of the Millennium, there will be no longer any *poor* in the sense of inability to offer a bullock—in the sense of deficiency of mental, moral or physical ability. All will be perfect men, and their offerings will be their *perfect* selves typified by *bullocks*. David, speaking of this, says: "Then shalt thou be pleased with sacrifices of righteousness [of right doing] with burnt-offering and whole burnt-offering; then shall they offer *bullocks* [perfect sacrifices] upon thine altar." (Psa. 51:19) Yet that David's language should not be understood to teach the restoration of the literal, bloody, typical sacrifices, is evident, for in the same connection he says, "Thou desirest not sacrifice [either typical or antitypical—full atonement for sin having been accomplished by that time "once for all"]...The sacrifices of God are a broken spirit; a broken and a contrite heart, O God, thou wilt not despise." All these sacrifices must be of the free will and desire of the offerer. Lev. 1:3

The completeness of consecration was shown by the death of the animal—that is, each member of the race must consecrate his will; but it will be followed neither by the destruction of the human nature (the burning of the flesh

11. When will the people be able to offer "bullocks" to the Lord? Psa. 51:19; Lev. 1:3; T.96, par. 1.

outside the camp) nor by the taking of the life into a new nature—into the “Most Holy.” Only the priests enter there, as shown in the Atonement sacrifices. No: when consecrated, they are accepted as human beings, and will be perfected as such—their right to life as such having been purchased by the High Priest, in the members of whose Body all the overcoming Church is represented. The consecrations represent an appreciation of the ransom, and the acquiescence of the offerers to the Law of God as the condition upon which they may continue to live everlastingly, in harmony and favor with him.

### **The People’s Burnt-Offerings**

The burnt-offerings of the priests were to be kept up continually on the altar, and the fire never suffered to die out. “This is the law of the burnt-offering: it is the burnt-offering because of the burning upon the altar all night unto the morning, and the fire of the altar shall be burning in it.... It shall not be put out, and the priest shall burn wood on it every morning, and lay the burnt-offering in order upon it. ...The fire shall ever be burning upon the altar; it shall never go out.” Lev. 6:9,12,13.

Thus was represented to the mind of each offerer the fact that the altar was already sanctified or set apart, and that their offerings would be acceptable because of God’s acceptance of the Atonement Day sacrifices. To this altar the Israelite brought his free will offering, as narrated in Lev. 1. It was made in the usual way: the animal, cut in pieces and washed, was laid, the pieces to the head, on the altar, and wholly burnt, a sacrifice of sweet savor unto the Lord. This would serve to typify a thankful prayer to Jehovah—an acknowledgment of his mercy, wisdom and love, as manifested in the broken Body of the Christ—their ransom.

## **THE PEOPLE’S BURNT-OFFERINGS**

12. Were the “burnt-offerings” of the people, in the type, offered only occasionally? Lev. 6:9,12,13. T.97, par. 1.

13. What did this represent to the mind of each offerer? Lev. 1; T.97, par. 2.

### **The People's Peace-Offerings**

This offering was to be of the herd or flock; and it could be made either in fulfilment of a vow (covenant), or as a willing "thank-offering." Part of it was to be brought to Jehovah by the offerer—"His own hands shall bring the offerings of the Lord made by fire; the fat with the breast, it shall he bring"; and the Priest shall burn the fat on the altar, and wave the breast before the Lord. But the breast shall be the Priest's, also the shoulder. The offerer must eat the sacrifice. Lev. 3, and 7:11-18,30-34

This seems to show that if any man will then come into a condition of full peace and harmony (as all must do or else be cut off in the Second Death), he must eat or fulfil a covenant before God of entire consecration to him. If, after being thus perfected, he again becomes defiled by wilful sin, he must die (the *Second* Death) as shown by the penalty of touching unclean things. (Lev. 7:19-21) Compare Rev. 20:9,13-15.

With this sacrifice there was presented an offering of unleavened cakes mingled with oil, and anointed wafers, representing the offerer's faith in Christ's character, which he will copy, and leavened bread indicating his acknowledgment of his own imperfection at the time of consecration—leaven being a type of sin. Lev. 7:11-13

### **The People's Meat-Offerings**

These, of fine flour, unleavened cakes, with oil, etc., were presented to the Lord through the Priest. They probably represented praises and worship offered to the Lord by the world, through his Church. "Unto him be glory in the Church by Christ Jesus throughout all ages." (Eph. 3:21) These were accepted by the priests. A sample being

### **THE PEOPLE'S PEACE-OFFERINGS**

14. What was the "peace-offering"? and what did it typify? Lev. 3; 7:11-18,30-34; 7:19-21; Rev. 20:9,13-15; T.98, par. 1 and 2.

15. What other offering accompanied the "peace-offering"? and what did this signify in the antitype? Lev. 7:11-13; T.98, par. 3.

### **THE PEOPLE'S MEAT-OFFERINGS**

16. What were the "meat-offerings" of the people? and what did they represent? Lev. 2:1-11; 7:9,10; Eph. 3:21; T.98, par. 4.



offered on the altar showed that it was approved by, acceptable to, Jehovah.

### The People's Trespass or Sin-Offerings

"If a soul [being] commit a trespass and sin through ignorance in the holy things of the Lord;...if he sin and commit any of these things which are forbidden to be done by the commandments of the Lord, though he wist it not, yet is he guilty, and shall bear his iniquity. And he shall bring a ram without blemish out of the flock," and money according to the priest's estimation of the trespass, with a *fifth more*, and this shall be his offering. And the Priest shall make an *atonement* for him. And if any one sin knowingly and damage or defraud his neighbor, he shall restore it in the principal, and shall add the fifth part more thereto (twenty per cent interest) and give it to the wronged one. And he shall bring a ram for the trespass-offering unto the Lord. Lev. 5:15-19; 6:1-7

This teaches that for every wrong restoration must then be made, with interest, and accompanied by repentance or an asking of forgiveness of the Lord, through the Church (Priesthood)—the trespasser's recognition of his own imperfections, and of the value of the ransom, being shown by the ram presented.

But notice the difference between the treatment of such sin-offerings and the sin-offerings of the "Day of Atonement." The latter were offered to *God* (Justice) in the "Most Holy," as "the better sacrifices"; the former were offered to *the priests*, who, during the Atonement Day, had purchased the people. The acknowledgment of the people will be made to their Redeemer. The Priest, indeed, took and offered to the Lord a portion of the offerings, as a "memorial," as a recognition that the whole plan of redemption as executed on the Atonement

### THE PEOPLE'S TRESPASS OR SIN-OFFERINGS

17. What were the "sin-offerings" or "trespass-offerings" of the people? and what did these typify? Lev. 5:15-19; 6:1-7; T.99, par. 1 and 2.

18. Show the difference in the treatment of these "sin-offerings" and the "sin-offerings" of the Day of Atonement, and apply it to the antitype. T.99, par. 3, and 100, par. 1.

Day (Gospel Age) was the heavenly Father's, but appropriated to himself the remainder—by eating it.

The whole world, purchased by the precious blood (human life) of Christ, will present themselves, for forgiveness of trespasses, to the "Royal Priesthood," whose acceptance of their gifts or consecrations will signify *forgiveness*. To this agree our Lord Jesus' words to his disciples: "He breathed on them and saith unto them, Receive ye the holy Spirit. Whosoever sins ye remit, they are remitted unto them; and whosoever sins ye retain [to them] they are retained." John 20:23

While this "ministry of reconciliation" belongs in its fullest sense to the next age, when all the sacrifices of Atonement will have been completed, yet even now, any member of the "Royal Priesthood" may say to those who believe and repent, "Thy sins are forgiven thee"—as did our Head, by faith looking forward, as he did, to the completion of the sacrifices for sins: moreover, these priests now *know* the terms and conditions upon which forgiveness is promised, and can speak authoritatively whenever they see the terms complied with.

The offerings of the Atonement Day, as we have seen, were always burned (Lev. 6:30; Heb. 13:11), but the later trespass-offerings, offered after the Day of Atonement, were not burned, but eaten (appropriated) by the priests.

### Male and Female Distinctions to Cease

"This is the law of the sin-offering [trespass-offering]... The priest that offereth it for sins shall eat it...All the *males* among the priests shall eat thereof." Lev. 6:25-29

The Lord and all the holy angels are Scripturally referred to as *males*, while all the saints are together represented as a female, a "*virgin*," espoused to our Lord Jesus as husband. But the human female was originally a part of the

19. State in what manner this "ministry of reconciliation" can be applied during the present age. T.100, par. 2.

20. In what further particular did the treatment of the Atonement Day sacrifices differ from that of the people's "sin-offerings"? Lev. 6:24-26,29,30; Heb. 13:11; T.100, par. 3.

### MALE AND FEMALE DISTINCTIONS TO CEASE

21. Are the distinctions of "male" and "female" always to exist? T.100, par. 4.

man made in God's image, and is still (although temporarily separate for the purposes of human propagation) a part of the man—neither being complete alone. As the perfect man was named Adam, so, when made twain, "God called *their* name Adam"—the headship remaining with the male, who was thus made the caretaker or preserver of the female as a part of his own body. (Eph. 5:23,28) This sexual division did not make Adam imperfect: it merely divided his perfections between two bodies of which he was still the "head."

The Scriptures indicate that ultimately, by the close of the "times of restitution," all (male and female shall be *restored* to the perfect condition—the condition represented in Adam before Eve was separated from him. We do not understand that either males or females will lose their identity, but that *each* will take on the qualities now lacking. If this thought be the correct one, it would seem to imply that the extreme delicacy of some females and the extreme coarseness of some males are incident to the fall, and that *restitution* to a perfection in which the elements of the two sexes would be perfectly blended and harmonized would be the *ideal* humanity of God's design. Our dear Redeemer, when he was "the man Christ Jesus," was probably neither coarse and brawny nor effeminate. In him the mental strength and grandeur of manhood *blended* most delightfully with the noble purity, tenderness and grace of true womanhood. Was he not the *perfect man* who died for our race and redeemed both sexes? Let us not forget that as a *man* he had no help-mate: should he not therefore have been complete in himself to pay the full corresponding price for Adam (male and female)? Either thus was Eve represented in the great ransom or by her husband as her "head"—else mother Eve was not ransomed at all, a thought which would conflict with other Scriptures.

22. If not, why and when will such distinctions cease? T.101, par. 1.

The Gospel Church is indeed referred to in the Scriptures as a "*Bride*"; not, however, as the bride of "the *man* Christ Jesus," but as the Bride of the risen and highly exalted Christ. As new creatures, begotten of God's spirit to spirit nature, we are betrothed to the Spirit Jesus, whose name and honor and throne we are to share. The Church is not the Bride of the sacrificed man Christ Jesus, but of the glorified Lord Jesus, who at his second advent claims her as his own. Rom. 7:4

As with man and woman in the next age so will it be with Christ and the Church—after the Church is glorified all femininity will be dropped—"We shall be like him"—members of his Body. "And this is the name wherewith *she* shall [then] be called [her Lord's name], The Righteousness of Jehovah." (Jer. 33:16; 23:6) As the Body of the great Prophet, Priest and King, the Church will be a part of the Everlasting Father or Life-giver to the world. Isa. 9:6

This same thought is carried throughout the Scriptures; the *males* of the priestly tribe alone did the *sacrificing*, and as above, the *eating* of the trespass-offerings; and they alone entered the Tabernacle and passed beyond the Veil. Likewise, in the holy Spirit's arrangement for this Gospel age—"He gave indeed [male] apostles, and [male] prophets, and [male] evangelists, and [male] pastors and teachers, for the qualification of the saints for the *work of service*, in order to the building up of the Anointed one." (Eph. 4:11,12, *Diaglott*) The word *male*, as above, should appear in the English as it does appear in the Greek text, and the Lord's appointments and those of the apostles correspond to this. "I suffer not [in the Church] a woman to teach or to *usurp* authority over the man," plainly declares the Apostle. (1 Tim. 2:12) This is illustrative of the present relationship of Christ and the Church, which will,

23. Is the Church the Bride of the *man* Christ Jesus? Rom. 7:4; T.102, par. 1.

24. Will these distinctions of sex cease both with the human race and with the glorified Church? Jer. 33:16; 23:6; Isa. 9:6; E.44, 45; T.102, par. 2.

25. How is this thought shown in the type: that when the Church is glorified all sex distinctions will cease? T.102, par. 3 (1st four lines).

26. Do the teachings and example of Christ and the Apostles show that woman in the Church in the flesh is not to be a public teacher or leader? Eph. 4:11,12, *Diag.*; 1 Tim. 2:11-13; 1 Cor. 14:34,35; T.102, par. 3 (from fourth line down).

we understand, terminate with the close of this age, when the overcomers will be glorified and made actually one with the Lord—as “brethren.”

This, however, does not signify that the sisters in the Church do not equally “present their bodies living sacrifices, holy and acceptable to God,” and perform an important “*work of service*” in the Church as *members of the “royal priesthood”*; they are equally pleasing to the Lord as the brethren, for, really, all distinctions of sex and color and condition are ignored, dropped from divine notice, from the time we become “new creatures in Christ Jesus” (2 Cor. 5:17; Gal. 3:28); but the type, the figure, the lesson, must be continued, and hence the distinctions so rigidly maintained in the special and more important parts of the service of the Church of Christ.

On the contrary, the Adversary has always sought to control man religiously through the love and esteem men bear toward women—hence his exaltation of the Virgin Mary to the rank of a goddess and to worship amongst Catholics. Hence also, with the ancient Egyptians, Isis was the goddess, and in the later times of the Apostle Paul, Diana was goddess of the Ephesians. And does not Satan still seek to deal with and through woman, as in the Garden of Eden? Are not women his chief mediums in Spiritism and his chief apostles and prophets in Theosophy and Christian Science?

Nor has Satan’s acceptance of women as his mouthpieces been to their advantage. On the contrary, women stand on a far higher social and intellectual plane, and are most appreciated for their true womanhood, in those lands where the Bible regulations are recognized and respected; and by those who most carefully follow Scriptural regulations.

27. Are the sisters, equally with the brethren, prospective members of the Body of Christ? 2 Cor. 5:17; Gal. 3:28,29. See F.491, 492. T.103, par. 1.

28. How has the Adversary entrapped and deceived the world along this line? T.103, par. 2.

29. Has this unscriptural course been a real advantage to women? T.103, par. 3.

**My Sacrifice**

“Laid on thine altar, O my Lord divine,  
Accept this gift today, for Jesus’ sake.  
I have no jewels to adorn thy shrine,  
Nor any world-famed sacrifice to make;  
But here I bring, within my trembling hand,  
This will of mine—a thing that seemeth small;  
And thou alone, O Lord, canst understand  
How, when I yield thee this, I yield mine all.

“Hidden therein thy searching gaze canst see  
Struggles of passions, visions of delight,  
All that I have, or am, or fain would be—  
Deep loves, fond hopes and longings infinite.  
It hath been wet with tears, and dimmed with sighs,  
Clenched in my grasp till beauty hath it none.  
Now, from thy footstool, where it vanquished lies,  
The prayer ascendeth—‘May thy will be done!’

“Take it, O Father, ere my courage fail;  
And merge it so in Thine own will that I  
May never have a wish to take it back;  
When heart and courage fail to thee I’d fly.  
So change, so purify, so like thine own,  
Make thou my will so graced by love divine  
I may not know or feel it as mine own,  
But recognize my will as one with thine.”

## CHAPTER VII

### “THE ASHES OF A HEIFER SPRINKLING THE UNCLEAN”

#### HEBREWS 9:13

Not One of the Atonement Day Sacrifices—Not One of the Subsequent Sacrifices for the People—The Class Typified by This Sacrifice—The Apostle Paul the Under-Priest Who Witnesses and Testifies Respecting the Antitype—The Sprinkling of the Ashes for the Cleansing of the People Will Be During the Millennial Age—How the Cleansing Will Be Effected.

ONE feature of the ceremonial law of Israel, related in Numbers 19, required the killing of a red heifer (cow)—one without blemish and which had never been under the yoke of service. It was not one of the sin-offerings of the Day of Atonement, nor was it one of the offerings of the people subsequent to the Day of Atonement—indeed, it was no “offering” at all, for no part of it was offered on the Lord’s altar or eaten by the priests. It was sacrificed, but not in the same sense, nor in the same place, as these offerings—in the Court. It was not even killed by one of the priests, nor was its blood taken into the Holy and Most Holy. The Red Heifer was taken outside the camp of Israel, and was there killed and burned to ashes—flesh, fat, hide, blood, etc.—except a little of the blood taken by the priest and sprinkled seven times *toward* the front of the Tabernacle (Revised Version and Leeser). The *ashes* of the heifer were not brought into the Holy

#### “THE ASHES OF A HEIFER SPRINKLING THE UNCLEAN”

1. Was the sacrifice of the red heifer a “sin-offering” in any sense? How do we know this? Num. 19:1-5; T.105.
2. What was done with the ashes of the heifer? T.105.

place, but were left outside the Camp, gathered together in a heap, and apparently accessible to any of the people who had use for them. Under the prescription of the Law, a portion of the ashes was to be mixed with water in a vessel, and a bunch of hyssop dipped into this mixture was to be used in sprinkling the person, clothing, tent, etc., of the legally unclean, for their purification.

In view of what we have seen respecting the Day of Atonement sacrifices, which foreshadowed the better sacrifices of this Gospel age (accomplished by the Royal Priesthood, Christ, Head and Body) this heifer was in no sense related to these, and evidently did not typify any of the sacrifices of this present time. So likewise it is different from any of the sacrifices that were accepted on behalf of the people of Israel after the Day of Atonement, and which we have just shown signified their repentance and sorrow for sins during the Millennium, and their full consecration of themselves to the Lord. The burning of the heifer was not related to any of these sacrifices, all of which were made by the priests, and in the Court. We must look elsewhere for an antitype to this Red Heifer, for had it in any sense of the word represented the priests, it would of necessity have been killed by one of them as indicating that fact.

What, then, did this sacrifice of the red heifer signify?—What class or persons were represented by it, as having suffered outside the “Camp,” and in what sense of the word would their sufferings have to do with the cleansing or purification of the people of God—including those who shall yet become his people during the Millennial age?

We answer that a class of God’s people not of the “Royal Priesthood” did suffer for righteousness’ sake outside the “Camp”; a brief history of these, and of the fiery trials which they endured, is given us by the Apostle in Heb. 11. Of these he says, after recounting the faith exploits of a

3. Was this sacrifice in any way related to the sacrifices of the Day of Atonement? T.106, par. 1 (1st 5 lines).

4. Was it different from the sacrifices offered by the people of Israel after the Day of Atonement? T.106, par. 1 (6th line down).

5. What, then, did this sacrifice of the red heifer signify? And what class was represented by it? And how would their sufferings have to do with the cleansing of the people of God, both of this age and the age to come? Heb. 9:13; 11:32-38. T.106, par. 3.



number, "What shall I say more? For the time would fail me to tell of Gideon, and of Barak, and of Samson, and of Jephtha; of David also, and of Samuel and of the prophets: who through faith subdued kingdoms, wrought righteousness, obtained promises, stopped the mouths of lions, quenched the violence of fire, escaped the edge of the sword, out of weakness were made strong, waxed valiant in fight, turned to flight the armies of aliens. Women received their dead raised to life again: and others were tortured, not accepting deliverance; that they might obtain a better resurrection: and others had trials of cruel mockings and scourgings, yea, moreover, of bonds and imprisonment: they were stoned, they were sawn asunder, were tempted, were slain by the sword: they wandered about in sheepskins and goatskins; being destitute, afflicted, tormented, of whom the world was not worthy." Heb. 11:32-38

Here we have a class fitting to the account of the Red Heifer—a class which laid down their lives outside the "Camp"; a class in every way honorable, and yet not a priestly class. This class being no part of the Body of the High Priest could have no part or share in the sin-offerings of the Atone-ment Day—nor could it be admitted into the spiritual conditions typified by the Holy and Most Holy. It may seem to some remarkable that we should, with so much positive-ness, declare that these ancient worthies were not members of the "Royal Priesthood," while with equal positiveness we declare that the no more faithful servants of God of this Gospel age are members of this "Royal Priesthood." Our positiveness on this subject is the positiveness of the Word of God, which in the very connection with the narrative of the faithfulness of these patriarchs declares in so many words, "These all, having obtained a good report through faith, received not the prom-

6. Is this class, represented in the red heifer, a part of the Body of Christ, the Royal Priesthood? Heb. 11:39,40; T.107, par. 1.

ise [received not the chief blessing], God having provided *some better thing for us*, that they without us should not be made perfect." Heb. 11:39,40

Nor should it be difficult for us to realize that although there could be antitypical Levites (justified by faith in a coming atonement) before our Lord Jesus came into the world, yet there could be no antitypical priests, for he was the Head or Chief Priest, and in all things had pre-eminence, and made atonement for the *blemishes* of his "Body" and of "his house" before any could become his brethren and members of the royal priesthood. Our Lord himself stated this matter very pointedly, and succinctly pointed out the line of demarcation between the faithful ones that preceded him and the faithful ones who would follow after him, walking in his footsteps, and becoming his joint-heirs. Of John the Baptist he said, "Verily I say unto you, Among them that are born of women there hath not risen a greater than John the Baptist; notwithstanding he that is least in the Kingdom of Heaven is greater than he." (Matt. 11:11) John the Baptist belonged to this Red Heifer class which suffered outside the "Camp," even unto death, but he had nothing whatever to do with the still better sacrifices of the royal priesthood during the Atonement Day, whose fat and life producing organs were offered upon God's altar in the "Court," and whose blood was taken into the "Most Holy," typical of those who become new creatures in Christ Jesus, even members of his "Body," the Church, joint-heirs with him in all things.

But while these ancient worthies are not in any sense part of the sin-offering, they are nevertheless connected with the *cleansing from sin*: their ashes (the knowledge and remembrance of their faithfulness unto death), mingled with the water of truth, and applied with the purgative, cleansing hyssop, is valuable, purifying, sanctifying all who de-

7. How can we be sure of this? Matt. 11:11; Heb. 6:19,20; 2:3; T.108, par. 1.

8. What was typified by the "ashes" of the heifer? T.108, par. 2 (first half).

9. What will be the station or position of the "Ancient Worthies"? Psa. 45:16; T.108, par. 2 (last half).

sire to come into full harmony with God—and “sprinkling the unclean, sanctifieth to the purification of the flesh.” Not, however, of themselves would these lessons of faithfulness in the past be valuable to us, but only by, through and associated with the sin-offerings of the Day of Atonement, to which the Apostle refers in the same connection—“the blood of bulls and goats.” And not only are the remembrance and lessons of the faithfulness of the ancient worthies (typified by the *ashes* of the red heifer) of sanctifying power to us now, but in a much larger sense they will be applicable and a blessing to the world of mankind in general during the Millennial age. For, as we have elsewhere seen, the divine arrangement is that these ancient worthies, the greatest of whom is less in honor than the least one in the Kingdom, will nevertheless occupy a place of high honor and distinction under that Kingdom of God—as its agents and representatives. For they shall be the “princes in all the earth,” the agents of the Kingdom’s judgments, and the channels of its blessings, to “all the families of the earth.” Thus the faithfulness of these ancient worthies was represented in the gathered ashes of the heifer, as laid up in store for future use, valuable lessons of experience, faith, obedience, trust, etc., which, applied to the world of mankind, seeking cleansing in the coming age, will sanctify them and purify them—not without the Day of Atonement sacrifices, but in connection with and based upon those. *Psa. 45:16*

The burning of the heifer was *witnessed* by a priest, who took cedar wood and a sprig of hyssop and a scarlet string and cast them into the midst of the burning cow. The hyssop would represent purging or cleansing, the cedar wood or evergreen would represent everlasting life, and the scarlet string would represent the blood of Christ. The casting of these three into the midst of the burning would

10. Who witnessed the burning of the heifer? and what did he do?

11. What was typified by the “cedar wood,” the “sprig of hyssop” and the “scarlet string”?

12. Why were they cast into the midst of the burning heifer? *T.109.*

13. Who would seem to be typified by the under-priest who approved this burning and took of the blood and sprinkled it toward the Tabernacle door? *T.109, par. 1.*

imply that the ignominy heaped upon the ancient worthies who were stoned, sawn asunder, etc., and of whom the world was not worthy, permitted the merit of the precious blood, the cleansing of the truth, and the gift of everlasting life to be accounted to them through faith; and that subsequent to their death they would be recognized as cleansed, justified, accepted. The *under*-priest (not Aaron, who typified the Lord Jesus) who saw, recognized and approved the burning of the heifer and who took of its blood and sprinkled it in the direction of the Tabernacle door, would seem well antityped in that great under-priest, the Apostle Paul, who, by the help of God (the name Eleazar signifies “Helped by God”) has not only identified for us the sin-offerings of the Atonement Day, but also in his writings points out to us (Heb. 11) that which enables us to identify the Red Heifer sacrifice as typifying the ancient worthies. And thus he sprinkles their blood toward the Tabernacle, showing that their lives were in full, complete harmony with the Tabernacle conditions—although, not living in the time of this high calling, it was not their privilege to become members of the Body of the great High Priest, the royal priesthood.

In that the red heifer never wore a yoke, it represented a class of justified persons—made free from the Law Covenant. Although most of the ancient worthies were born under the Law Covenant, and therefore legally subject to its conditions and to its condemnation through imperfection of the flesh, nevertheless, we see that God justified them through faith, as the children of faithful Abraham. This is fully attested and corroborated by the Apostle, when he says that “all these obtained a good record of God through faith”—a verdict of, Well done, a testimony that they pleased God, and that he had provided for them blessings in harmony with his promise—although these blessings

14. What was typified in the choosing of a red heifer which “never wore a yoke”? T.110, par. 1.

15. Why was a heifer chosen and not a bullock? And why must it be red? T.110, par. 1.

could not be given to them at the time, but must be waited for and be received through the spiritual Seed of Abraham—the Christ. The fact that this sacrifice must be a *cow* and not a *bullock* served to differentiate it from the great sacrifice of the Day of Atonement which could be a *bullock* only. That it must be a *red cow* would seem to teach that those ancient worthies were not sinless and therefore accepted of God before the great Atonement Day sacrifice, but that they were “sinners even as others.” The fact of their cleansing or justification by *faith*, was otherwise indicated as above suggested.

The cleansings for which these red cow ashes were prescribed, were of a peculiar kind; namely, specially for those who came in contact with *death*. This would seem to indicate that these ashes of the heifer were not designed to remove the individual’s guilt—no, his moral guilt could be cleansed away only through the merit of the Atonement Day sacrifices. The cleansing of defilement through contact with the dead would seem to teach that this cleansing, affected by and through the experiences of the ancient worthies, will specially apply to the world of mankind during the Millennial age, while they are seeking to get rid of all the defilements of *Adamic death*—seeking to attain human perfection. All the blemishes of the fallen condition are so much of contact with death; all constitutional weaknesses and blemishes through heredity are contacts with death: and from all of these the ashes of the Red Heifer are to be used for the cleansing of all who will become the people of God. Like the ashes of the red heifer, laid up in a clean place, so the results of the painful experiences of the ancient worthies will be a store of blessings, instruction and help, by which they, when made subordinate “princes” in the Kingdom, will assist in the restitution work. Each pardoned sinner, desiring to be

16. For what particular cleansings were the ashes of this heifer prescribed? Ex. 12:22; Lev. 14:4,49; Psa. 51:7; Heb. 9:19; T.111, par. 1.

cleansed perfectly, must not only wash himself with water (truth), but must also have applied to him the instructions of these “princes”—said instructions being typified by the sprinkled ashes of the heifer, representing the valuable lessons of faith and obedience learned through experience by this class. Exod. 12:22; Lev. 14:4,49; Psa. 51:7; Heb. 9:19

### **“So Great Salvation”**

“Nothing to pay? No, not a whit.  
 Nothing to give? No, not a bit.  
 All that was needed to give or to pay,  
 Jesus hath done in God’s own blessed way.

“Nothing to settle? All has been paid.  
 Nothing to anger? Peace has been made.  
 Jesus alone is the sinner’s resource;  
 Peace he has made by the blood of his cross.

“What about terror? It hasn’t a place  
 In a heart that is filled with a sense of his grace.  
 My peace is most sweet and it never can cloy,  
 And that makes my heart bubble over with joy.

“Nothing of guilt? No, not a stain;  
 How could the blood even one let remain?  
 My conscience is purged and my spirit is free;  
 Precious that blood is to God and to me.

“What of my future? `Tis glorious and fair.  
 Since justified, sanctified, glory I’ll share.  
 By his blood first redeemed, by his grace then enthroned,  
 Side by side with my Lord, as his Bride I’ll be owned.

“What then, dost thou ask? O, glory shall follow;  
 Earth shall rejoice in the dawn of the morrow.  
 To rule and to bless comes that kingdom and reign;  
 Flee then, shall sorrow, death, crying and pain.”

## CHAPTER VIII

### OTHER SIGNIFICANT TYPES

The Court Posts—The White Curtains—The Silver Hooks—The Door Posts of the Holy and the Most Holy—The Golden Table—The Golden Candlestick—Antitypical Priests Who See the Deep Things and the Levites Who Do Not See Them—The Golden Altar—The Ark of the Covenant in the Most Holy—Its Contents and Their Significance—The Mercy Seat—The Two Cherubim—The Priest Unblemished—The Mystery Hid from Ages.

**I**N THE preceding description we have purposely omitted an explanation of some interesting details, which can now be better understood by those who have, through careful study, obtained a clear understanding of the general plan of the Tabernacle, its services and its typical significance.

*The posts* which stood in the “Court,” and upheld the white curtains, represented *justified believers*—the “Court,” as we have already seen, represented the justified condition. The posts were of wood, a corruptible material, thus implying that the class typified are not actually perfect as human beings; for since human perfection was typically represented by copper, those posts should either have been made of copper, or covered with copper, to represent actually perfect human beings. But although made of wood they were set in sockets of copper, which teaches us that, though

### OTHER SIGNIFICANT TYPES

1. What was represented by the “posts” which stood in the “Court” and upheld the white curtains? T.113, par. 2 (1st 3 lines).
2. Why were they constructed of “wood,” instead of “copper”? T.113, par. 2 (4th to 9th lines).
3. What does their being set in sockets of copper typify? T.113, par. 2 (9th line on).

actually imperfect their standing is that of perfect human beings. It would be impossible to more clearly represent *justification by faith*.

*The white curtain*, which, sustained by those posts, formed the “Court,” well illustrated the same justification or purity. Thus, justified ones should continually hold up to the view of the world (the “Camp”) the pure linen, representing Christ’s righteousness as their covering.

*The silver hooks*, by which the posts held up the curtain, were symbolic of truth. Silver is a general symbol of *truth*. The justified believers, represented by the posts in the “Court,” can thus really and *truthfully* claim that Christ’s righteousness covers all their imperfections. (Exod. 27:11-17) Again, it is only by the aid of the truth that they are able to hold on to their justification.

*The door posts* at the entrance to the Tabernacle—at the “door” of the “Holy”—were covered by the first “Veil.” They were totally different from the posts in the “Court,” and represented “new creatures in Christ”—the consecrated saints. The difference between these and the posts in the “Court” represents the difference between the condition of the justified believers and the sanctified believers. The *consecration to death* of a justified man we have seen is the way into the “Holy”—passing through the death of the human will, the fleshly mind, the first veil. Hence these posts should illustrate this change, and so they do; for they were covered with gold, symbolic of the divine nature. Their being set in sockets of copper represented how “we have this treasure [the divine nature] in earthen vessels” (2 Cor. 4:7); i.e., our new nature is still based upon, and rests in, our *justified humanity*. This, it will be remembered, corresponds exactly with what we found the “Holy” to symbolize, viz., our place or standing as new creatures, not yet perfected. Exod. 26:37

4. What was illustrated by the “white curtain”? And should we hold up before the world the “pure linen”—Christ’s righteousness? T.114, par. 1.

5. What was symbolized by the “silver hooks”? T.114, par. 2, Ex. 27:11-17.

6. What was represented in the “door-posts” at the entrance of the Tabernacle—under the “vail”? T.114, par. 3 (1st 12 lines).

7. Why were these posts, *also*, set in sockets of “copper”? T.114, par. 3 (13th line on); 2 Cor. 4:7; Ex. 26:37.



*The door posts of the "Most Holy"* were just inside the second "Veil," and represented those who pass beyond the flesh (veil) entirely, into the perfection of the spiritual condition. These posts were so constructed as fully to illustrate this. Covered with gold, representing divine nature, but no longer set in sockets of copper—no longer dependent on any human condition—they were set in sockets of silver (reality, truth, verity) seeming to say to us, When you come inside this veil you will be perfect—really and truly new creatures. Exod. 26:32

*The Golden Table*, which in the "Holy" bore the shewbread, represented the Church as a whole, including Jesus and the apostles—all the sanctified in Christ who serve in "holding forth the word of life." (Phil. 2:16) The great work of the true Church during this age has been to feed, strengthen and enlighten all who enter the covenanted spiritual condition. The Bride of Christ is to make herself ready. (Rev. 19:7) The witnessing to the world during the present age is quite secondary and incidental. The full blessing of the world will follow in God's "due time," after the Gospel age (the antitypical Day of Atonement with its sin-offerings) is ended.

*The Golden Candlestick* or lampstand, which stood opposite the Golden Table, and gave light to all in the "Holy," was of gold—all of one piece hammered out. It had seven branches, each of which held a lamp, making seven lamps in all—a perfect or complete number. This represented the complete Church, from the Head, Jesus, to and including the last member of the "little flock" that he is taking out from among men, to be partakers of the divine (gold) nature. Our Lord says, "The seven candlesticks which thou sawest are the seven churches" (Rev. 1:20)—the one Church whose seven stages or developments were symbolized by the seven congregations of Asia Minor.

8. What was represented by the "door-posts" within the second "vail"? T.115, par. 1; Ex. 26:32.

9. What did the "golden table" symbolize? T.115, par. 2; Phil. 2:16; Rev. 19:7.

10. Explain the meaning of the "golden candlestick." T.115, par. 3; Rev. 1:20; 1:11.

(Rev. 1:11) Yes; that candlestick represented the entire Church of the Firstborn—not the nominal, but the true Church, whose names are written in heaven—the true light-bearers—the “Royal Priesthood.”

The form of its workmanship was beautiful—a fruit and a flower, a fruit and a flower, following successively—representing the true Church as both beautiful and fruitful from first to last. The lamp part on top of each branch was shaped like an almond, the significance of which we shall see when considering the significance of Aaron’s rod.

The light from this lamp was from olive oil, “beaten” or refined; and the lamps were kept always lighted. This oil was symbolic of the holy Spirit, and its light represented holy enlightenment—the spirit of the truth. Its light was for the benefit of the priests only, for none others were ever permitted to see it or to profit by its light. Thus was represented the spirit or mind of God given to enlighten the Church, in the deep things of God, which are entirely hidden from the natural man (1 Cor. 2:14), even though he be a believer—a justified man (a Levite). None but the truly consecrated, the “Royal Priesthood,” are permitted to see into this deeper light, hidden in the “Holy.” The priests (the consecrated Body of Christ) always have access to the “Holy”; it is their right and privilege; it was intended for them. (Heb. 9:6) The Levite class cannot see in because of the veil of human-mindedness which comes between them and the sacred things; and the only way to set it aside is to consecrate and sacrifice wholly the human will and nature.

The lights were to be trimmed and replenished every morning and evening by the High Priest—Aaron and his sons who succeeded him in office. (Exod. 27:20,21; 30:8) So our High Priest is daily filling us more and more with

11. Describe its workmanship and explain the beautiful symbolism of its various features. T.116, par. 1.

12. What supplied the light for this “golden lampstand”? And of what was this a symbol? T.116, par. 2 (1st 4 lines).

13. For whom alone did this light shine? T.116, par. 2 (4th line on); 1 Cor. 2:14; Heb. 9:6.

14. How often were these lights trimmed and replenished with oil? And what important lesson is taught us in this symbol? T.116, par. 3; Ex. 27:20,21; 30:8.

the mind of Christ, and trimming off the dross of the old nature—the wick through which the holy Spirit operates.

### Antitypical Priests and Levites

Are we sometimes puzzled to know why some religious people cannot see any but natural things—cannot discern the deeper spiritual truths of the Word?—why they can see restitution for natural men, but cannot see the divine, heavenly calling? These Tabernacle lessons show us why this is. They are brethren in justification, of “the household of faith,” but not brethren in Christ—not fully consecrated—not sacrificers. They are Levites—in the “Court”: they never consecrated as priests, to sacrifice their human rights and privileges, and consequently cannot enter the “Holy,” nor see the things prepared for the priestly class only. The natural “eye hath not seen, nor ear heard—neither have entered into the heart of *man* the things which God hath prepared for them that love him. But God hath revealed them unto *us* [who through consecration have become “new creatures,” called to become “partakers of the divine nature,”] by his spirit [light of the lamp], for the Spirit searcheth [revealeth] all things—yea, the *deep* [hidden] things of God.” 1 Cor. 2:9

The church nominal has always included both the justified and sanctified classes—Levites and priests—as well as hypocrites. In the Apostle Paul’s letters certain parts were addressed to the justified class (Levites) who had not fully consecrated. Thus he writes to the Galatians that “They that are Christ’s have *crucified* the flesh with the affections and lusts.” (Gal. 5:24) He thus seems to imply that only some of them had complied with the Gospel call to sacrifice—crucifixion of the flesh.

In the same way he addressed the Romans (12:1); “I

### ANTI-TYPICAL PRIESTS AND LEVITES

15. Why cannot some Christians see spiritual things? T.117, par. 1; I Cor. 2:9.

16. What three classes have always been represented in the Church nominal? T.117, par. 2; Gal. 5:24.

17. Does St. Paul differentiate between merely justified believers and those who go on to sanctification, in Romans 12:1?

18. How does his discrimination there correspond to the difference between the typical priests and their brethren, the Levites, from whom they were separated to the work of sacrifice and higher service? T.117, last par.

19. Have all justified believers of this Gospel Age been eligible to membership in the Royal Priesthood until the “harvest” time?

beseech you, therefore, brethren [believers—justified by faith in Christ—Levites], by the mercies of God [manifest through Christ in our justification], that ye present your bodies a living sacrifice [that you consecrate wholly—thus becoming priests], holy, acceptable unto God.” All who in heart renounce sin and accept God’s grace in Christ are justified freely by faith in Jesus—God accepting them as reckonedly sinless or holy; and such sacrificers and their offerings God has declared himself willing to accept through Christ during this Atonement Day (the Gospel age) and until the full elect number of the royal priesthood is completed. “Now is the *acceptable* time”—the time when such offerings will be accepted. True, as we have just seen, God will accept sacrifices of the world, and it will always be the only proper course for all to pursue—to render unto the Lord their purchased beings. But after this age is ended, none will be permitted to sacrifice unto *death* and sufferings—such sacrifices will be impossible after the new age and its regulations are inaugurated.

It seems evident that by far the larger proportion of the early churches (much more so of the modern worldly mixture, the confused “Babylon” of the present day) were not consecrated to death, and consequently were not of the antitypical “royal priesthood,” but merely Levites, doing the *service* of the Sanctuary, but not *sacrificing*.

Looking back at the type in the Law, we find that there were 8,580 Levites appointed in the typical service, while only five priests were appointed for the typical sacrificing. (Num. 4:46-48; Exod. 28:1) It may be that this, as much as the other features of the “shadow,” was designed to illustrate the proportion of justified believers to self-sacrificing, consecrated ones. Though now the nominal Church numbers millions, yet, when an allowance is made for hypocrites, and when only one in each seventeen hundred of the remainder is supposed to be a living sacrifice

Church is a “holy priesthood to offer up *spiritual* sacrifices, acceptable to God”? I Pet. 2:5.

26. Are we justified in accepting the reading of the Sinaitic MS., the oldest Greek MS. of the New Testament, which omits the word *spiritual* in I Pet. 2:5? See Tischendorf Testament; also list of interpolations shown in new WATCH TOWER BIBLES.

27. Is it conceivable that the Lord should wish us to sacrifice *spiritual* interests? Are we not always to sacrifice the earthly so as to gain the spiritual?

28. Is it probable that many in the Church at any time have been sacrificing priests? T.118, par. 1.

29. What was the proportion of numbers as between the priests and the Levites? T.118, par. 2.

30. Considering this typical teaching on the subject, should we be surprised that those professing consecration to death in God’s service and living accordingly are few—a little flock as compared with the millions of Christendom? Compare C.163.

31. How many nominal Christians make up the population of your city or town? And what number do you know who profess faith in Jesus as their Redeemer and have renounced sin and are living saintly lives?

32. Even amongst the great, rich, wise and noble, according to the estimation of the world, do you find many possessing the fruits of the holy Spirit?

33. If the type shows 8580 Levites to 5 priests, is it not a faithful picture in prophecy? T.118, par. 2.

20. Will the opportunity for entering the ranks of the antitypical priests ever cease? T.118, line 11. C.216-220.

21. What is the usual interpretation of the text, “Now is the acceptable time”?

22. What is the correct interpretation of it? T.118, line 12.

23. Do those who now consecrate themselves to God as priests sacrifice as New Creatures, or as sinners, or as justified humans? Rom. 12:1.

24. Do they offer spiritual or fleshly sacrifices? John 6:51; Col. 1:22,24; I Pet. 4:1; Heb. 10:10.

25. If this be true, why do we read that the

(though few, yet a correct proportion according to the type), it seems quite evident that the Lord did not make a misstatement when he said that those (the “royal Priesthood”) who would receive the kingdom would be a “little flock.” (Luke 12:32) And when we remember that two of the five priests were destroyed by the Lord, in symbol of the death\* of negligent and unfaithful priests, we find the proportion of 3 priests to 8,580 Levites to be only 1 to 2,800.

The fact that we see believers who are trying to put away their sins is not of itself evidence of their being “priests”; for Levites as well as priests should practice “circumcision of the heart”—“putting away the filth [sins] of the flesh.” All this is symbolized in the Laver of water in the “Court,” at which both priests and Levites washed. Nor is a spirit of meekness, gentleness, benevolence and morality always indicative of consecration to God. These qualities belong to a perfect natural man (the *image of God*), and occasionally they partially survive the wreck of the fall. But such evidences not infrequently pass as proofs of full consecration in the nominal Church.

Even when we see believers practicing self-denial in some good work of political or moral reform, that is not an evidence of consecration to God, though it is an evidence of consecration to *a work*. Consecration to God says, Any work, anywhere; “I delight to do thy will, O God”; *thy* will, in *thy* way, be done. Consecration to God, then, will insure a searching of his plan revealed in his Word, that we may be able to spend and be spent for him and in his service, in harmony with his arranged and revealed plan.

Marvel not, then, that so few have ever seen the glorious beauties within the Tabernacle: only priests can see them.

34. What was signified by the laver of water in the Tabernacle Court? T.119, par. 1.

35. If that washing meant the putting away of the filth of the flesh, does its antitype apply only to the antitypical priests—the “little flock”? T.119, par. 1.

36. Are natural men “totally depraved,” as some teach, or do some of the divine characteristics in a modified degree still persist despite the fall?

37. May a justified believer be wrongly consecrated to a *work* instead of to the Lord? T.119, par. 2.

38. Do Church work, Rescue work, Temperance work, etc., sometimes deceive well-meaning people and take the place of the proper work of complete sacrifice to God—to do the will of the Father in heaven and to finish his work of gathering out the “Elect”? T.119, par. 2.

39. Should we then be surprised that so few see “the deep things of God”—hidden behind the Tabernacle Vail, which represents full consecration—death of the will? T.119, par. 3.

---

\*As we come more clearly to realize the high attainment of character required of all who will ever be accorded everlasting life on any plane, and how very few seem to make any serious profession of or attempt at perfect love as a governing principle in their lives, we are led to wonder if the two sons of Aaron who were destroyed by the Lord were not intended to typify the large proportion of consecrated and spirit-begotten ones who have failed to reach the high standard of heart necessary, and who will consequently not be worthy of any life, but will, on the contrary, sink into oblivion—the Second Death.



The Levites may know of them only as they hear them described. They have never seen the hidden light and beauty; never eaten of the “bread of presence”; never offered the acceptable incense at the “Golden Altar.” No: to enjoy these, they must pass the “Veil”—into entire consecration to God in sacrifice during the Atonement Day.

*The Golden Altar* in the “Holy” would seem to represent the “little flock,” the consecrated Church in the present sacrificing condition. From this altar ascends the sweet incense, acceptable to God by Jesus Christ—the willing services of the priests: their praises, their willing obedience—all things whatsoever they do to the glory of God. Those who thus offer incense acceptable to God (1 Pet. 2:5) come very close to their Father—close up to the “Veil” which separates from the “Most Holy”; and if *they* have requests to make they may be presented with the incense—“much incense *with* the prayers of *saints*.” (Rev. 8:3) The prayers of such priests of God are effectual. Our Lord Jesus kept the incense continually burning, and could say, “I know that thou hearest me always.” (John 11:42) So the under-priests, “members of his Body,” will be heard always if they continually offer the incense of faith, love and obedience to God: and none should expect to have requests recognized who do not thus keep their covenant—“If ye abide in me and my words [teachings] abide in you, ye shall ask what ye will and it shall be done unto you.” (John 15:7) The necessity of a clear apprehension of Christ’s teachings as a guide to our requests and expectations, that we may not “*ask amiss*” and out of harmony with God’s plan, is clearly shown by this scripture—but seldom noticed.

We have learned, through types previously considered, something of the glory of the “Most Holy” (the perfect, divine condition), which no *man* can approach unto (1 Tim. 6:16), but to which the “new creatures in Christ Jesus”

40. Does the Golden Altar of the holy, like the candlestick, represent not only Jesus but also all those whom he accepts as “his brethren,” his “Body”? T.120, par. 1.

41. Do the “royal priests” offer their own incense (prayers) to God, or are they offered by their Advocate and Head? John 15:7; Rev. 8:3; T.120, par. 1.

42. Is it in their own merit as individuals or only as under priests in the merit of their Head, the High Priest, that the prayers of the consecrated believers are acceptable to God at the Golden Altar of incense? T.120, par. 1.

43. If they “*ask amiss*” is it because the New Creature does not offer the prayer, or has neglected to seek and obtain first the mind of the Head on the subject, and because the Head will not present the petition? T.120, par. 1.

44. Is it merely the prayers of the priests that were typified by the incense offered on the Golden Altar? T.56, par. 2 and 120, par. 2.

45. Would the incense representing the Church’s obedience to God be acceptable unless supplemented by their Lord’s imputed merit? With his merit would theirs be acceptable to God? Rom. 12:1.

made partakers of the divine nature—will finally come, when the incense-offering on the part of the entire Body of Christ, the “Royal Priesthood,” is finished, and the cloud of perfume goes before them into Jehovah’s presence, that they may live beyond the “Veil,” being acceptable to God by Jesus Christ, their Lord.

### Within the Most Holy

*The Ark of the Covenant* or “Ark of the Testimony” was the only article of furniture in the Most Holy. (See Heb. 9:2-4 and *Diaglott* footnote.) Its name suggests that it illustrated the embodiment of Jehovah’s plan, which he had purposed in himself, before the beginning of the creation of God—before the minutest development of his plan had taken place. It represented *the eternal purpose of God*—his foreordained arrangement of riches of grace for mankind in the Christ (Head and Body)—“the hidden mystery.”\* It therefore represents Christ Jesus and his Bride, the “little flock,” to be partakers of the divine nature, and to be imbued with the power and great glory—the prize of our high calling—the joy set before our Lord, and all the members of his Body.

As before stated, it was a rectangular box overlaid with gold, representing the divine nature granted to the glorified Church. It contained the two Tables of the Law (Deut. 31:26), Aaron’s Rod that budded (Num. 17:8), and the Golden Pot of Manna (Exod. 16:32). The Law showed how the Christ would meet in full all the requirements of God’s perfect Law, and also that legal authority would be vested in him as the Law-executor. The righteousness of the Law was actually fulfilled in our Head, and it is also reckonedly fulfilled in all the *new*

46. What constituted the only article of furniture beyond the Vail—in the Most Holy? and what did it represent? T.121, par. 1. A. chap. 5.

47. What did the Ark of the Covenant contain? Please read the Scriptures which explain this. T.121, par. 2.

48. What did the two tables of the Law there signify? and why were there two? T.121, par. 2.

49. Since the Lord’s followers, even with the best intentions, are still actually more or less imperfect, how can it be that they could be represented by God as fulfilling his perfect Law? T.121, par. 3.

50. By what means can God maintain the justice and dignity of his own laws and yet overlook our blemishes? Romans 3:26; T.121, par. 3.

creatures in Christ, “who walk not after the flesh but after the Spirit”; i.e., who walk in obedience to the new mind. (Rom. 8:1) The infirmities of the old nature which we are daily crucifying, once covered by our ransom-price, are not again charged to us as new creatures—so long as we abide in Christ.

When it is written that “the righteousness of the Law is fulfilled in us,” it signifies that the end of our course (perfection) is reckoned to us, because we are walking after or toward that actual perfection which, when reached, will be the condition in the “Most Holy,” represented by the Ark of the Covenant.

### The Contents of the Ark

“*Aaron’s Rod that budded*” showed the elect character of all the Body of Christ as members of the “Royal Priesthood.” By reading Numbers XVII, the meaning of the budded rod will be seen to be Jehovah’s acceptance of Aaron and his sons—the typical priesthood, representatives of Christ and the Church—as the only ones who might perform the priest’s office of mediator. That rod, therefore, represented the acceptableness of the “Royal Priesthood”—the Christ, Head and Body. The rod budded and brought forth almonds. A peculiarity about the almond tree is that the fruit-buds appear before the leaves. So with the “Royal Priesthood”: they sacrifice or begin to bring forth *fruit* before the leaves of professions are seen.

*The Golden Pot of Manna* represented immortality as being one of the possessions of the Christ of God. Our Lord Jesus doubtless refers to this when he says: “To him that overcometh will I give to eat of the *hidden manna*.” Rev. 2:17

Manna was the bread which came down from heaven as a life-sustainer for Israel. It represented the living bread,

51. In what sense is “the righteousness of the Law fulfilled in us” now, before we have actually reached the end of the way? T.122, par. 1.

52. Relate the narrative of the budding of Aaron’s rod. Num. 17.

53. What did that budded rod, when placed in the Ark of the Covenant, signify or typify? T.122, par. 2.

54. What was the peculiarity of the almond tree which constituted it a suitable type, marking the Royal Priesthood? T.122, par. 2.

55. How could a rod which marked the acceptance of Aaron and his sons represent Christ and his followers of the Royal Priesthood, since these were not Aaron’s sons nor of his tribe—Levi? Heb. 5:1-5.

56. If Aaron and his sons under the Law typically represented only the sacrificing work of Christ, is there any other type which represents his great future work as a Priest-King—a Royal Priest? Heb. 5:6-10.

61. Since Christ is the antitypical Manna must all, to have eternal life, “eat the flesh of the Son of Man”? Read John 6:48-51, 58. T. 122, par. 4



supplied to the world by God through Christ. But as the Israelites needed to gather this supply of manna daily or else want and starve, so it will be necessary for the *world* ever to seek supplies of life and grace if they would live everlastingly.

But to those who become Christ's joint-heirs, members of the Anointed Body, God makes a special offer of a peculiar sort of manna, the same and yet different from that given to others—"the hidden manna." One peculiarity of this pot of manna was that it was *incorruptible*; hence it well illustrates the immortal, incorruptible condition promised to all members of the "Seed"—which is the Church. The manna or life-support fed to Israel was *not incorruptible*, and must therefore be gathered daily. So all the obedient of mankind who shall by and by be recognized as Israelites indeed, will be provided with life everlasting, but conditional, supplied and renewed life; while the "little flock," who under present unfavorable conditions are faithful "overcomers," will be given an *incorruptible* portion—immortality.\* Rev. 2:17

Here, then, in the golden Ark, was represented the glory to be revealed in the divine Christ: in the budded rod, God's chosen priesthood; in the tables of the Law, the righteous Judge; in the incorruptible manna in the golden bowl, immortality, the divine nature. Above this Ark, and constituting a lid or head over it, was

"*The Mercy Seat*"—a slab of solid gold, on the two ends of which, and of the same piece of metal, were formed two cherubim, with wings uplifted as if ready to fly, their faces looking inward toward the center of the plate on which they stood. Between the cherubim, on the "Mercy Seat," a bright light represented Jehovah's presence.

As the Ark represented the Christ, so the "Mercy Seat,"

57. Was the Manna, hidden in the Ark of the Covenant by Moses, referred to seventeen centuries later by our Lord? Read Rev. 2:17.

58. What does Gold represent in the divine symbolisms and why?

59. Did Israel's supply of Manna keep indefinitely or was it very perishable? Read the record in Exodus 16:18-20,23-25,32-34.

60. Was there and typical significance in the fact that no manna fell on the seventh day? Would it not represent that the bread of Truth on which the Lord will feed the world during the Millennium will be exactly the same as he who has now provided and that came from heaven, during the sixth day of the World's history?

62. What is meant by eating Christ's flesh? F696; Z. '03-14 (2nd col., par. 2)

63. If the Manna is for all could it represent eternal life to some eaters and immortality to others? 2 Tim. 1:10; T. 123, par. 1, 2

64. Describe the Mercy Seat and state what it symbolized. I Cor. 11:3; Psalms. 80:1; I Sam. 4:4; 2 Sam. 6:2; Isa. 37:16. T.123, par. 3, 4.

Glory-light and Cherubim together represented Jehovah God—"the Head of Christ is God." (1 Cor. 11:3) As with Christ, so with Jehovah, he is here represented by things which illustrate attributes of his character. The light, called the "Shekinah glory," represented Jehovah himself as the Light of the universe, as Christ is the Light of the world. This is abundantly testified by many scriptures. "Thou that dwellest between the cherubim, shine forth." Psa. 80:1; 1 Sam. 4:4; 2 Sam. 6:2; Isa. 37:16

Humanity cannot enter Jehovah's presence: hence the royal priest, Head and Body, represented by Aaron, must become *new* creatures, "partakers of the *divine nature*" (having crucified and buried the human), before they can appear in the presence of that excellent glory.

The slab of gold called the "MERCY SEAT" (or more properly the Propitiatory, because on it the Priest offered the blood of the sacrifices which propitiated or *satisfied* the demands of divine justice) represented the underlying principle of Jehovah's character—*justice*. God's throne is based or established upon *justice*. "Righteousness and justice are the foundation of thy throne." Psa. 89:14; Job 36:17; 37:23; Isa. 56:1; Rev. 15:3

The Apostle Paul uses the Greek word for Mercy Seat or Propitiatory (*hilasterion*) when referring to our Lord Jesus, saying—"Whom God hath set forth to be a Propitiatory\* [or Mercy Seat]...to declare his righteousness...that he might be just and the justifier of him which believeth in Jesus." (Rom. 3:25,26) The thought here is in accord with the foregoing presentation. The Justice, the Wisdom, the Love and the Power are God's own as well as the plan by which all these cooperate in human salvation:

65. Can humanity enter Jehovah's presence? I Tim. 6:16; T.124, par. 1.

66. Why was the Mercy Seat called the "Propitiatory"? and what particular attribute of God's character was represented in it? Psa. 89:14; Job 36:17; 37:23; Isa. 56:1; Rev. 15:3. T.124, par. 2.

67. Is this word "Propitiatory" ever applied to our Lord Jesus? and why? Rom. 3:25,26; T.124, par. 3.

---

\*By some means the translators of the Common Version Bible mis-translated *hilasterion* "propitiation." The word *hilasmos*, signifying satisfaction, is properly translated "propitiation" in 1 John 2:2 and 4:10.

but it pleased God that in his well beloved Son, our Lord Jesus, all of his own fullness should dwell, and be *represented* to mankind. Thus in the type the High Priest, coming forth from the Most Holy, was the living representative of Jehovah's Justice, Wisdom, Love and Power to men—the living representative of divine mercy, forgiveness, appeasement. Although the divine being is veiled, hidden from human sight, his divine attributes are to be displayed to all men by our great High Priest, who, as the living Mercy Seat, will at the close of this age draw nigh to mankind and make all to understand the riches of divine grace.

*The Two Cherubim* represented two other elements of Jehovah's character, as revealed in his Word, viz., divine Love and divine Power. These attributes, Justice, the foundation principle, and Love and Power of the same quality or essence, and lifted up out of it, are in perfect harmony. They are all made of *one piece*: they are thoroughly one. Neither Love nor Power can be exercised until Justice is fully satisfied. Then they fly to help, to lift up and to bless. They were on the wing, ready, but waiting; looking inward toward the "Mercy Seat," toward Justice, to know when to move.

The High Priest, as he approached with the blood of the Atonement sacrifices, did not put it upon the Cherubim. No: neither divine Power nor divine Love independently required the sacrifice; hence the High Priest need not sprinkle the Cherubim. It is the *Justice* quality or attribute of God that will by no means clear the guilty, as it was Justice that said: "The wages of sin is death." When, therefore, the High Priest would give a *ransom* for sinners, it is to Justice it must be paid. Hence the appropriateness of the ceremony of sprinkling the blood upon the "Mercy Seat"—the PROPITIATORY.

68. What was represented by the two cherubim? And what was shown by their being of the same piece as the "golden slab"? T.125, par. 1.

69. Was the blood of the sacrifices placed by the High Priest on the Cherubim? And, if not, where was it placed? and why? T.125, par. 2.

Love led to the whole redemptive plan. It was because God so loved the world that he sent his only begotten Son to redeem it by paying to Justice the ransom-price. So Love has been active, preparing for the redemption ever since sin entered; yes, from “before the foundation of the world.” 1 Pet. 1:20

“Love first contrived the way  
To save rebellious man.”

When the Atonement Day sacrifices (bullock and goat) are complete, Love tarries to see the results of its plan. When the blood is sprinkled Justice cries, It is enough; it is finished! Then comes the moment when Love and Power may act, and swiftly they wing their flight to bless the *ransomed* race. When Justice is satisfied, Power starts upon its errand, which is co-extensive with that of Love, using the same agency—Christ, the Ark or safe depository of divine favors.

The relationship and oneness of that *divine* family—the Son and his Bride, represented by the Ark, in harmony and oneness with the Father, represented by the Cover—was shown in the fact that the “Mercy Seat” was the lid of the Ark, and hence a part—the top or head of it. As the head of the Church is Christ Jesus, so the head of the entire Christ is God. (1 Cor. 11:3) This is the oneness for which Jesus prayed, saying, “I pray not for the world, but for those whom thou hast given me”—“that they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in us, that the world may [then] believe.” John 17:9,21

### **The Priest Unblemished**

It is significant also that any member of the priesthood that had a blemish of eye, hand, nose, foot, or of any part, could not fill the office of Priest (High Priest); neither

70. What attribute of Jehovah led to the plan of redemption? 1 Pet. 1:20; T.126, par. 1.

71. When will love and power act for the accomplishment of God’s wonderful provision for mankind? T.126, par. 2.

72. How is the relationship and oneness of Christ and his Bride to the Father shown in the Ark? and how is the supreme headship of Jehovah represented? 1 Cor. 11:3; John 17:9,21; T.126, par. 3.

### **THE PRIEST UNBLEMISHED**

73. Could a man who had a blemish of any kind fill the office of High Priest, in the type? And what was prefigured in this? T.126, par. 4; T.127, par. 1, first six lines.

any man having any superfluity, such as an extra finger or toe.

This teaches that every member of the Body of Christ glorified will be complete—lacking nothing; and also that there will be in that “little flock” neither one too many nor one too few, but exactly the foreknown and foreordained number. When once the Body of Christ is *complete*, there will be no further additions—no superfluity. All, therefore, who have been “called” with this “high calling” to become members in particular of the Body of Christ, and have accepted it, should earnestly seek to make their calling and election (as members of that “little flock”) sure, by so running as to obtain the prize. If any such be careless, and miss the prize, some one else will win in his place, for the Body will be complete; not one member will be lacking, and not one superfluous. Take heed, “let no man take *thy crown*.” Rev. 3:11

**“The Mystery Hid from  
Ages and Generations”**

**—Col. 1:26—**

It has been a matter of surprise to some that the glory and beauty of the Tabernacle—its golden walls, its golden and beautifully engraved furniture, and its veils of curious work—were so completely covered and hidden from view of the *people*; even the sunlight from without being excluded—its only light being the Lamp in the Holy and the Shekinah glory in the Most Holy. But this is perfectly in keeping with the lessons we have received from its services. As God covered the type and hid its beauty under curtains and rough, unsightly skins, so the glories and beauties of spiritual things are seen only by those who enter the consecrated condition—the “Royal Priesthood.” These enter a hidden but glorious state which the world and all outside fail to appreciate. Their glorious hopes and also

74. What solemn lesson is contained in this for us? Rev. 3:11; T.127, par. 1, 6th line on.

**THE MYSTERY HID FROM AGES  
AND GENERATIONS—COL. 1:26—**

75. Why were the beauties and glories of the Tabernacle kept so securely hidden from the people? What does this mean in the antitype? T.127, par. 2.

their standing as *new creatures* are hidden from their fellow men.

“Ah, these are of a royal line,  
All children of a King,  
Heirs of immortal crowns divine,  
And lo, for joy they sing!

“Why do they, then, appear so mean?  
And why so much despised?  
Because of their rich robes unseen  
The world is not apprised.”